DATE: March 7, 2018

TO: Jeremy Schaub c/o ANJE, LLC

FROM: Chris Kern, Planning Department

RE: PPA Case No. 2017-015691PPA for 4550 Mission Street

Please find the attached Preliminary Project Assessment (PPA) for the address listed above. You may contact the staff contact, Rachel A. Schuett, at (415) 575-9030 or Rachel.Schuett@sfgov.org, to answer any questions you may have, or to schedule a follow-up meeting.

Chris Kern, Principal Planner
Preliminary Project Assessment

Date: March 7, 2018
Case No.: 2017-015691PPA
Project Address: 4550 Mission Street
Block/Lot: 3148/001
Zoning: Excelsior Outer Mission Street NCD
Mission Harrington SUD
56-X
Project Sponsor: Jeremy Schaub c/o ANJE, LLC
415.682.8060
Staff Contact: Rachel A. Schuett – 415.575.9030
rachel.schuett@sfgov.org

DISCLAIMERS:
This Preliminary Project Assessment (PPA) letter provides feedback to the project sponsor from the Planning Department regarding the proposed project described in the PPA application submitted on December 7, 2017, as summarized below. This PPA letter identifies Planning Department review requirements for the proposed project, including those related to environmental review, approvals, neighborhood notification and public outreach, the Planning Code, project design, and other general issues of concern for the project. Please be advised that the PPA application does not constitute an application for development with the Planning Department. The PPA letter also does not represent a complete review of the proposed project, does not grant a project approval of any kind, and does not in any way supersede any required Planning Department approvals listed below.

The Planning Department may provide additional comments regarding the proposed project once the required applications listed below are submitted. While some approvals are granted by the Planning Department, some are at the discretion of other bodies, such as the Planning Commission or Historic Preservation Commission. Additionally, it is likely that the project will require approvals from other City agencies such as the Department of Building Inspection, Public Works, the Municipal Transportation Agency, Department of Public Health, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, and others. The information included herein is based on the PPA application and plans, the Planning Code, General Plan, Planning Department policies, and local, state, and federal regulations as of the date of this document, all of which are subject to change.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project site has frontages along Mission and Harrington streets. The proposal is to demolish the existing 14,616-square-foot commercial/retail building and construct a 5-story, 56-foot-tall mixed use building. The existing building on the 10,000-sf subject lot was constructed in 1956. The proposed new building would include 24 dwelling units, 24 parking spaces, and 7,286 sf of commercial space along Mission Street. Access to the one-level subterranean garage would be from Harrington Street. The proposed project would also include 24 class 1 and 4 class 2 bicycle parking spaces, and 3,913 square feet of usable open space in both private and common decks. Construction of
the proposed project would require excavation to a depth of 12 feet below ground surface, disturbance of about 10,000 square feet, and excavation of about 2,500 cubic yards of soil.

1. **Excelsior & Outer Mission Neighborhood Strategy.** The subject property falls within the boundaries of the Excelsior & Outer Mission Neighborhood Strategy. This is not an area plan. The Neighborhood Strategy, which is currently being developed, will outline the vision for the future of the Excelsior & Outer Mission Neighborhood Commercial District. In addition, it will identify goals that will help realize that vision, and specific strategies that can be undertaken to achieve the Strategy’s stated goals. The Strategy will result in new projects, plans, and policies in the Excelsior & Outer Mission Neighborhood Commercial District.

   For more information, please see the project website: [http://sf-planning.org/excelsior-outer-mission-neighborhood-strategy](http://sf-planning.org/excelsior-outer-mission-neighborhood-strategy).

2. **Maximizing Housing Density.** It is the Department’s priority to give precedence to the development of all net new housing, and to encourage the direct building of more affordable housing and the maximization of permitted density, while maintaining quality of life and adherence to Planning Code standards.

   Specifically the Housing Element of the City’s General Plan contains the following policy objectives:

   POLICY 4.5 Ensure that new permanently affordable housing is located in all of the City’s neighborhoods, and encourage integrated neighborhoods, with a diversity of unit types provided at a range of income levels.

