

16:49:05 From Jennifer H : Hi Seung Yen!

16:53:20 From mikeahrens to seung yen hong(Privately) : Will you enable audio for CAC members?

17:11:33 From Wynd Kaufmyn : Are there any faculty from Facilities Committee on the MOU team?

17:14:18 From Wynd Kaufmyn : Does she mean Principles and Parameters?

17:14:34 From Jean Barish : What is the new number for the parking need?

17:18:10 From E. Simon Hanson : THE FACILITIES MASTER PLAN IDENTIFIED A HAZARD ON THE COLLEGE'S SIDE OF THAT ROAD IF THERE IS A CHANGE IN NORTH ROAD—IN ADDITION TO THE HAZARD OF BISSECTING A PARKING LOT WITH A ROAD.

17:20:39 From E. Simon Hanson : The only reason there has been no objection to moving the North Road is because the College Community has been told that discussion of this change had been taken OFF the table over a month ago.

17:23:17 From Jennifer H : How do we make comments if we are on the telephone?

17:25:15 From Jennifer H : Maybe this was answered...I was elsewhere for a short bit...aren't the Diego Rivera theater, STEAM building and initial Balboa Reservoir development planned simultaneously?

17:26:14 From SF Housing Action Coalition : I've had a hard time raising hands on phone. Maybe a "thumbs up" or something if there isn't raise hand option?

17:26:25 From Sue Exline : press \*9 to raise your hand if you're calling from the phone

17:27:05 From Sue Exline : but I will make sure to give folks extra time to make a comment

17:40:23 From Jennifer H : Educational retraining during the pandemic is a very high good.

17:40:58 From Corey Smith : `

17:43:08 From Harry Bernstein : The land isn't really being paid by the private developer. That was the promise, that was the City's assumption, but the reality is that many millions has been promised as subsidies from the State and the City. If there is so much coming from the public sector, let us have a less dense production focusing on affordable units. There is not a need, especially in Covid times, for more market rate housing.

17:43:58 From E. Simon Hanson : Or a phased development with ultimately MORE affordable units.

17:49:08 From Hildegard D. : can we go back to see who's talking?

17:49:41 From Jennifer H : Who did the appraisal?

17:50:07 From Rita Evans : I want to second Amy's comments regarding the alignment of North Avenue. A correct alignment could contribute to safety and improved traffic flow for all modes along Frida Kahlo. A poorly chosen alignment could add to traffic woes for all users and make the area less safe.

17:50:10 From Harry Bernstein : Is that appraisal available? I understand that it has been concealed—for how long it is not known.

17:50:34 From Jean Barish : The Appraisal will be kept confidential until after the deal

has been signed. The deep discount is based on giving the developer a write-off for "benefits" that the developer is obligated to pay for anyhow: i.e. affordable housing; infrastructure costs; transit improvements, etc. This is corporate welfare, and a sham that shouldn't be tolerated.

17:51:42 From Jean Barish : The data in the Development Agreement indicates that infrastructure is being paid for by public funding, not the Developer.

17:52:32 From Jennifer H : Did the PUC endorse the appraisal without seeing it?

17:52:43 From Harry Bernstein : Please read Jean Barish's comment about who is paying for the infrastructure.

17:52:45 From Jean Barish : Good question. Hard to know.

17:53:14 From Brad Wiblin : All public Infrastructure will be paid for in its entirety by the development team, and given to the City when complete.

17:53:52 From Brad Wiblin : The PUC commissioned the appraisal

17:55:11 From Jean Barish : See .pdf page 1250 of this document to see the table of expenses and income for more details

<https://commissions.sfplanning.org/cpcpackets/2018-007883GPAPCAMAPDVA.pdf>

17:58:30 From Harry Bernstein : That was a minimum of 980 units of parking. Should things return to normal (health wise), far more units would often be needed, especially early in the semester.

18:00:42 From Harry Bernstein : Full-time educators (and classified staff) are intended. About half of CCSF faculty are teaching part-time.

18:01:26 From Harry Bernstein : Who are the members of the MOU Committee?

18:03:00 From Harry Bernstein : Does Brigitte anticipate that any CCSF faculty or staff can participate?

18:03:03 From Tomasita Medál : Who is the new Vice Chancellor for Facilities?

18:03:24 From Amy O'Hair : I suggest the MOU meeting information is not readily available online. I cannot find it.

18:05:41 From E. Simon Hanson : I don't believe that it is agonized in any official format so not likely listed online.

18:05:56 From E. Simon Hanson : agendized....

18:10:24 From Brad Wiblin : please provide time and location of the MOU subcommittee meeting

18:11:40 From Harry Bernstein : Second that request

18:17:07 From Wynd Kaufmyn : third that request

18:19:04 From Harry Bernstein : virtually

18:33:51 From E. Simon Hanson : Good to know

18:34:35 From Adlah Chisti : Thank you!!

18:37:03 From Harry Bernstein : BUT THERE WS A PRIVATE APPRAISAL. WHEN WILL THAT BECOME AVAILABLE?

18:37:43 From E. Simon Hanson : Shouldn't the appraisal be a public document?