

## SEPT 2019 BRCAC minutes, corrections and typos:

P3, para2 [in +Joe Kirchofer's section]. Word in last sentence should be "increased".

P3 para4. Mark Dreger's name is misspelled.

P3, para5. Capitalize West Portal. Spell out Right-of-way.

P3, para6. "280" should be "I-280 freeway" or Interstate 280 freeway".

P4, toward bottom. Mark Dreger's name is misspelled twice.

P5, in Ryan Haagsman's comment, Whole Foods should be spelled out.

P6. Westwood Park should be capitalized.

P6. These names of commenters should be corrected:

- Aaron Grosman
- Kate Favetti
- Stephen Martin-Pinto
- Christine Hanson
- Francine LoFrano

## JUNE 2019 BRCAC minutes, corrections and typos:

P4. Correct spelling of commenter's names:

- Chris Hanson
- Chris Pederson

P7. Correct spelling of commenters names:

- Chris Hanson
- Edward Hanson

P8. Correct spelling of commenters names:

- Madeline Mueller
- Chris Pederson
- Wynd Kaufman

P9. Correct spelling of commenters names:

- Irene Kaufman
- Brian Marabello

## **BRCAC 2/10/20 QUESTIONS/COMMENTS**

- 1. Is the City College track and football field within 500 feet of the 280 freeway? If so, was that location or the soccer field next to it checked for APEZ or excessive air pollution?**
- 2. Please note that Lick Wilmerding High School paid for part of the City College playing fields in exchange for use of the fields and track for High School student physical activities. Lick Wilmerding High School students and athletes should be included in the analysis of sensitive receptors whether or not the City College site is considered an APEZ site.**
- 3. Parts of Sunnyside exist within 500 feet of the 280 freeway. Was the SE side of Sunnyside evaluated for cumulative air quality impacts of the development, the freeway, nearby streets and the eastern City College parking lot? If not, why did the Planning Department not evaluate this location?**
- 4. Why was a 24-hour noise monitoring study not implemented for Riordan High School? As I wrote in my comments, only short-term sound assessments were made for Riordan High School, which is also a boarding school, and that testing was for a short period, less than half an hour before 9:30am. Riordan is one of the most adversely impacted sensitive receptors closest to the site. It's disturbing that the Planning Department couldn't even do a 24-hour monitoring test, although it managed to do such tests at distances further away.**
- 4. It's significant that although Planning Department responses to comments acknowledge that those doing strenuous athletics are at greater risk from taking in greater amounts of air pollutants, that risk isn't being counted.**
- 5. Please clarify why short-term severe impacts aren't being counted as harmful and adverse impacts from pollution are only averaged out over the period of development?**
- 6. Please explain why the Balboa Reservoir development was deemed "an environmental leadership development project" when in fact, it is polluting the neighborhood, harming residents, children, childcare center businesses, and students, and will be powering up highly polluting diesel backup generators on multiple buildings on a regular basis? There are technical cleaner alternatives such as electric batteries, available now, which have dropped in price over time.**
- 7.**
- 8. It has been noted in the response to the comment period that "potential changes in the construction schedule could result in a significant and unavoidable impact." Please explain here in this meeting to the neighborhoods how you plan to offset NO2 emissions that exceed the Air District's threshold? Who will benefit from OFFSETS as we donate our health and the brain activity of our children, the future adults of San Francisco?**
- 8. The developers must provide MERV filters at all the sensitive receptor sites potentially significantly adversely impacted by the development, not just the childcare center that they are planning to build.**

**From:** [aj](#)  
**To:** [BRCAC \(ECN\)](#); [Amy O'Hair](#); [Michael Ahrens](#); [Maurice Rivers](#); [bd@brigittedavila.com](#); [rmuehlbauer@live.com](#); [Howard Chung](#); [marktang.cac@gmail.com](#); [Christine Godinez](#); [Jon Winston](#); [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#); [Jonin](#); [Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Hood, Donna \(PUC\)](#); [Shaw, Linda \(MYR\)](#); [Shanell Williams](#); [Tom Temprano](#); [Brigitte Davila](#); [Ivy Lee](#); [Alex Randolph](#); [J. Rizzo](#); [Thea Selby](#); [studenttrustee@mail.ccsf.edu](#); [Mark Rocha](#); [Leslie Milloy](#); [Marian Lam](#)  
**Cc:** [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Lam, Jenny \(MYR\)](#)  
**Subject:** Prop F, Nuru, Reservoir  
**Date:** Thursday, February 6, 2020 3:03:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [2020-2-6 Prop F-Chron, Reservoir.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, BOS:

DPW's Nuru alerted his superior in the City bureaucracy, City Administrator Naomi Kelly, about the FBI's corruption investigation. By doing so, Nuru took the bullet so that those in higher positions could evade being targeted in the corruption investigation.