   POLICY 13.1
   Support “smart” regional growth that locates new housing close to jobs and transit.

   The project proposes to add 28,304 square feet of residential space over 24 units. Based on the lot size and density controls, the site could support 25 units of housing. The current unit count does not maximize the density of the site. In addition, 25 units would trigger a higher percentage of Below Market Rate (BMR) units, helping provide much-needed affordable housing.

3. **HOME-SF.** In addition, the project site is eligible for several density programs that would allow the project sponsor to construct more units of housing. These programs include HOME-SF. HOME-SF offers two extra stories of height and relief from density limits in return for provision of 30% on-site affordable units, including some middle income housing. This parcel is located within the program area, and could receive density and other development incentives commensurate with provision of on-site affordable housing if it meets HOME-SF’s eligibility criteria. Please refer to the HOME-SF Program website ([www.sf-planning.org/HOME-SF](http://www.sf-planning.org/HOME-SF)) for more information on the program, including specific eligibility criteria and a link to Planning Code Section 206.3.

4. **Invest in Neighborhoods.** This project is located on an Invest in Neighborhoods Corridor. Invest in Neighborhoods is an interagency partnership to strengthen and revitalize neighborhood commercial districts around San Francisco. The initiative, currently being piloted in 25 commercial districts, aims to strengthen small businesses, improve physical conditions, increase quality of life, and increase community capacity. Each corridor is appointed an IIN Point Person. This staff member is
responsible for advocating for the neighborhood, leveraging City services and working with community partners to develop customized service plans for each corridor. Additionally the IIN Point Person keeps track of vacant retail spaces and development projects. More information on the Invest in Neighborhoods program, including the IIN Point Person, can be found at http://investsf.org/

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:

The proposed project requires environmental review in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This section identifies the likely environmental review process and additional information and studies necessary to complete environmental review. Formal environmental review begins with Planning Department review of the Environmental Evaluation Application (EEA) filed by the project sponsor. The EEA can be submitted at the same time as the PPA application or subsequent to issuance of the PPA letter.

The environmental review may be done in conjunction with the required approvals listed below, but must be completed before any project approval may be granted. Note that until an entitlement application is submitted to the Current Planning Division, only the proposed project description will be reviewed by the assigned environmental coordinator. EEAs are available in the Planning Department lobby at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, at the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, and online at http://sf-planning.org/permit-forms-applications-and-fees. See “Environmental Applications” on page 2 of the current Fee Schedule for a calculation of environmental application fees. In addition, please see page 4 of the Fee Schedule for monitoring fees applicable to projects that require active monitoring of mitigation measures.

A detailed and accurate description of the proposed project is essential for adequate environmental review. Please update the EEA project description as necessary to reflect feedback provided in this PPA letter and include the additional information and/or documents requested herein and listed again below.

- Historic Resource Evaluation (HRE) report
- Geotechnical study
- Dimensions of the existing and proposed sidewalks on the project plans
- Existing and proposed colored curbs on Harrington Street on the project plans

If you have already filed your EEA, you may provide the requested information and documents as supplements to your application.

Environmental Review Document

If the additional analysis outlined below indicates that the project would not have a significant effect on the environment, the project could be eligible for a Class 32 infill development categorical exemption under CEQA Guidelines Section 15332. If a Class 32 exemption is appropriate, Environmental Planning staff will prepare a certificate of exemption.

If it is determined that the project could result in a significant impact, an initial study would be prepared. The initial study may be prepared either by an environmental consultant from the Department’s environmental consultant pool or by Department staff. Should you choose to have the initial study prepared by an environmental consultant, contact Chelsea Fordham at (415) 575-9071 for a list of three eligible consultants. If the initial study finds that the project would have a significant impact that could be reduced to a less-than-significant level by mitigation measures agreed to by the project sponsor, then the Department would issue a preliminary mitigated negative declaration (PMND). The PMND would be circulated for public review, during which time concerned parties may comment on and/or appeal the determination. If no appeal is filed, the Planning Department would issue a final mitigated negative declaration (FMND). Additional information regarding the environmental review process can be found at: http://sf-planning.org/environmental-review-process.

