	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

#### EXHIBIT 2: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

#### MITIGATION MEASURES AGREED TO BY PROJECT SPONSOR

### CULTURAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Mitigation Measure M-CP-2.1 – Project-Specific Preliminary Project sponsor; Archaeological Assessment. [Applies to growth in the 12 study Planning areas: Impacts C-4.1 and CP-4.3] This archeological mitigation Department measure shall apply to any project involving any soils-disturbing archeologist or or soils-improving activities including excavation, utilities qualified installation, grading, soils remediation, compaction/chemical archeological grouting to a depth of two feet below ground surface (bgs) or consultant; greater within the following study areas: SA-2, Lombard Environmental Street/Van Ness Avenue, SA-5, Mid Market Street; SA-6, Fourth Review Officer Street/Howard Street; SA-7, Rincon Hill East; SA-8, Third (ERO) Street/Bryant Street; SA-9, Second Street/Brannan Street; and SA-12, Ninth Street/Folsom Street; to a depth of four feet bgs or greater and located within properties within the remaining study areas (SA-1, Lombard Street/Divisadero Street; SA-3, Mid Van Ness Avenue; SA-4, Sutter Street/Mason Street; SA-10, Fifth Street/Brannan Street; and SA-11, Sixth Street/Folsom Street); or to the thresholds identified in the Area Plan EIR Archeological Mitigation Zones outlined in Table 4.5-2, Area Plan EIR Archeological Resources Mitigation Measures, p. 4.5-59, for projects covered by those Zones.

Projects to which this mitigation measure applies shall be subject to Preliminary Archeology Review (PAR) by the San Francisco Planning Department archeologist, or a Preliminary Archeological Sensitivity Study (PASS) may be required in consultation with the San Francisco Planning Department archeologist. The PASS shall be prepared by an archeological consultant from the pool of qualified archeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department archeologist. The PASS shall contain the following:

Prior to any soil Project-specific disturbing Preliminary Archaeological activities Assessment

archaeologist and Environmental Review Officer (ER0)

Project sponsor, The project archeologist to consult with the ERO as indicated. Considered complete after review and approval of the Final Archeological **Resources Report** by the ERO.

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility Monitoring/			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

- Determine the historical uses of the project site based on any previous archeological documentation and Sanborn maps.
- Determine types of archeological resources/properties that may have been located at the project site and whether the archeological resources/property types would potentially be eligible for listing on the California Register.
- Determine if 19<sup>th</sup>- or 20<sup>th</sup>-century soils-disturbing activities may have adversely affected the identified potential archeological resources.
- Assess potential project effects in relation to the depth of any identified potential archeological resource.
- Provide a conclusion that assesses whether any California Register-eligible archeological resources could be adversely affected by the Proposed Project and recommends appropriate further action.

Based on the PAR or PASS, the Environmental Review Officer (ERO) shall determine if an Archeological Research Design Treatment Plan (ARDTP) shall be required to more definitively identify the potential for California Register-eligible archeological resources to be present at the project site and determine the appropriate action necessary to reduce the potential effect of the project on archeological resources to a less-than-significant level. The scope of the ARDTP shall be determined in consultation with the ERO and consistent with the standards for archeological documentation established by the Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) for purposes of compliance with CEQA (OHP Preservation Planning Bulletin No. 5). If the PAR or PASS adequately identifies the potential for California Register-eligible archeological resources to be present at the project site, the ERO shall determine the appropriate action necessary to reduce the potential effect of

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Basman -: 1-: 1::		G AND REPORTIN		
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
the project on archeological resources to a less-than-significant level. Actions may include an archeological testing program, archeological monitoring program, archeological data recovery program, accidental discovery measures/worker training, final reporting, curation, consultation with descendant communities, and interpretation undertaken in consultation with the Planning Department archeologist by an archeological consultant from the pool of qualified archeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department archeologist.					
TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION					
Mitigation Measure M-TR-3.1 – Shuttle Demand, Service Monitoring, and Capacity Utilization Performance Standard. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas and at the six project sites: Impacts TR-3.1, TR-3.2, TR-3.3, and C-TR-3] AAU shall develop, implement, and provide to the City a shuttle management plan to address meeting the peak hour shuttle demand needs of its growth. The shuttle management plan shall address the monitoring, analysis, and potential correction such that unmet shuttle demand would not impact the City's transit	Project sponsor	Submitted Annually	Development, submittal, and approval of shuttle management plan	ERO or designee; MTA	Annually
and transportation system. Analysis of shuttle bus demand and capacity utilization shall occur at least on an annual basis, or as needed to address shuttle demand. Specifically, analysis and adjustments shall be made on any AAU shuttle routes to reduce shuttle peak hour capacity utilization when the performance standard of 100 percent capacity utilization is regularly observed to be exceeded on any of the AAU shuttle routes. <sup>1</sup> Additionally, the shuttle management plan shall address how shuttle demand at the six project sites will be provided. As additional project sites are added the shuttle management plan would be adjusted to reflect up-to-date shuttle routes, stops and services, as well as a capacity			Update shuttle management plan, as needed, to address capacity utilization performance standard and as additional project sites are added or prior		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The 100 percent performance standard was derived from the local and regional transit operational performance standards. Since AAU's vehicles and operations vary from transit service (e.g., not all shuttle buses allow for standing passengers), AAU may propose alternate performance standards that could equivalently meet this goal while addressing the specific design of their fleet.

