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I. INTRODUCTION TO THIS SURVEY

Theme of survey

This report is an architectural survey of buildings along the Van Ness Avenue corridor that have a history related to the automobile industry in San Francisco. It has been commissioned by San Francisco’s Department of City Planning as part of its ongoing effort to survey historic buildings in the city.

The Van Ness Avenue corridor has been the center of San Francisco’s automobile industry since before the earthquake and fire of 1906, and was known as the city’s “Auto Row” from the 1910s through the 1980s. The building types that represent this industry include auto showrooms; public garages; auto repair shops; auto supplies stores; shops that offered specialized services such as tire and battery sales, auto tops and trimming, auto painting, and electrical systems servicing; and multiple-use buildings that held three or more of the above kinds of businesses at a given time.

Almost all of San Francisco’s older auto showrooms can be found within the study area of this report, and a disproportionately large number of the other building types can be also.

Goals of this survey

Many of the buildings in this survey date to the first decades of the history of the automobile industry in San Francisco. No such buildings from the pre-1906 period survive, but a large number from 1909 through the 1920s survive, and these represent the early history of the automobile in this city. This survey seeks to identify the buildings that best represent various aspects of that history.

The first step of this survey has been to identify all of the surviving buildings of each type mentioned above (e.g., auto showrooms, public garages, auto repair shops, auto supplies stores, etc.) that still stand in the study area, and to research their histories. This survey then will identify: the oldest examples of each type, the buildings that had the greatest longevity of such uses, those with the most distinguished architecture, and those that have the highest level of integrity. Other considerations such as the capacity or size of each building, a building’s association with important businesses, and a building’s association with important persons (usually, the proprietors of auto-related businesses), are also noted.

Once these buildings have been so identified, they will be evaluated for possible historic significance according to the California Register of Historical Resources. Those that are eligible for the California Register are those that have been found to best represent important aspects of the automobile industry in the study area.

On a few occasions, buildings in the study area also relate to themes in areas other than automobile-related history. Buildings may be evaluated for these themes as well.
Boundaries of the study area, and time period studied

The boundaries of the study area are Pacific Avenue on the north (including buildings on the north side of that street), Larkin Street on the east (including buildings on the east side of, or very close to, Larkin), Gough Street on the west, and Market Street on the south; plus, in the South-of-Market area, the area bounded by Market, the north side of Mission, Eleventh, and Gough.

The time period being studied is from the beginning of the automobile industry in San Francisco, ca. 1900, through 1964, the latter being a cut-off date assigned by the Department of City Planning. As far as extant buildings are concerned, the oldest dates to 1909, and the latest dates to 1947. The automobile-related history of all buildings in the survey has been studied through 1964.

Evaluation

As mentioned above, all buildings in the survey are evaluated for possible historic significance according to the criteria of the California Register of Historical Resources. The forms used for these evaluations are State of California Department of Parks and Recreation 523 forms, usually referred to as DPR 523 forms. Status codes have been entered onto these forms that take into account the buildings’ apparent eligibility or non-eligibility for the California Register and, in one case, its listing on the National Register. Two buildings in this survey are official San Francisco city landmarks, and these designations have also been noted.

The findings in this study are preliminary. After its completion, this study will be submitted to San Francisco’s Historic Preservation Commission for review and possible ratification.

II. SYNTHESIS OF INFORMATION PRESENTED IN THIS SURVEY

Summary of findings

112 buildings in the study area of this report were formally evaluated on DPR 523 forms. In the course of this study, one potential California Register historic district was found to exist; it contains five buildings, all of them contributors to the district. Another 59 buildings in the study area appear to be individually eligible for the California Register. Thus, a total of 64 buildings in this survey appear to be eligible for the California Register, either individually or as contributors to a historic district. 47 buildings do not appear to be eligible for the California Register, either individually or as part of a district. The eligibility of one building in the survey remains uncertain pending the creation of a historic context statement on an aspect of San Francisco history other than the automotive industry.

1 1964 was selected as a cut-off date because it is 45 years before this survey was conducted.

Field and research methods

Identification of auto-related buildings

The first step was to identify those buildings that have a substantial automobile-related history. The most useful source toward this end was four sets of Sanborn insurance maps, which labeled the uses of buildings. The available sets of Sanborn maps include:

   1913, at the San Francisco History Center, Main Library (SFPL),
   1928-1936, at the California Historical Society (CHS),
   1948-1951, at SFPL, and
   1964, at CHS.