If the initial study indicates that the project would result in a significant impact that cannot be mitigated to below a significant level, an EIR will be required. An EIR must be prepared by an environmental consultant from the Planning Department’s environmental consultant pool (http://sf-planning.org/consultant-sponsor-resources). The Planning Department will provide more detail to the project sponsor regarding the EIR process should this level of environmental review be required.

Below is a list of topic areas addressed through the environmental review process. Based on a preliminary review of the project as it is proposed in the PPA application, some of these topics would require additional study.

1. **Historic Resources.** The project site contains one or more buildings or structures considered to be a potential historic resource (constructed 45 or more years ago); therefore, the proposed project is subject to review by the Department’s Historic Preservation staff. The project site was previously surveyed through the Neighborhood Commercial Buildings Historic Resource Survey (not yet adopted) and found to be of an unusually expressive design. Further research is required to determine if this building meets the eligibility requirements for significance and integrity. To assist in this review, the project sponsor must hire a qualified professional to prepare a Historic Resource Evaluation (HRE) report. The professional must be selected from the Planning Department’s historic resource consultant pool. Please contact Pilar LaValley, Senior Preservation Planner, via email (pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org) for a list of three consultants from which to choose. Please contact the HRE scoping team at HRE@sfgov.org to arrange the HRE scoping. Following an approved scope, the historic resource consultant should submit the draft HRE report for review to Environmental Planning after the project sponsor has filed the EE Application and updated it as necessary to reflect feedback received in the PPA letter. The HRE should be submitted directly to the Department and copied to the project sponsor. Project sponsors should not receive and/or review advance drafts of consultant reports per the Environmental Review Guidelines. Historic Preservation staff will not begin reviewing your project until a complete draft HRE is received.

2. **Archaeological Resources.** The proposed project will require Preliminary Archeological Review (PAR) by a Planning Department archeologist. To aid this review the Department archeologist may request a Preliminary Archeological Sensitivity Assessment (PASS) by a Department Qualified Archeological Consultant, subject to the review and approval by the Department archeologist. The Department archeologist will provide three names from the qualified archeological consultant list if
the PASS is required. The PAR will assess the archeological sensitivity of the project site based on in-house source material and will consider the potential for archeological impacts resulting from proposed soils disturbance. Please provide detailed information, including sections, proposed soils-disturbing activities, such as grading, excavation, installation of foundations, soils improvement, and site remediation in the EEA, and submit any available geotechnical/soils or phase II hazardous materials reports prepared for the project to assist in this review. If the Department archeologist determines that the project has a potential to adversely affect archeological resources, the PAR will identify additional measures needed to address the potential effect. These measures may include preparation of an archeological research design and treatment plan, implementation of project mitigation measures (such as archeological testing, monitoring, or accidental discovery), or other appropriate measures.

3. **Tribal Cultural Resources.** Tribal cultural resources (TCRs) are a class of resource established under the CEQA in 2015. TCRs are defined as a site, feature, place, cultural landscape, sacred place or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, that is either included on or eligible for inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources or a local historic register, or is a resource that the lead agency, at its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, determines is a TCR. Planning Department staff will review the proposed project to determine if it may cause an adverse effect to a TCR; this will occur in tandem with preliminary archeological review. No additional information is needed from the project sponsor at this time. Consultation with California Native American tribes regarding TCRs may be required at the request of the tribes. If staff determines that the proposed project may have a potential significant adverse impact on a TCR, mitigation measures will be identified and required. Mitigation measures may include avoidance, protection, or preservation of the TCR and development of interpretation and public education and artistic programs.

