RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPT FINDINGS RELATING TO AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL PLAN TO: (1) AMEND THE TEXT OF THE VAN NESS AREA PLAN TO SUPPORT A HIGH DENSITY MEDICAL CENTER AT THE INTERSECTION OF VAN NESS AVENUE AND GEARY STREET/BOULEVARD THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CITY'S BETTER STREETS PLAN AND REFLECT VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF THIS USE; (2) AMEND MAP 1 OF THE VAN NESS AREA PLAN (“VNAP”) TO DESIGNATE THE SITES PROPOSED FOR THE NEW CATHEDRAL HILL CAMPUS HOSPITAL AND CATHEDRAL HILL MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING (“MOB”) AS “THE VAN NESS MEDICAL USE SUBDISTRICT”, AND TO INCREASE THE ALLOWABLE FLOOR AREA RATIO (“FAR”) FOR THE CATHEDRAL HILL CAMPUS MOB SITE FROM 7.1:1 TO 7.5:1; (3) AMEND MAP 2 OF THE VAN NESS AREA PLAN TO CREATE A 230-V HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT COTERMINOUS WITH THE HOSPITAL SITE, IN ORDER TO AMEND THE HEIGHT LIMIT FOR THE CATHEDRAL HILL CAMPUS HOSPITAL SITE FROM 130'-0" TO 230'-0"; AND (4) AMEND MAP 5 OF THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT TO REFLECT THE PROPOSED MAXIMUM PLAN AND MAXIMUM DIAGONAL PLAN DIMENSIONS OF 385'-0" AND 466'-0", RESPECTIVELY, FOR THE CATHEDRAL HILL CAMPUS HOSPITAL SITE, AND 265'-0" AND 290'-0", RESPECTIVELY, FOR THE CATHEDRAL HILL CAMPUS MOB SITE; AND MAKE AND ADOPT FINDINGS, INCLUDING FINDINGS UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTION 340, ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1. THIS MOTION SUPERSEDES IN ITS ENTIRETY RESOLUTION NO. 18591, APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON APRIL 26, 2012.
PREAMBLE

On June 10, 2005, Ralph F. Marchese of The Marchese Company, Inc., acting on behalf of the California Pacific Medical Center (hereinafter referred to variously as “CPMC” and “Project Sponsor”), submitted an Environmental Evaluation Application (“EEA”) with the Planning Department (“Department”), Case No. 2005.0555E. The Department issued a Notice of Preparation of Environmental Review on July 1, 2006, to owners of properties within 300 feet, adjacent tenants, and other potentially interested parties. However, as planning for the CPMC Long Range Development Plan (“LRDP”) continued, additional components were added to the LRDP that resulted in a reissuance of a revised NOP for a 30-day public review period on May 27, 2009.

On July 21, 2010, the Draft Environmental Impact Report (“DEIR”) for CPMC’s LRDP Project, including the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and Medical Office Building (“MOB”) Project, was prepared and published for public review, and was available for public comment until October 19, 2010.

On September 23, 2010, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to solicit comments regarding the DEIR. On March 29, 2012, the Department published a Comments and Responses document, responding to comments made regarding the DEIR prepared for the LRDP. Together, the Comments and Responses document, the DEIR, and any Errata Sheets, (the Appendices to the DEIR and C&R document), Department staff testimony and responses to questions and comments at the Commission’s April 26, 2012, public hearing regarding certification of the Final EIR, and all of the supporting information that has been reviewed and considered by the Department, comprise the Final EIR for the LRDP (“FEIR”).

On April 26, 2012, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR and found that the contents of said report and the procedures through which the FEIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) (“CEQA”), 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 15000 et seq. (the “CEQA Guidelines”), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (“Chapter 31”).

The Commission found the FEIR was adequate, accurate and objective, reflected the independent analysis and judgment of the Department and the Commission, and that the summary of comments and responses contained no significant revisions to the DEIR, and certified the FEIR for the LRDP Project in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31.