The buildings that the Sanborn maps labeled as having automotive uses were noted. Next, a site survey was conducted to note which of these buildings still stand with good or better integrity, which buildings have been thoroughly altered in appearance, and which have been demolished. Several more automobile-related buildings were discovered in the course of this site survey.

In all, over 150 buildings in the study area were found that have an automobile-related history. Roughly forty of these buildings were omitted from the survey because their integrity was very poor, or because their auto-related history was slight. The 112 buildings that were evaluated are those that had the highest potential for eligibility based on their auto-related history.

Subsequent site survey

A more careful site survey was performed later, in order to note the materials, compositions, and details of building facades, to note alterations, and to take photographs. Most of this survey was conducted in June 2009, although many further visits were made in later months. In general, attention was given only to the exterior of a building. The interiors of buildings were not considered, except for those aspects that could readily be viewed from the sidewalk.

Archival research

Once identified, the histories of buildings were researched using archival sources. Building permits available at the Department of Building Inspection documented the dates of construction, the first owners, the architects, the contractors, the structural type, and often alterations of buildings. Architectural periodicals also revealed some of this information; most useful was Building and Industrial News (later, Building and Engineering News). Early photographs of some buildings were found in Architect and Engineer.
Many more historical photographs were available from the SFPL. Most are available at low resolution from the library’s website. Assessor’s photographs of the late 1940s to early 1960s are also available at the library, though not on-line. Many photographs were taken by the Department of City Planning for its 1976 survey of historic buildings and for its Van Ness Avenue Plan of the 1980s. Finally, a photo montage of dozens of auto showrooms was published in the Christmas 1913 issue of the *San Francisco Newsletter* (available at CHS). These historic photos were carefully compared with extant buildings in order to determine what alterations have been made to the buildings.

The most useful source for the names of occupants of buildings was the Crocker-Langley City Directory for San Francisco (later known as Polk’s Crocker-Langley). By scanning the classified section of various years under the headings Automobiles, Automobile Dealers, Garages, Automobile Garages, Automobile Repair, Automobile Supplies, and so forth, one can find the occupants of these buildings. Because addresses have sometimes changed, it was important to compare the addresses given in city directories with the addresses of buildings given in the Sanborn maps. Cross-directories by address for intermittent years (1927, 1933, 1940, and 1946) and for all years after 1953 are available at the SFPL and CHS, and were also very useful. Finally, the yellow pages of PT&T telephone books from the 1930s onward were also useful, and sometimes yielded more information than the Polk’s Crocker-Langley directories did. Once occupants were identified for a cross-section of years, further city directory and yellow pages research was performed to fill the gaps, and to create a nearly complete list of occupants for each building through 1964.

The daily newspapers were also a rich source of information. Newspaper clippings regarding many buildings in the study area are available at the Foundation for San Francisco’s Architectural Heritage. The *San Francisco Chronicle* from 1880 through 1922 has now been scanned and indexed, and can be viewed online through the ProQuest website (accessible through the SFPL website). The *San Francisco Call* has also been scanned and indexed, and is available through two websites.

Finally, background information on the automobile industry and on automobile and tire brands was gleaned from entries in Wikipedia. In a few instances minor discrepancies were found among various Wikipedia entries. Due to time constraints it was not possible to check this information against other sources.

All of the above information, gathered through archival sources and site surveys, was considered and collated for the historic context statement presented below.

**Creation of hierarchies: business types, dates of use, longevity, integrity**

In order to judge which buildings have significance under the criteria of the California Register, these buildings must be compared against each other, and must also be looked at in the context of the history of the automobile industry in San Francisco.
Toward that end, lists were made of all auto-related business types in the study area, and of every building that is an example of that type. Buildings of each type (e.g., auto showroom, public garage, auto repair shop, etc.) were compared to each other in terms of these qualities:

- early date of this use,
- longevity of this use,
- capacity, and
- degree of integrity,

with the idea that older examples are usually more important than later ones; buildings that had a certain use for many years are usually more important than those that had such use only briefly; buildings that had a great capacity were usually more important than small buildings; and buildings with high integrity can convey such importance more clearly than highly altered buildings can.