4. **Transportation.** Based on the PPA submittal, a transportation impact study is not anticipated to be required; an official determination will be made subsequent to submittal of the EEA. However, the project site is located on a high injury corridor as mapped by Vision Zero.² Planning staff have reviewed the proposed site plans and offer the following recommendations:
   
   - Please include the dimensions of the existing and proposed sidewalks on the project plans
   - Please include the existing and proposed colored curbs on Harrington Street on the project plans

5. **Noise.** Construction noise is subject to the San Francisco Noise Ordinance (Article 29 of the San Francisco Police Code), which includes restrictions on noise levels of construction equipment and hours of construction. If pile driving is to be used during construction, measures to reduce construction noise may be required as part of the proposed project. The EEA should provide a construction schedule and indicate whether pile driving or other particularly noisy construction methods are required.

6. **Air Quality.** The proposed project at 24 dwelling units is below the Bay Area Air Quality Management District’s (BAAQMD) construction and operational screening levels for criteria air pollutants. Therefore, an analysis of the project’s criteria air pollutant emissions is not likely to be required. However, project-related demolition, excavation, grading, and other construction activities may cause wind-blown dust that could contribute particulate matter into the local atmosphere. To reduce construction dust impacts, the proposed project will be required to adhere to the dust control requirements set forth in the Construction Dust Ordinance contained in San Francisco Health Code Article 22B and San Francisco Building Code Section 106.A.3.2.6.

7. **Greenhouse Gases.** The City and County of San Francisco’s Strategies to Address Greenhouse Gas Emissions presents a comprehensive assessment of policies, programs, and ordinances that represents San Francisco’s Qualified Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reduction Strategy. Projects that are consistent with San Francisco’s Qualified GHG Reduction Strategy would result in less-than-significant impacts from GHG emissions. In order to facilitate a determination of compliance with San Francisco’s Qualified GHG Reduction Strategy, the Planning Department has prepared a Greenhouse Gas Analysis Compliance Checklist. The project sponsor may be required to submit the completed table regarding project compliance with the identified regulations and provide project-level details in the discussion column. This information will be reviewed by the environmental planner during the environmental review process to determine if the project would comply with San Francisco’s Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy. Projects that do not comply with an ordinance or regulation may be determined to be inconsistent with the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategy.

8. **Wind.** The proposed project would not involve construction of a building over 80 feet in height. Therefore, a consultant-prepared wind analysis is not anticipated to be required.

9. **Shadow.** The proposed project would result in construction of a building greater than 40 feet in height. However, a preliminary shadow fan analysis prepared by Planning Department staff indicates that the proposed project would not cast shadows on any existing parks or open spaces. Therefore, a consultant-prepared shadow analysis is not anticipated to be required.

10. **Geology.** A geotechnical study prepared by a qualified consultant must be submitted with the EEA. The geotechnical study should identify the recommended foundation design. To assist Planning Department staff in determining whether the project would result in environmental impacts related to geological hazards, it is recommended that you provide a copy of the geotechnical information with boring logs for the proposed project. This study will also help inform the Planning Department Archeologist of the project site’s subsurface geological conditions.

11. **Hazardous Materials.** Because the existing building was constructed prior to 1980, asbestos-containing materials, such as floor and wall coverings, may be found in the building. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is responsible for regulating airborne pollutants including asbestos. Please contact BAAQMD for the requirements related to demolition of buildings

---

3 BAAQMD, CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, May 2011, Chapter 3.
4 Refer to [http://sf-planning.org/consultant-sponsor-resources](http://sf-planning.org/consultant-sponsor-resources) for latest “Greenhouse Gas Compliance Checklist for Private Development Projects.”
with asbestos-containing materials. In addition, because of its age (constructed prior to 1978), lead paint may be found in the existing building. Please contact the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection (DBI) for requirements related to the demolition of buildings that may contain lead paint.