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM					
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule	
utilization analysis, as needed to, indicate that the proposed demand for shuttle services could be met and avoid potential mode shifts to other travel modes. AAU shall report annually to the City on capacity utilization and alter its schedules and/or capacity, as necessary to avoid regular exceedances of the capacity utilization standard.			to issuance of a building permit.			
Mitigation Measure C-M-TR-2.1a – AAU Fair Share Contribution to Cumulative Transit Impact. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas and at the six project sites: Impacts C-TR- 2.1a, C-TR-2.2a, and C-TR-2.3a] AAU shall be required to make a fair share contribution to mitigate the cumulative transit demand impact related to AAU growth in transit ridership on the Kearny/Stockton corridor of the Northeast screenline and on the Geary corridor of the Northwest screenline to SFMTA.	, .	Prior to issuance of a building permit			Ongoing	
AAU's fair share contribution shall be made in addition to the applicable Transportation Sustainability Fee (TSF) for Non-Residential, except Hospitals and Health Services, 800-99,999 GSF and Non-Residential, except Hospitals and Health Services, all GSF above 99,999 GSF and for Residential or any successor fee that supersedes this fee.						
AAU's fair share contribution fee will be calculated by determining the discount for existing uses that would otherwise be permitted by Section 411A.4, or any successor fee ordinance. Rather than discount such amounts, the amount of such discount will be paid as a fair share contribution fee ("Fair Share Fee"). The Fair Share Fee will be calculated based on the total square footage of use in the EIR for each project site and for the proposed square footage of use when a project in one of the study areas is proposed. Payment of the Fair Share Fee is due prior to the issuance of a building permit for the project or portion of the project. The City shall account for the expenditure of funds to support additional transit in the affected corridors. The payment						

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

of the Fair Share Fee shall satisfy the AAU's fair share contribution obligations for all projects where the mitigation measure applies.

AAU may apply to the ERO to reduce, adjust, or modify this fee prior to a project approval based on substantial evidence supporting the absence of any reasonable relationship between the impact of the AAU use on cumulative transit demand and the amount of fee charged.

## NOISE

Mitigation Measure M-NO-2.1a - Interior Noise Levels for Project sponsor; Residential Uses. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas: qualified Impacts NO-2.1a, NO-2.3, and C-NO-1] For new development acoustical including conversion of non-noise-sensitive to noise-sensitive uses consultant located along streets with noise levels above 60 dBA (Ldn), where such development is not already subject to the California Noise Insulation Standards in California Code of Regulations Title 24, the project sponsor of future individual developments within the study areas shall conduct a detailed analysis of noise reduction requirements. Such analysis shall be conducted by person(s) qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering. Noiseinsulation features identified and recommended by the analysis shall be included in the design, as specified in the San Francisco General Plan Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Community Noise to reduce potential interior noise levels to the maximum extent feasible. Additional noise attenuation features may need to be incorporated into the building design where noise levels exceed 70 dBA (Ldn) to ensure that acceptable interior noise levels can be achieved.