The above statements may seem obvious, but in practice judging the most important examples of buildings was not always easy. Sometimes the oldest examples of a building type are also the smallest examples. Older examples have usually undergone more alterations than later ones have. Very often, buildings underwent changes of use over the years. A building built as a public garage often became an auto repair shop in later years, and a showroom for new automobiles might have later become a used car salesroom. Many buildings held two or more uses simultaneously; a public garage and an auto repair shop (with each use on a different floor) is the most common example of this pattern. In general, the aim was to identify the oldest, longest-term, largest, and most intact examples of each building type.

Hierarchies for different patterns of history were established. These hierarchies will help to establish the relative importance of a given building (compared to other buildings) and aid in evaluating that building according to California Register criteria.

*Hierarchies of building and business types*

Regarding building types, the most important is:

- Automobile showrooms selling major brands of new automobiles. This includes brands that were popular at the time, but that are now little-remembered. The auto showroom was the building type that was most concentrated in the study area, and was the one that served as a magnet for all of the other building types (listed below). Auto showrooms selling major brands also tended to be the most imposing, or showy, in their general appearance.

The second most important group of buildings types include:
• Public garages. Several services that were vital to automobile owners were offered in these buildings before World War II. They also tended to be imposing in appearance, although not as much so as auto showrooms.

• Multiple-use buildings. These buildings were constructed to hold three or more auto-related businesses simultaneously. Uses found in these buildings included auto showrooms, garages, auto repair shops, auto painting shops, and other specialized services. Only a few buildings of this type stand in the study area.

• An automobile engineering college. At this college (Heald’s), students learned to design and repair automobiles, among other skills. There is only one example of this building type left standing in the study area.

The next most important group of buildings types are:

• General auto repair shops. These shops preformed major repairs on automobiles.

• Auto accessories, parts, and supplies stores.

• Tire shops selling well-known national brands. These buildings sometimes had a large capacity and were conspicuous features of the study area.

• Auto showrooms selling brands that were considered minor or obscure at the time.

Less important buildings types include:

• Specialized services shops such as body building and repair shops, machinists of auto parts, auto painting, auto tops and trims, springs, brakes, batteries, radiators, fenders, wheel aligning, and tires of minor or unknown brands.

• Used car salesrooms.

Even examples of the above two types can possess historic significance if they are early examples with good or high integrity, and if these uses were present for many years in a building’s history.

A building or business type with minimal importance is:

• Auto finance and insurance offices.

Hierarchies of date

The oldest examples of a building type, or of an automotive use, are more important than later ones because they represent the origins of the industry. A hierarchy has been established for this study, as follows:

- Early date of a given use or building type: 1909-1919.
- Moderately early date of a given use or building type: 1920s
- Moderately late date of a given use or building type: 1930s
- Late date of a given use or building type: 1940s-1960s

This hierarchy by decades is not as arbitrary as it may seem. Many auto buildings in the study area were constructed in the year 1920. It seems to have been a peak year of automobile-related construction, and is thus a useful dividing line between “early” and “moderately early.” The onset of the Great Depression is another useful dividing line.

These periods also correspond to the physical aspects of automobiles. The 1910s is termed by some auto historians the “brass age,” because of the brass fittings used in automobile construction then. Autos from the 1920s are sometimes considered to belong to the “antique” period, those from the 1930s to the “vintage” period, and those from the 1940s-1950s the “classic” period.

Although only a few buildings in the survey were built in the 1930s, and only one was built after that decade, it is worth distinguishing between the 1930s and 1940s. Most buildings in the survey continued to have automobile uses into the 1940s-1960s, and for this reason, a 1930s date of auto use should be considered as “moderately late,” and an auto-related use during the 1940s-1960s would be “late.”

Hierarchies of longevity

Buildings that held an automobile-related use for many years will naturally be more important examples of this building or business type than one that held such use for only a few years. A hierarchy has, therefore, also been established for longevity of use. This hierarchy is considered for both overall auto-related use, and for specific uses (such as public garages or auto repair). The years that buildings had these uses are counted only through 1964, the end year of the period being studied.