12. Disclosure Report for Developers of Major Projects. San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code Section 3.520 et seq. requires the developer of any project with estimated construction costs exceeding $1,000,000 to submit a Disclosure Report for Developers of Major City Projects if the project requires the issuance of a Community Plan Evaluation (CPE), certification of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), adoption of a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration, or a project approval by the Planning Commission that adopts CEQA Findings (EIR certification). A residential development project with four or fewer dwelling units is not required to file this report. The first (or initial) report must be filed within 30 days of the date of EIR certification or final environmental determination under CEQA. Please submit a Disclosure Report for Developers of Major City Projects directly to the San Francisco Ethics Commission. This form can be found at the Planning Department or online at http://www.sfethics.org.

PRELIMINARY PLANNING CODE AND PROCEDURAL COMMENTS:

The following comments address preliminary Planning Code issues that may substantially affect the design and massing of the proposed project:

1. Priority Policies: General Plan Findings. Proposed projects, demolitions and changes of use must be consistent with the eight priority policies set forth in Planning Code Section 101.1. Responses to each finding should be provided referring to specific circumstances or conditions applicable to the property.

2. Parking. All of the controls for the NC-3 District as set forth in Section 712.1 and Table 712 apply to the Mission-Harrington Special Use District, except for those noted in Planning Code Section 780.4. Per Table 712 and Section 151, no parking is required for non-residential occupied floor area of less than 5,000 square feet; the project proposes over 7,000 square feet of ground floor retail and requires five (5) off-street parking spaces. Auto parking may be reduced through bicycle parking substitution pursuant to Section 155.2.

3. Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program. The TDM Program was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in February 2017, and it took effect on March 19, 2017. The proposed project includes approximately 7,300 square feet of ground floor retail and 24 dwelling units, and thus is subject to the TDM Program. Based on the proposed zero parking spaces for retail and 24 spaces associated with the residential use, the project will be required to meet or exceed a target score of 0 points for land use category A and 14 points for land use category C.

Please note that if the first Development Application – as defined in Planning Code Section 401 – is submitted by December 31, 2017, then the project will only be required to meet 75% of its target score. The Draft TDM Plan submitted appears to be in general compliance with the current requirements of the TDM Program. However, please be aware that additional review of the selected TDM Plan may
be needed, and that revisions to the project may result in the need to revise the project’s TDM Plan as well.

4. **Bicycle Parking.** Planning Code Section 155.2 requires at least 24 class I and one class II bicycle parking spaces for the 24 proposed residential units. For general retail sales and service use, one class I and three class II spaces are required based on the occupied floor area.

Class II bicycle parking spaces provided through on-street bicycle racks; however SFMTA has final authority on the type, placement and number of class II bicycle racks within the public right-of-way. Prior to issuance of first architectural addenda, you will be required contact the SFMTA Bike Parking Program at bikeparking@sfmta.com to coordinate the installation of on-street bicycle racks and ensure that the proposed bicycle racks meet the SFMTA’s bicycle parking guidelines. Depending on local site conditions and anticipated demand, SFMTA may request the project sponsor pay an in-lieu fee for class II bike racks required by the Planning Code. The SFMTA bicycle parking guidelines can be found at: [https://www.sfmta.com/services/streets-sidewalks/installation-requests/bicycle-racks-corrals](https://www.sfmta.com/services/streets-sidewalks/installation-requests/bicycle-racks-corrals).

5. **Noise Regulations Relating to Residential Uses Near Places of Entertainment (POE).** New residential development within 300 feet of a Place of Entertainment must go through an Entertainment Commission outreach process (Ordinance Number 070-015). In addition, new residential development will also be required to record a Notice of Special Restrictions (NSR) on the site. The subject site is located within 300 feet of an existing POE, see enclosed map. Please note that the Planning Department will not consider an entitlement application complete until the following are completed:

   (A) The Entertainment Commission has provided written notification to the Planning Department indicating that it either did not wish to hold a hearing, or that it held a hearing and the Project Sponsor attended; and

   (B) The Project Sponsor has included a copy of any comments and/or recommendations provided by the Entertainment Commission regarding the proposed Project as well as the date(s) when the comments were provided. This shall be done as an additional sheet in any plan set submitted to the Planning Department and as an attachment in an entitlement application.