Department staff prepared a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting program (“MMRP”) for the LRDP Project, which material was made available to the public and this Commission for this Commission’s review, consideration and action.

On June 10, 2010, the Project Sponsor submitted a request, as modified by subsequent submittals, to the Department to amend the following sections of the General Plan: (1) the text of the Van Ness Area Plan to support a high density medical center at the intersection of Van Ness Avenue and Geary Boulevard that

---

1 At the time of this application, the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site was within the boundaries, and was governed by the land use controls, of the Western Addition A-2 Plan. Those controls expired on January 1, 2009.
is consistent with the City’s Better Streets Plan and reflect various elements of this use; (2) “Map 1 – Generalized Land Use and Density Plan” of the Van Ness Area Plan to designate the sites proposed for the new Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and MOB as “The Van Ness Medical Use Subdistrict”, and to increase the allowable floor area ratio (“FAR”) for the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site from 7:1:1 to 9:1, and to increase the FAR for the Cathedral Hill Campus MOB site from 7:1:1 to 7:5:1; (3) “Map 2 – Height and Bulk Districts” of the Van Ness Area Plan to create a 265-V Height and Bulk District coterminous with the Hospital site, in order to amend the height limit for the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site from 130’-0” to 265’-0”; (4) “Map 4 – Height Map” of the Urban Design Element, to reflect a maximum height applicable to the Hospital site of 265’-0”; and (5) “Map 5 – Bulk Map” of the Urban Design Element, to reflect the proposed maximum plan and maxim diagonal plan dimensions of 385’-0” and 466’-0”, respectively, for the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site, and 265’-0” and 290’-0”, respectively, for the Cathedral Hill Campus MOB site (2009.0885M), with respect to a proposal to: (1) demolish the existing Cathedral Hill Hotel and 1255 Post Street office building (Assessor's Block/Lot 0695-005, 006) and construct a new, approximately 15 story, 555-bed, 875,378 gsf acute care hospital with 513 underground parking spaces at 1101 Van Ness Avenue; (2) demolish seven existing vacant residential and commercial buildings (Assessor's Blocks/Lots 0694-005, 0694-006, 0694-007, 0694-008, 0694-009, 0694-009A, 0694-010) and construct a new, approximately 261,691 gsf MOB with 542 underground parking spaces at 1100 Van Ness Avenue; (3) construct a pedestrian tunnel under Van Ness Avenue to connect the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital to the Cathedral Hill Campus MOB; and (4) various streetscape, sidewalk, and landscape improvements surrounding the Campus (collectively, “Cathedral Hill Project”), within the RC-4 (Residential-Commercial, High Density) District, VNSUD, and 130-V Height and Bulk District.

On June 10, 2010, the Project Sponsor submitted a request, as modified by subsequent submittals, to the Department to amend the following sections of the San Francisco Planning Code: Section 243, the Van Ness Special Use District, to create a new Van Ness Medical Use Subdistrict, that would allow an FAR up to 9:1 for the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site and 7:5:1 for the Cathedral Hill Campus MOB site; allow modification of otherwise applicable standards for building projections to allow for coverage of drop-off and entry areas required by medical facilities; allow modification of otherwise applicable standards for obstructions over streets or alleys for vertical dimension and horizontal projections to allow architectural features that achieve appropriate articulation of building facades and that reduce pedestrian level wind currents; allow modification through Conditional Use Authorization of otherwise applicable standards for street frontage requirements as necessary for large-plate medical facilities on sloping sites with multiple frontages; allow modification through Conditional Use Authorization of otherwise applicable parking standards for medical centers, provided that the amount of parking shall not exceed 150% of the number of spaces otherwise allowed by the Planning Code; allow modification of otherwise applicable loading standards for medical centers; and to allow modification through Conditional Use Authorization of otherwise applicable bulk standards to allow for the unique massing requirements of medical facilities. (Case No. 2009.0885T).

