

# State Legislative Update



Joshua W. Shaw Paul J. Yoder

July 23, 2013

### BONDS – PROPOSITION 1B, VERSION 2.0?

- Prop 1B very successful but nearing expiration
  - State's debt service too high; "wall of debt"
  - Competition (schools, water bond)
- Polling... not awesome
- Early Prediction: Slim chance

#### LOWERING THE VOTE THRESHOLD

- Currently 2/3 for local funding measures
- Lots of legislative attention, but...
  - 19 Self-help counties for transportation (70% of all revenue)
  - 8 constitutional measures so far
    - Lots of competition for transportation
  - SCA 4 (Liu) and SCA 8 (Corbett) address transportation specifically
- <u>Early Prediction</u>: Legislature puts *something* on ballot, but not until NEXT year

### Competing constitutional measures

- <u>ACA 3 (Campos)</u>: Allows >1% property tax for bond debt service on public safety buildings + allows 55% vote for special tax for public safety
- <u>ACA 6 (Gatto)</u>: Can only amend California Constitution w/55% vote
- <u>ACA 8 (Blumenfield)</u>: Allows >1% property tax for bond debt service on transportation (streets, transit, highways) & other public infrastructure facilities + allows 55% vote for bonds for same
- <u>SCA 4 (Liu)</u>: Allows 55% vote for special taxes for transportation projects

- <u>SCA 7 (Wolk)</u>: Allows >1% property tax for bond debt service on public library buildings + allows 55% vote for special tax for public libraries + allows 55% vote for bonds for same
- <u>SCA 8 (Corbett)</u>: Allows 55% vote for special taxes for transportation projects
- <u>SCA 9 (Corbett)</u>: Allows 55% vote for special taxes for community and economic development projects
- <u>SCA 11 (Hancock)</u>: Allows 55% vote for special taxes

### **"VEHICLE LICENSE FEE" OR "VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE"?**

- Fee vs. Tax = All the same to voters?
  - Flexible uses
  - Focus likely on streets, roads and highways; a little transit rehab
- Polling... maybe
- <u>Early Prediction</u>: Tough sell, lots of interest... maybe legislative solution/ not to ballot (would guess VRF, *not* VLF)

GOVERNOR BROWN'S "CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES" (CTIP) PROCESS

- Authorized Transportation Agency Secretary to conduct review, with stakeholder panel
- Tackling California Transportation Commission's \$300 billion transportation "need" report
  - Gap can't be addressed in one measure
  - Look for mix of tools
  - State must acknowledge High-Speed Rail *and* SB 375
- <u>Early Prediction</u>: Governor proposes... *something* (in 2014); work on it *after* re-election

### CTIP: Governor's charge

Beginning in the spring of 2013, the Agency will convene a workgroup consisting of state and local transportation stakeholders to refine the transportation infrastructure needs assessment, explore long-term, pay-as-you-go funding options, and evaluate the most appropriate level of government to deliver high-priority investments to meet the state's infrastructure needs.

- January 2013 Governor's Budget

### **CTIP:** Lenses for analysis

- **Preserve** Care for what we have "fix it first."
- <u>Innovate</u> Employ technology and better system management to help the state better meet its three core transportation objectives: safety, mobility, and sustainability.
- Integrate Implement SB375 plans, coordinate transit and high speed rail investments to improve system performance, and strengthen key state/federal/local/stakeholder partnerships.
- <u>Perform</u> Utilize performance measures to ensure taxpayers know their investments will have value.

## **CTIP:** Lenses for analysis

- 1. How do we protect our transportation assets? What are our highest infrastructure priorities related to *preservation*?
- 2. What are the most promising transportation *innovations* to improve system performance?
- 3. Integration: How can we partner to support SB 375 implementation and Regional Transportation Plans? Where should California invest its funds to support seamless transportation?
- 4. How do we define *"performance"*? How do we measure return on investment for our infrastructure priorities?
- 5. What other questions do we need to ask related to transportation infrastructure priorities?

#### **CAP AND TRADE**

- Fuel industry could generate billion(s)?
  - Should be re-invested in GHG-reducing clean transportation
  - Should be overseen by regional and local governments
  - Auctions began/ have occurred in FY '12-13 [~\$500 million+]
  - But fuel not fully capped until FY '15
    - Governor put hold on program in FY '13-14
- Transportation Coalition for Livable Communities
  - California Alliance for Jobs; CSAC; League of California Cities; California Transit Association; CALCOG; MTC; NRDC; Transportation California; APCDs; COGs; etc.
  - Coalition message is STICKING
- <u>Early Prediction</u>: Good chance for significant 2015+ revenues; outside chance for FY '14-15 (don't need ballot measure... yet)