You may contact Entertainment Commission staff at (415) 554-6678 or visit their webpage at [http://sfgov.org/entertainment/contact-us](http://sfgov.org/entertainment/contact-us) for additional information regarding the outreach process.

6. **First Source Hiring Agreement.** A First Source Hiring Agreement is required for any project proposing to construct 25,000 gross square feet or more. For more information, please contact:

   Ken Nim, Workforce Compliance Officer
   CityBuild, Office of Economic and Workforce Development
   City and County of San Francisco
   50 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102
   (415) 581-2303
7. **Stormwater.** If the project results in a ground surface disturbance of 5,000 sf or greater (creating and/or replacing 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface), it is subject to San Francisco’s stormwater management requirements as outlined in the Stormwater Management Ordinance and the corresponding SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines (Guidelines). Projects that trigger the stormwater management requirements must prepare a Stormwater Control Plan demonstrating project adherence to the performance measures outlined in the Guidelines including: (a) reduction in total volume and peak flow rate of stormwater for areas in combined sewer systems OR (b) stormwater treatment for areas in separate sewer systems. The SFPUC Wastewater Enterprise, Urban Watershed Management Program is responsible for review and approval of the Stormwater Control Plan. Without SFPUC approval of a Stormwater Control Plan, no site or building permits can be issued. The Guidelines also require a signed maintenance agreement to ensure proper care of the necessary stormwater controls. Compliance may occur through a mix of rooftop, sidewalk, and open space treatments and technologies, and is encouraged to be designed as a comprehensive system that maximizes co-benefits for greening, habitat creation, urban heat island reduction, building energy savings, and beautification. Systems within the public realm should consider adjacencies and opportunities for flow-through systems to neighborhood detention areas. To view the Stormwater Management Ordinance, the Stormwater Design Guidelines, or download instructions for the Stormwater Control Plan, go to [http://sfwater.org/sdg](http://sfwater.org/sdg). Applicants may contact stormwaterreview@sfwater.org for assistance.

8. **Better Roofs Ordinance.** In 2016, San Francisco became the first major city in the U.S. to require the installation of renewable energy facilities or living roofs on new buildings. The Better Roofs Ordinance will require between 15% and 30% of roof space to incorporate solar (photo voltaic and/or solar thermal systems), living (green) roofs, or a combination of both. The legislation went into effect January 2017. The ordinance provides guidance for developers, designers, and/or owners might best utilize rooftop space; ideally, projects should pursue holistic design and amenity enhancements for 100% of usable roof space that include open space, habitat, stormwater management, urban agriculture, and other beneficial uses. Please see the Planning Department’s Living Roof Manual to learn more: [http://sf-planning.org/department-publications](http://sf-planning.org/department-publications).

9. **Sustainability and Green Building.** San Francisco has a suite of existing sustainability related regulations, including recycling and composting, solar, and more details outlined in the San Francisco Green Building Code (GBC). Per the GBC, this project must meet the standards of LEED Silver or the equivalent GreenPoint rating system. It is recommended that the project sponsor work with the San Francisco Planning, Building, and Environment departments to determine the most beneficial mix of green building strategies that meet or exceed all current requirements, and best fit the local context. This especially includes the provision of renewable energy on site (PV and solar thermal), living roofs and walls, non-potable water reuse, healthy environments (non-toxic building materials), and other innovative approaches to enhancing performance of the City’s environment. The City also encourages projects to maximize energy and water efficiencies, consider zero carbon strategies such as all-electric buildings, and commit to green power purchases for 100% GHG-free electricity. As with non-potable water systems, projects are recommended to consider district-scale energy opportunities on site and in coordination with neighbors.
10. **Refuse Collection and Loading.** San Francisco is a national leader in diverting waste from landfills, has a Mandatory Recycling and Composting Ordinance, and has a goal to achieve zero waste by 2020. In this, the City requires all buildings to be designed with spaces for collecting and loading recycling and composting in common and private areas, and make these options as or more convenient than waste disposal. More information on the complete suite of the City’s Zero Waste legislation may be found here: [http://sfenvironment.org/zero-waste/overview/legislation](http://sfenvironment.org/zero-waste/overview/legislation). Please also see the Guidance on Recycling Design (page 3) resources for designing appropriate areas: [http://sfenvironment.org/sites/default/files/fliers/files/sfe_zw_ab088.pdf](http://sfenvironment.org/sites/default/files/fliers/files/sfe_zw_ab088.pdf). Free design and implementation assistance is available from the San Francisco Department of the Environment’s Zero Waste Team by calling 415-355-3700.