Today's 2/6/2020 Chronicle has an article entitled "Suit Seeks to gut SF political ad measure" by Dominic Francasa. The article states: *"A handful of prominent San Francisco political operatives are seeking to gut a ballot measure voters overwhelmingly passed last year that pulls back the curtain on who's paying for campaign advertisements."*

Todd David, a prominent backer of the Balboa Reservoir Project, is quoted in the article opposing Prop F which requires disclosure of financial backers in election campaigns:

*"The additional disclosure requirements strike me as being illegal," said Todd David, the group's principal officer and executive director of the Housing Action Coalition, an organization closely aligned with the mayor's office. "I'm very concerned that this limits the ability of campaigns, particularly small campaigns to communicate."*

What this Todd David quote really means is that Housing Action Coalition's façade of being representative of the citizenry would be stripped away by disclosure that HAC is financially backed by big money developers. Prop F requirements would show that HAC is in reality a front group that actually represents the interests of big money developers.

From the very beginning of the Balboa Reservoir public engagement process, the Reservoir Project has fundamentally been a done-deal. Planning Dept, PUC, and OEWD have confidently procedurally set up the ducks-in-a row with the CAC process, with the Balboa Park Area TDM, with the Fiscal Responsibility & Feasibility Report, and with the Planning Dept's biased SEIR which takes liberty to misinterpret the Balboa Park Station Program EIR.

The environmental review process requires that Planning Dept provide Responses to Comments (RTC). Planning Dept will publish the RTC's soon to fulfill the requirement. Unfortunately, there appears to be no requirement that Planning Dept provide valid, well-argued, and fact/evidence-based responses. Much of the Planning

Dept RTC's consist of mere restatements and re-assertions already contained in the SEIR.

Planning Dept's FEIR (draft SEIR + RTC) fail the adequacy standard required for EIR's.

The corruption that the FBI was looking for via Nuru, likely existed in the behind-closed doors planning for the Reservoir Project by OEWD, Planning, and PUC.

I urge BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, and BOS to stop enabling, or at least question, possible corruption in the Reservoir Project steamroller to privatize public land.

Submitted on 2/6/2020:

Alvin Ja, District 7 resident

BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, BOS:

DPW's Nuru alerted his superior in the City bureaucracy, City Administrator Naomi Kelly, about the FBI's corruption investigation. By doing so, Nuru took the bullet so that those in higher positions could evade being targeted in the corruption investigation.

Today's 2/6/2020 Chronicle has an article entitled "Suit Seeks to gut SF political ad measure" by Dominic Francasa. The article states: *"A handful of prominent San Francisco political operatives are seeking to gut a ballot measure voters overwhelmingly passed last year that pulls back the curtain on who's paying for campaign advertisements."*

Todd David, a prominent backer of the Balboa Reservoir Project, is quoted in the article opposing Prop F which requires disclosure of financial backers in election campaigns:

*"The additional disclosure requirements strike me as being illegal," said Todd David, the group's principal officer and executive director of the Housing Action Coalition, an organization closely aligned with the mayor's office. "I'm very concerned that this limits the ability of campaigns, particularly small campaigns to communicate."*

What this Todd David quote really means is that Housing Action Coalition's façade of being representative of the citizenry would be stripped away by disclosure that HAC is financially backed by big money developers. Prop F requirements would show that HAC is in reality a front group that actually represents the interests of big money developers.

From the very beginning of the Balboa Reservoir public engagement process, the Reservoir Project has fundamentally been a done-deal. Planning Dept, PUC, and OEWD have confidently procedurally set up the ducks-in-a row with the CAC process, with the Balboa Park Area TDM, with the Fiscal Responsibility & Feasibility Report, and with the Planning Dept's biased SEIR which takes liberty to misinterpret the Balboa Park Station Program EIR.

The environmental review process requires that Planning Dept provide Responses to Comments (RTC). Planning Dept will publish the RTC's soon to fulfill the requirement. Unfortunately, there appears to be no requirement that Planning Dept provide valid, well-argued, and fact/evidence-based responses. Much of the Planning Dept RTC's consist of mere restatements and re-assertions already contained in the SEIR.

Planning Dept's FEIR (draft SEIR + RTC) fail the adequacy standard required for EIR's.

The corruption that the FBI was looking for via Nuru, likely existed in the behind-closed doors planning for the Reservoir Project by OEWD, Planning, and PUC.

I urge BRCAC, BOT, Planning Commission, PUC, and BOS to stop enabling, or at least question, possible corruption in the Reservoir Project steamroller to privatize public land.

Submitted on 2/6/2020:

Alvin Ja, District 7 resident