On June 10, 2010, the Project Sponsor submitted a request, as modified by subsequent submittals, to the Department to amend the following Zoning Maps of the San Francisco Planning Code: (1) Map HT02 to reclassify the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site from 130-V to 265-V Height and Bulk District; and (2) Map SU02 to show the boundaries of the Van Ness Medical Use Subdistrict (Case No. 2009.0885Z).
On June 10, 2010, the Project Sponsor filed an application, as modified by subsequent submittals, with the Department for Conditional Use Authorization to allow (1) the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and Cathedral Hill Campus MOB as a medical center use within the RC-4 District and pursuant to the provisions for the Van Ness Special Use District ("VNSUD"); (2) allow construction of buildings over 50’-0” in an RC-4 District; (3) authorize demolition of five residential dwelling-units at the Cathedral Hill Campus MOB site; (4) modify standards for active ground floor uses and width of curb cuts; (5) provide an exception to allow wind speeds greater than 11 mph at certain sidewalk locations around the perimeter of the Campus; (6) modify the bulk limits applicable to the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and MOB sites; (7) modify the 3:1 residential to net new non-residential ratio requirement in the VNSUD, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 145.1, 209.3, 243, 253, 270, 271, 303, and 317.

On June 10, 2010, the Project Sponsor submitted a request, as modified by subsequent submittals, for the allocation of Office Space for approximately 242,987 sf of medical office space along with ancillary hospital and medical support service space on the upper floors of the proposed Cathedral Hill Campus MOB (Case No. 2009.0885B).

On April 28, 2011, the Project Sponsor submitted a request for a General Plan Referral, Case No. 2009.0885R, regarding construction of a tunnel that would connect the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and MOB sites below grade under Van Ness Avenue, installation of two diesel fuel tanks under the Geary Boulevard sidewalk at the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site; and sidewalk widening along various streets adjacent to the Campus (2009.0885R).

On March 30, 2012, the Project Sponsor submitted an Application for a Development Agreement relating to the construction and reconstruction of Health Care Facilities in furtherance of the CPMC’s Long Range Development Plan by and between the City and County of San Francisco and CPMC, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 56.4. This Application was endorsed and accepted as complete by the Planning Director on April 4, 2012.

On April 5, 2012, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and adopted Resolution No. 18571, initiating the requested General Plan Amendments.

On April 10, 2012, the Mayor, at the Board of Supervisors hearing, introduced the (1) Planning Code Text Amendments in Board File No. 120357; (2) the Zoning Map Amendments in Board File No. 120359, (3) the street encroachment ordinance in Board File No.120362, (4) the Development Agreement in Board File No. 120366, and (5) sidewalk width legislation in Board File No. 120364.

On April 26, 2012, by Motion No. 18588, the Commission certified as accurate, adequate and complete the FEIR for the LRDP Project, which includes the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital and MOB Project. A copy of Commission Motion No. 18588 is in the file for Case No. 2005.0555E. Also on April 26, 2012, by Motion No. 18589, the Commission adopted findings, including a statement of overriding considerations, and an MMRP, pursuant to CEQA.

On April 26, 2012, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and adopted: (1) Motion No. 18592, approving the General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1 Findings; (2) Resolution No. 18597, recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve
the requested Planning Code Text Amendments and the requested Zoning Map Amendments; (3) Motion No. 18598, approving the Conditional Use Authorization; (4) Motion No. 18599, approving the Office Allocation; (5) Motion No. 18600, approving the General Plan Referral; and (6) Resolution No. 18602, recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve the Development Agreement.

On April 26, 2012, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Case No. 2009.0885MTZCBRSK.

On May 16, 2012, an appeal of Planning Commission Motion No. 18588 certifying the FEIR was filed with the Board and the Board held a duly noticed public hearing July 17, 2012 to consider the appeal of the FEIR certification and on March 12, 2013, by adoption of Motion No. M13-042, the Board rejected the appeal and affirmed the decision of the Planning Commission to certify the FEIR and found the FEIR to be complete, adequate, and objective, and reflecting the independent judgment of the City in compliance with CEQA, the Guidelines and Chapter 31.