11. **Vision Zero.** In 2014, the City adopted the [Vision Zero Policy](http://sfenvironment.org/zero-waste/overview/legislation) which seeks to eliminate all traffic deaths in the City by 2024. The City subsequently established a network of Vision Zero Corridors which have higher rates of traffic-related injuries and fatalities compared to most San Francisco Streets. The City has determined that streets on the Vision Zero network should be prioritized for safety improvements especially those that improve the safety of vulnerable users like people walking and people on bikes.

This project is located on a pedestrian high-injury corridor, and is encouraged to incorporate safety measures into the project.

**PRELIMINARY DESIGN COMMENTS:**

The following comments address preliminary design issues that may substantially affect the proposed project:

1. The level of architectural detail provided in the submission is preliminary. Further design review will be provided on subsequent submissions; however, in general the façade should express significant depth and feature high-quality materials.

2. Architecture that is consistent and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood is recommended. The Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood is distinguished by more-traditional angled bays, higher solid to void ratio of fenestration, and finer-grain detail at the tops of buildings and around wall openings. Facades are characterized by significant depth with more detailed trim and/or ornamentation. The ground level façade, in particular, should reflect traditional element-scale and shaping. See examples of existing residential buildings across from the subject property along Harrington for reference. Use of high-quality, durable materials that demonstrate a fine-grain of detail – such as textured stucco, wood siding, or masonry – is typical and is strongly encouraged.

3. The retail entry should be differentiated from and subordinate to the residential entry, emphasizing the residential scale and character of the neighborhood. Normalization of the size, spacing, and height of the proposed Bay Windows is recommended; Bays should extend to the full height of the building. Windows should be Wood or Aluminum-Clad wood, with a minimum 3” depth.
4. To relate the proportion and size of windows to that of existing buildings in the neighborhood, the proposed storefront fenestration should be broken down with transom window separate from display panes.

5. Consider incorporating a solar/living roof. Show the proposed roof plan and parapet in future submissions.

DEVELOPMENT FEES:

This project will be subject to various impact fees. Please refer to the Planning Director’s Bulletin No. 1 for an overview of Development Impact Fees, and to the Department of Building Inspection’s Development Impact Fee webpage for more information about current rates. Please note that this list only reflects fees and requirements referenced in the Planning Code. For projects in ongoing plan areas (e.g. Central SoMa, the Hub, etc.) the below list may not accurately reflect all fees that may become applicable to this project.

Based on an initial review of the proposed project, the following impact fees, which are assessed by the Planning Department, will be required:

1. **Transportation Sustainability Fee (TSF) (§411A)**
2. **Residential Child Care Impact Fee (§414A)**
3. **Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Fee (§415)**

AFFORDABLE HOUSING:

4. **Inclusionary Affordable Housing (§415):** Inclusionary Affordable Housing is required for a project proposing 10 or more dwelling units. The Project Sponsor must submit an ‘Affidavit of Compliance with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Planning Code Section 415,’ to the Planning Department identifying the method of compliance, on-site, off-site, or affordable housing fee. The following Inclusionary Affordable Housing requirements are those in effect at the time of issuance of this letter. In the event that the requirements change, the Project Sponsor shall comply with requirements in place at the time of the issuance of first construction document. Any on-site affordable dwelling-units proposed as part of the project must be designated as owner-occupied units, not rental units; unless a Costa Hawkins exception agreement is secured by the project sponsor. Affordable units designated as on-site units shall be affordable units for the life of the project. The applicable percentage is dependent on the number of units in the project, the zoning of the property, and the date that the project submitted a complete Environmental Evaluation Application. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 415.3 and 415.6 the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requirement for the On-site Affordable Housing Alternative is to provide 12% or 20% off-site of the proposed dwelling units as affordable to low-income households as defined by the Planning Code and
Procedures Manual. Therefore, as proposed, the project would have a minimum requirement of three units if provided on-site, and five units if provided off-site.