During project design Detailed Planning analysis of noise Department; reduction Department of requirements Building Inspection Considered complete upon approval of building permit plans

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
Mitigation Measure M-NO-2.1b – Siting of Noise-Sensitive Uses. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas: Impacts NO-2.1a NO-2.3, and C-NO-1] To reduce potential conflicts betweer existing noise-generating uses and new sensitive receptors, for new residential development and development that includes other noise-sensitive uses (primarily, residences, and also including schools and child care, religious, and convalescent facilities and the like), the San Francisco Planning Department shall require the preparation of an analysis that includes, at a minimum, a site survey to identify potential noise-generating uses within 900 feet of, and that have a direct line-of-sight to, the project site, and including at least one 24-hour noise measurement (with average and maximum noise level readings taken so as to be able to accurately describe maximum levels reached during nighttime hours) prior to the first project approval action. The analysis shall be prepared by persons qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering and shall demonstrate with reasonable certainty that Title 24 standards, where applicable, can be met, and that there are no particular circumstances about the individual project site that appear to warrant heightened concern about noise levels in the vicinity. Should the Planning Department may require the concerns be present, the Planning Department may require the concerns be present, the Planning Department may require the completion of a detailed noise assessment by person(s) qualified ir acoustical analysis and/or engineering prior to the first project approval action, in order to demonstrate that acceptable interior noise levels consistent with those in the Title 24 standards can be attained.	, Planning Department; qualified acoustical consultant	Prior to issuance of a building permit	2	, <b>1</b>	Considered complete upon approval of building permit plans

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
Mitigation Measure M-NO-2.1c – Siting of Noise-Generating Equipment. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas: Impacts NO-2.1a, NO-2.3, and C-NO-1] If AAU proposes, as part of a change of use new (as opposed to replacement) mechanical equipment or ventilation units that would be expected, to increase ambient to noise levels by 5 dBA or more, either short-term, at nighttime, or as 24-hour average, in the proposed Project site vicinity, the San Francisco Planning Department shall require the preparation of an analysis that includes, at a minimum, a site survey to identify potential noise-sensitive uses (primarily, residences, and also including schools and child care, religious, and convalescent facilities and the like) within 900 feet of, and that have a direct line-of-sight to, the project site, and at least one 24- hour noise measurement (with average and maximum noise level readings taken so as to be able to accurately describe maximum levels reached during nighttime hours), prior to the first project approval action. The analysis shall be conducted prior to issuance of a building permit. The analysis shall be prepared by persons qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering and shall demonstrate with reasonable certainty that the proposed equipment would not cause a conflict with the use compatibility requirements in the <i>San Francisco General Plan</i> and would not violate Noise Ordinance Section 2909. If necessary to meet these standards, the proposed equipment shall be replaced with quieter equipment, deleted entirely, or mitigated through implementation of site-specific noise reduction features or strategies.	Planning Department; qualified acoustical consultant	Prior to issuance of a building permit		, <b>1</b>	Considered complete upon approval of building plans

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
AIR QUALITY Mitigation Measure M-AQ-2.1 – Construction Emissions Minimization within an Air Pollutant Exposure Zone. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas and at PS-1, P-S-3, and PS-4: Impacts AQ-2.1, AQ-2.2, and AQ-2.3]This mitigation measure is applicable to renovation activities occurring within an Air Pollutant Exposure Zone and where off-road diesel powered equipment is required and would operate for more than 20 total hours over the duration of construction at any one site.	contractor(s).	Prior to construction activities requiring the use of off-road equipment.	Submit certification statement.	Project sponsor / contractor(s) and the ERO.	Considered complete on submittal of certification statement.
A. <i>Construction Emissions Minimization Plan.</i> Prior to issuance of a construction permit, the project sponsor shall submit a Construction Emissions Minimization Plan (Plan) to the Environmental Review Officer (ERO) for review and approval by an Environmental Planning Air Quality Specialist. The Plan shall detail project compliance with the following requirements:	and contractor	Prior to issuance of a permit specified in Section 106A.3.2.6 of the Francisco Building Code.	-	Project sponsor/ contractor(s) and the ERO.	Considered complete on findings by ERO that Plan is complete.
<ol> <li>All off-road equipment greater than 25 hp and operating for more than 20 total hours over the entire duration of construction activities shall meet the following requirements:</li> </ol>					
<ul> <li>Where access to alternative sources of power is available, portable diesel engines shall be prohibited.</li> </ul>					
b) All off-road equipment shall have:					
i. Engines that meet or exceed either U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) or California Air Resources Board (ARB) Tier 2 off-road emission standards, and					
ii. Engines that are retrofitted with an ARB Level 3 Verified Diesel Emissions Control					