On June 15, June 25, July 9 and July 16, 2012, having received the Planning Commission's recommendations, a Land Use Committee of the Board held public hearings on the prior version of the project and draft development agreement and other draft approvals and thereafter, CPMC, working with City staff, proposed revisions to the project and to the draft development agreement and approvals.

On March 12, 2013, the Board adopted Resolution No. 77-13, endorsing a term sheet for a revised CPMC LRDP Project which includes an increase in size of the new hospital at the St. Luke's Campus (from 80 to 120 beds), and a decrease in the size of the new hospital at the Cathedral Hill Campus (from 555 beds to 274-304 beds). The Resolution urged City staff to make the preparation of revised planning approval documents among its highest priorities and to present to the Planning Commission the revised documents and approvals necessary for the revised CPMC LRDP Project.

Staff subsequently worked with the project sponsor to identify revisions to the April 26, 2012, Planning Commission approvals to reflect the revised CPMC LRDP Project, including the following changes to the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital site as compared to the original CPMC LRDP Project: decreased maximum height (from 265 feet to 230 feet), FAR (from 9.0:1 to 7.0:1) and parking spaces (513 to 276)².

On April 1, 2013, CPMC revised its EEA to reflect the revised CPMC LRDP Project, consistent with the term sheet endorsed by Board Resolution No. 77-13, including the revisions to the Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital described above.

On April 9, 2013, CPMC submitted a letter asking the Planning Department to modify the CPMC LRDP Project applications as required to reflect the term sheet endorsed by the Board.

² The project sponsor is considering the distribution of parking spaces among the Cathedral Hill parking garages, and the actual number of spaces per garage may vary, but will not exceed the lesser of 990 spaces or 125% of the Code minimum required number of spaces for the overall Cathedral Hill Campus.
On April 11, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and adopted Resolution No. 18844, initiating the requested General Plan Amendments for the revised CPMC LRDP Project.

On May 9, 2013, Department staff made available the Addendum to the FEIR for the revised CPMC LRDP Project ("Addendum"), an updated MMRP, and the revised approval documents for the revised CPMC LRDP Project, all as more particularly described in Motion No. 18880.

On May 23, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and adopted Resolution No. 18880, adopting CEQA findings, including a Statement of Overriding Considerations, and adopting an updated MMRP, and adopted other Motions and Resolutions with respect to the revised CPMC LRDP Project. In accordance with the actions contemplated herein, the Commission has reviewed the FEIR and the Addendum and adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the findings, including the statement of overriding considerations, pursuant to CEQA, adopted by the Commission on May 23, 2013, in Motion No. 18880.

The Planning Department, Jonas P. Ionin, is the custodian of records, located in the File for Case No. 2005.0555E, at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California.

On May 23, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and adopted the following Motions and Resolutions superseding in their entirety the April 26, 2012 approvals: (1) Motion No. 18883, making findings of consistency with the General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1; (2) Resolution No. 18888, recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve the requested Planning Code Text and Map Amendments; (3) Motion No. 18889 approving the Conditional Use Authorization; (4) Motion No. 18890, approving the allocation of the proposed office space; (5) Motion No. 18891 approving a General Plan Referral; and (6) Motion No. 18893, recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve the proposed revised draft Development Agreement; and

On May 23, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on General Plan Amendment Application No. 2009.0885MTZCBRSK.

The Planning Department, Jonas P. Ionin, is the custodian of records, located in the File for Case No. 2009.0885MTZCBRSK, at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

The Commission has reviewed the proposed General Plan Amendment Ordinances; and

MOVED, that the Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve the proposed General Plan Amendment Ordinances, and adopt the attached Resolution to that effect.
FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The Commission finds the Cathedral Hill Project to be a beneficial development to the City that could not be accommodated without the actions requested.