For your information, if a project proposes rental units, it may be eligible for an On-site Alternative to the Affordable Housing Fee if it has demonstrated to the Planning Department that the affordable units are either: 1) ownership only or 2) not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act (a Costa Hawkins exception). Affordable units are not subject to the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act under the exception provided in Civil Code Sections 1954.50 through one of the following methods:

- direct financial construction from a public entity
- development bonus or other form of public assistance

A Costa Hawkins exception agreement is drafted by the City Attorney. You must state in your submittal how the project qualifies for a Costa Hawkins exception. The request should be addressed to the Director of Current Planning. If the project is deemed eligible, we may start working with the City Attorney on the agreement.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT APPROvals:

The project requires the following Planning Department approvals. These approvals may be reviewed in conjunction with the required environmental review, but may not be granted until after the required environmental review is completed.

1. **Environmental Application**

2. A **Building Permit Application** is required for the demolition of the existing building on the subject property.

3. A **Building Permit Application** is required for the proposed new construction on the subject property.

4. A **Conditional Use Authorization Application** is required for lot development 10,000 square feet and above as well as non-residential use 6,000 square feet and above.

NEIGHBORHOOD NOTIFICATIONS AND OUTREACH:

Project Sponsors are encouraged, and in some cases required, to conduct public outreach with the surrounding community and neighborhood groups early in the development process. Additionally, many approvals require a public hearing with an associated neighborhood notification. Differing levels of neighborhood notification are mandatory for some or all of the reviews and approvals listed above.

1. **Pre-Application Meeting.** This project is required to conduct a Pre-Application Meeting with surrounding neighbors and registered neighborhood groups before a development application may be filed with the Planning Department. The Pre-Application packet, which includes instructions and template forms, is available at [http://sf-planning.org/permit-forms-applications-and-fees](http://sf-planning.org/permit-forms-applications-and-fees) listed under “N” for Neighborhood Notification Pre-Application Meeting. The registered neighborhood group
and organizations mailing list is available online at http://sf-planning.org/department-publications listed under “N”.

2. Notification of a Project Receiving Environmental Review. Notice may be required to be sent to occupants of the project site and properties adjacent to the project site, as well as to owners and, to the extent feasible, occupants of properties within 300 feet of the project site at the initiation of the environmental review process. Please be prepared to provide mailing addresses on a CD upon request during the environmental review process.

PRELIMINARY PROJECT ASSESSMENT EXPIRATION:

This Preliminary Project Assessment is valid for a period of 18 months. An Environmental Evaluation, Conditional Use Authorization, or Building Permit Application, as listed above, must be submitted no later than September 7, 2019. Otherwise, this determination is considered expired and a new Preliminary Project Assessment is required. Such applications and plans must be generally consistent with those found in this Preliminary Project Assessment.

Enclosure: Shadow Fan

cc: Jenny M. Lee, Manager
    Nancy Tran, Current Planning
    Rachel Schuett, Environmental Planning
    Rachael Tanner, Citywide Planning and Analysis
    Jonas Ionin, Planning Commission Secretary
    Charles Rivasplata, SFMTA
    Jerry Sanguinetti, Public Works
    Pauline Perkins, SFPUC
    Planning Department Webmaster (planning.webmaster@sfgov.org)
The City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or usefulness of any information. CCSF provides this information on an "as is" basis without warranty of any kind, including but not limited to warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose, and assumes no responsibility for anyone's use of the information.

Title: 4550 Mission Street
Comments: 56' building + 16' elevator overrun
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