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

Strategy (VDECS).<sup>2</sup>

# c) Exceptions:

- i. Exceptions to A(1)(a) may be granted if the project sponsor has submitted information providing evidence to the satisfaction of the ERO that an alternative source of power is limited or infeasible at the project site and that the requirements of this exception provision apply. Under this circumstance, the sponsor shall submit documentation of compliance with A(1)(b) for on-site power generation.
- ii. Exceptions to A(1)(b)(ii) may be granted if the project sponsor has submitted information providing evidence to the satisfaction of the ERO that a particular piece of off-road equipment with an ARB Level 3 VDECS is (1) technically not feasible, (2) would not produce desired emissions reductions due to expected operating modes, (3) installing the control device would create a safety hazard or impaired visibility for the operator, or (4) there is a compelling emergency need to use off-road equipment that are not retrofitted with an ARB Level 3 VDECS and the sponsor has submitted documentation to the ERO that the requirements of this exception provision apply. If granted an exception to A(1)(b)(ii), the project sponsor must comply with the requirements of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Equipment with engines meeting Tier 4 Interim or Tier 4 Final emission standards automatically meet this requirement, therefore a VDECS would not be required.

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility Monitoring/				
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

A(1)(c)(iii).

iii. If an exception is granted pursuant to A(1)(c)(ii), the project sponsor shall provide the next cleanest piece of off-road equipment as provided by the step down schedules in Table 4.8-13, Off-Road Equipment Compliance Step-Down Schedule.

Table 4.8	-13 Off-Road Equipment Compliance Step- Down Schedule			
Compliance Alternative	Engine Emission Standard	Emissions Control		
1	Tier 2	ARB Level 2 VDECS		
2	Tier 2	ARB Level 1 VDECS		

**How to use the table:** If the requirements of (A)(1)(b) cannot be met, then the project sponsor would need to meet Compliance Alternative 1. Should the project sponsor not be able to supply off-road equipment meeting Compliance Alternative 1, then Compliance Alternative 2 would need to be met. Should the project sponsor not be able to supply off-road equipment meeting Compliance Alternative 2, then Compliance Alternative 3 would need to be met.

Alternative Fuel\*

Tier 2

\* Alternative fuels are not a VDECS.

3

2. The project sponsor shall require the idling time for off-road and on-road equipment be limited to no more than two minutes, except as provided in exceptions to the applicable state regulations regarding idling for off-road and on-road equipment. Legible and visible signs shall be posted

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

in multiple languages (English, Spanish, Chinese) in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to remind operators of the two minute idling limit.

- 3. The project sponsor shall require that construction operators properly maintain and tune equipment in accordance with manufacturer specifications.
- 4. The Plan shall include estimates of the construction timeline by phase with a description of each piece of off-road equipment required for every construction phase. Off-road equipment descriptions and information may include, but is not limited to: equipment type, equipment manufacturer, equipment identification number, engine model year, engine certification (Tier rating), horsepower, engine serial number, and expected fuel usage and hours of operation. For VDECS installed: technology type, serial number, make, model, manufacturer, ARB verification number level, and installation date and hour meter reading on installation date. For offroad equipment using alternative fuels, reporting shall indicate the type of alternative fuel being used.
- The Plan shall be kept on-site and available for 5. review by any persons requesting it and a legible sign shall be posted at the perimeter of the construction site indicating to the public the basic requirements of the Plan and a way to request a copy of the Plan. The project sponsor shall provide copies of Plan to members of the public as requested.
- B. Reporting. Monthly reports shall be submitted to the ERO Project sponsor/ Monthly indicating the construction phase and off-road equipment contractor(s). information used during each phase including the information required in A(4). In addition, for off-road

reports.

Submit monthly Project sponsor/ contractor(s) and the ERO.

complete on findings by ERO that Plan is being/was

Considered

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Monitoring/ Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
equipment using alternative fuels, reporting shall include the actual amount of alternative fuel used.					implemented.
Within six months of the completion of construction activities, the project sponsor shall submit to the ERO a final report summarizing construction activities. The final report shall indicate the start and end dates and duration of each construction phase. For each phase, the report shall include detailed information required in A(4). In addition, for off-road equipment using alternative fuels, reporting shall include the actual amount of alternative fuel used.					
C. Certification Statement and On-Site Requirements. Prior to the commencement of construction activities, the project sponsor must certify (1) compliance with the Plan and (2) all applicable requirements of the Plan have been incorporated into contract specifications.					
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-3.3 – Maximum Daily Construction Activities. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas and at the six project sites: Impacts AQ-3.3 and C-AQ-2] Construction activities shall be limited to the renovation (including architectural coating) of a maximum of 100,000 square feet of building space at a time.	, <b>1</b>	Ongoing during construction	Maximum daily construction activities	Project Sponsor; Contractor; Planning Department; and the ERO	Considered complete after construction activities have ended
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-4.1a – Best Available Control Technology for Diesel Generators. [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas: Impacts AQ-4.1 and AQ-4.3]All new (i.e., not replacement) diesel generators shall have engines that (1) meet Tier 4 Final or Tier 4 Interim emission standards, or (2) meet Tier 2 emission standards and are equipped with a California Air Resources Board (ARB) Level 3 Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy (VDECS).	and contractor	Prior to issuance of permit for backup diesel generator from City agency.	Submittal of plans detailing compliance and documentation of compliance with BAAQMD Regulation 2, Rules 2 and 5.	Project sponsor and the ERO.	Considered complete approval of plans detailing compliance.