2. CPMC has provided quality health care to the San Francisco community for over 150 years. It is the largest medical center in the City, and is presently responsible for about one-third of all hospitalizations, about one-half of all births in the City, about 40 percent of all patients receiving health services in the City and almost 40 percent of emergency visits. Each year CPMC cares for more than 75,000 persons in its emergency departments. The LRDP would ensure CPMC’s continued existence and viability in San Francisco.

3. The existing acute care hospitals at the Pacific and California Campuses do not meet State seismic standards. Regardless of the State legal mandate, it is in the public interest that CPMC meet these seismic standards as soon as possible. These Ordinances, along with the Development Agreement and related approvals, achieve the objective of allowing CPMC’s facilities to be rebuilt to meet the desired and legally mandated seismic standards, without any interruption in delivery of acute care services at the existing hospitals due to construction.

4. The Cathedral Hill Hotel and 1255 Post Street office building sites were selected for the location of a new acute care hospital because these aggregated parcels met CPMC’s site selection objectives, including: (1) being available for sale; (2) being large enough to accommodate the colocation of acute care services from the California and Pacific Campuses; (3) preventing the interruption of existing services at the California and Pacific Campuses during the construction; (4) being located on geologically stable soil; (5) being at a major transit nexus; and (6) the availability of adjacent properties for the construction of a medical office building.

5. The General Plan was not created with the new construction of hospitals as a focused land use typology, and thus does not recognize the complexity, site and Building Code constraints, and health care delivery intricacies involved therein.

6. A number of conforming amendments to elements of the San Francisco General Plan, including General Plan maps, are required in order to resolve the aforementioned issues and facilitate the implementation of the CPMC LRDP.

7. The CPMC LRDP and its proposed amendments to the General Plan support the underlying goals of the General Plan, such as maintaining a sound and diverse economic base, providing expanded employment opportunities, promoting high quality urban design, enhancing San Francisco’s position as a national and regional center for health services, and promoting adequate health services in all geographic districts.

8. CPMC’s facilities, particularly if they are rebuilt to remain operational after an earthquake, are an essential part of the City’s preparation for, and ability to respond to a disaster. If CPMC were not to build the new hospitals, the City would lose approximately one-third of all acute care beds, and three full-service emergency departments, one of which provides specialty pediatric emergency care.
9. Construction of the LRDP will double the number of earthquake safe beds in San Francisco, inject $2 billion into the local economy, and create 1,500 high paying union construction jobs.

10. The LRDP would allow the City to retain CPMC as a substantial employer, employing over 6,000, of which about half are San Francisco residents. The LRDP would also permit the City to retain and enhance its domestic and international reputation as an education, training, and research center for medical services that benefit the residents of San Francisco. This benefits the City and its residents because it will attract patients, doctors and researchers to San Francisco.

11. Under the terms of the Development Agreement, CPMC would increase entry-level local construction employment and internship opportunities. CPMC would make good faith efforts to achieve 30% local hire measured by construction trade hours for the Near-Term Projects under the LRDP overall for each contractor, by each trade. CPMC would achieve 50% local hire for new entry-level administrative and engineering positions and internships, would fill half of all new apprentice positions with graduates from the CityBuild Academy, and would create and administer a structured program to advance apprentices from CityBuild Academy to journey-level status in their trade by the end of the Project. CPMC plans to hire at least 40% of all permanent entry-level hires annual for the term of the DA from the City’s workforce system, targeting residents of the Western Addition, Tenderloin, Mission/SOMA, Outer Mission/Excelsior, Chinatown and Southeastern neighborhoods. CPMC would also provide $4 million for community workforce services, which would provide grants to community-based organizations through the City’s Office of Economic and Workforce Development for recruitment, training, and job retention services.

12. The Near-Term Projects will assure the availability of modern and high quality, general and specialized inpatient and out-patient, emergency and urgent health care to the residents of San Francisco, including seniors, Medicare, Medi-Cal, insured and un-insured.

13. The Near-Term Projects at the Cathedral Hill Campus will assure the availability of medical offices for physicians located near hospital facilities to serve the residents of San Francisco.

14. The new Cathedral Hill Campus Hospital would be a full-service, acute care hospital with an approximately 24,530 sf emergency department integrated into the CPMC city-wide system of care. It would provide critical services including inpatient medical care, Obstetrics/Gynecology, Medical/Surgical, Intensive Care, as well as specialized programs such as organ transplantation, interventional cardiology and newborn intensive care.

15. Emergency services, including psychiatric emergency care, would be provided at the St. Luke’s, Davies and Cathedral Hill Campuses. These emergency departments serve patients regardless of ability to pay.

16. The 18 psychiatric inpatient beds in the mental health center on the Pacific Campus would remain in service.

17. Under the terms of the proposed Development Agreement, CPMC would commit to providing services to the poor and underserved, including traditional charity care, hospital care for additional Medi-Cal managed care beneficiaries enrolled in the San Francisco Health Plan, unpaid costs and other benefits for the poor and underserved. Specifically, CPMC would commit to:
a. Two new, seismically-safe hospitals, at the St. Luke’s and Cathedral Hill campuses;

b. A secure future for St. Luke’s hospital;

c. Significantly increased provision of healthcare for low-income and underserved San Franciscans, including hospital care for 5,400 additional Medi-Cal beneficiaries, and caring for a baseline of about 30,000 unduplicated Medi-Cal or charity care patients plus $8 million in community benefits annually; and

d. $9 million endowment by CPMC of a new Community Care Innovation Fund, to support the services of community clinics and other social service organizations.

18. Under the terms of the proposed Development Agreement, CPMC would provide additional funding to the City, including:

a. $5 million in funding for the proposed Van Ness and Geary BRT projects;

b. $6.5 million transit fee to MTA to help alleviate transit delay and meet new demands on the transit system associated with the new Cathedral Hill Campus;

c. A surcharge on parking at the new Cathedral Hill Campus of $0.50 off-peak and $0.75 peak for each entry and exit to provide an estimated $300,000 per year of additional funding to MTA for a period of 10 years;

d. $4.25 million in funding for pedestrian safety and public realm improvements in the Tenderloin, including pedestrian-scale lighting, sidewalk widening and changing one-way streets to two-way;

e. $1.55 million in funding for transit and safety improvements in the neighborhoods surrounding the Cathedral Hill Campus;

f. $400,000 in funding to MTA for studies regarding improvements to bicycle facilities around and between the proposed new CPMC facilities;

g. $200,000 grant for the Safe Passage Pilot Program in the Tenderloin;

h. A series of pedestrian safety improvements around the Davies Campus, valued at approximately $475,000;

i. A series of pedestrian safety improvements around the St. Luke’s Campus, valued at approximately $3,300,000;

j. A contribution of $3 million for enforcement and traffic safety measures around the Pacific and California Campuses.

19. The LRDP will be constructed at no cost to the City, and will provide substantial direct and indirect economic benefits to the City;

20. The LRDP is necessary and desirable, is compatible with the surrounding neighborhoods, and would not be detrimental to persons or adjacent properties in the vicinity;

21. The General Plan Amendments are necessary in order to approve the CPMC LRDP Project;

22. **General Plan Compliance.** The Cathedral Hill Project is, on balance, consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan, as outlined in **Motion No. 18883**.
23. Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Cathedral Hill Project complies with said policies, as outlined in Motion No. 18883.

24. The Cathedral Hill Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) as outlined in Motion No. 18883 and also in that, as designed, the Cathedral Hill Project would contribute to the healthcare delivery and emergency services in San Francisco, include substantial economic benefits to the City during both the construction and operational phases, provide substantial other public benefits as outlined in the proposed Development Agreement, and be compatible with the character and stability of the neighborhood, thereby constituting a beneficial development.

25. Based on the foregoing, the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed General Plan amendments.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Resolution on May 23, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin
Acting Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Antonini, Borden, Fong, Hillis, Moore, Sugaya, Wu

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: May 23, 2013