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility			Monitoring/	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule
Mitigation Measure M-AQ-4.1b – Best Available Control Technology for Boilers [Applies to growth in the 12 study areas: Impacts AQ-4.1 and AQ-4.3] All new (i.e., not replacement) boilers shall be natural gas operated. If infeasible, all boilers shall be equipped with Best Available Control Technologies, such as fuel gas filters, or baghouse or electrostatic precipitators. BACTs shall be approved by BAAQMD through the permitting process.	and contractor	Prior to issuance of permit for boiler from City agency	Submittal of plans detailing compliance and documentation of compliance with BAAQMD Regulation	Project sponsor and the ERO.	Considered complete approval of plans detailing compliance.

Mitigation Measure M-AQ-4.1c – Air Filtration Measures within Project sponsor an Air Pollutant Exposure Zone. [Applies to growth in the 12 and contractor

study areas: Impacts AQ-4.1 and AQ-4.3] Air Filtration and Ventilation Requirements for Sensitive Land Uses. Prior to receipt of a building permit for a change of use to a sensitive land use, the project sponsor shall submit an enhanced ventilation plan for the proposed building(s). The enhanced ventilation plan shall be prepared and signed by, or under the supervision of, a licensed mechanical engineer or other individual authorized by the California Business And Professions Code Sections 6700-6799. The enhanced ventilation plan shall show that the building ventilation system will be capable of achieving protection from particulate matter (PM2.5) equivalent to that associated with a Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) 13 filtration, as defined by American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) standard 52.2. The enhanced ventilation plan shall explain in detail how the project will meets the MERV-13 performance standard identified in this measure.

*Maintenance Plan.* Prior to receipt of a building permit for a change of use to a sensitive land use, the project sponsor shall present a plan that ensures ongoing maintenance for the ventilation and filtration systems.

*Disclosure to Renters.* The project sponsor shall also ensure the disclosure to buyers (and renters) that the building is located in an area with existing sources of air pollution and as such, the

		0		
or	Prior to receipt of a	Enhanced	Project sponsor	Ongoing during
r	building permit	Ventilation Plan;	and the ERO.	operation

Maintenance Plan; disclosure to buyers and renters

	MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM				
	Responsibility Monitoring/				
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measures	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

building includes an air filtration and ventilation system designed to remove 80 percent of outdoor particulate matter and shall inform occupants of the proper use of the installed air filtration system.

## HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Mitigation Measure M-HZ-2.1 – Testing and Removal of Project sponsor Hazardous Building Materials. [Applies to growth in the 12 and contractor study areas and at PS-1, PS-2, PS-3, PS-4, and PS-6: Impacts HZ-

2.1, HZ-2.2, HZ-2.3, and C-HZ-1] AAU shall ensure that for any existing building where tenant improvements are planned, the building is surveyed for hazardous building materials including PCB-containing electrical equipment, fluorescent light ballasts containing PCBs or DEHP, and fluorescent light tubes containing mercury vapors. The results of testing shall be provided to DBI. The materials not meeting regulatory standards shall be removed and properly disposed of prior to the start of tenant improvements for buildings in the study areas. Old light ballasts that are removed during renovation shall be evaluated for the presence of PCBs. In the case where the presence of PCBs in the light ballast cannot be verified, the light ballast shall be assumed to contain PCBs and handled and disposed of as such, according to applicable laws and regulations. Any other hazardous building materials identified either before or during demolition or renovation shall be abated according to federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Prior to building Ensure improvements

hazardous materials are properly disposed

Project sponsor; Considered contractor; Department of Building Inspection (DBI)

complete when equipment containing PCBs or DEHP or other hazardous materials are properly disposed