- Excellent longevity of a certain use: 30 years are longer
- Good longevity of a certain use: 20 to 29 years
- Moderate longevity of a certain use: 10 to 19 years
- Fair longevity of a certain use: seven to nine years
- Brief longevity of a certain use: one to six years

In general, a building should have at least ten years of total auto-related use (through 1964) to be considered a solid example of an auto-related building; more is preferable.

Other hierarchies

Business proprietors who worked in the automotive industry in San Francisco beginning at an early date, and continued to do so for many years, may be considered to have been important persons in their field. They were pioneers in the city’s auto industry and were
active in it as the field underwent numerous changes. Buildings that are large in their area, and that had a great capacity, are usually more important than buildings that had a smaller capacity and thus served fewer owners of automobiles. Finally, and very importantly, buildings that have higher integrity are better able to evoke their history than do buildings with lower integrity.

**Summation**

The purpose of establishing these hierarchies is to clarify which buildings in the study area best evoke the history of the automobile industry, and to aid us in evaluating them according to California Register criteria. Some aspects of automotive history are more important than others, and some buildings exemplify these aspects better than others do. For example: because auto showrooms, public garages, and multi-use buildings are so important as building types, examples with only fair integrity might still be considered significant, whereas used car salesrooms or fender repair shops with the same level of integrity would not be. Similarly, an auto repair shop that is one of the oldest in the study area, and that has excellent longevity in this use, might be considered significant even though its integrity is only fair, whereas a later example with the same level of integrity would not be.

The methodology employed in this study, then, is to consider all of the factors outlined above in an attempt to identify those buildings which best illustrate the history of the automobile industry in the study area.

**Historical overview**

**Introduction**

Van Ness Avenue, from its beginning at Market Street to just north of Pacific Avenue, was the premier auto showroom district in San Francisco from shortly after the earthquake and fire of 1906 until the 1980s. Although only a few active auto dealerships remain on the avenue, many buildings that were built as auto showrooms and that have undergone adaptive reuse survive to the present day. In addition, many early garages, auto repair shops, and other automotive support buildings still stand within a two-block radius of Van Ness. This corridor, about 22 blocks in length and slightly over three blocks in width, contains by far the largest concentration of auto-related buildings in San Francisco.

Although many of these buildings now have other uses, their auto-related origins are often evident from their architectural appearance. The larger auto showrooms typically have wide expanses of glass in the lower and upper stories, a monumental scale, and sometimes lavish ornamentation to advertise their products. Garages used for automobile storage and auto repair shops possess wide portals for auto entrance and egress, and often the width of these entrance bays is repeated across the entire façade. Showrooms and garages are usually built of reinforced concrete, a material that facilitated large window areas and the storage of autos on upper stories. The distinctive appearance of these
buildings is clearly derived from their original uses, and thus one can find a close tie between the history and the architecture of these buildings.

These buildings proved useful as auto showrooms, garages, and repair shops for many decades. Although over 90% were built during the period 1909-1929 (and nearly 100% by 1937), dozens of these buildings continued to serve these uses into the 1980s. After 1909, it was almost never economical to tear down an existing automotive building in order to replace it with a newer one for autos, regardless of changing technologies, new styles, and a growing population. The fact that most were built of reinforced concrete, could support great weight, and were rated as “fireproof” gave these buildings a timeless quality as far as their usefulness for the auto industry was concerned. A few of these buildings maintain their original use almost 100 years after they were constructed.

At least 250, and probably closer to 300, auto-related buildings were built within the study area between 1906 and 1938. A large number of these have been demolished since the 1960s, mostly for residential and office buildings, and others have been heavily altered, but over 100 still stand and retain most of their architectural integrity.

Van Ness Avenue before 1906

Van Ness Avenue was surveyed as a city street during 1855-1856 by John T. Hoff, the City Surveyor, as part of his survey of the Western Addition. The avenue, along with other streets, cut through existing pre-emption claims such the Hayes and Beideman tracts\(^2\). Once these land claims were confirmed by the Van Ness Ordinance of 1855, and the streets and blocks of the Western Addition were surveyed by Hoff, the land could be sold in small lots, usually to builders of houses.

Van Ness Avenue was platted wider than any of the other north-south streets in the city. The reason for this is obscure, but it seems likely that Hoff intended for Van Ness to become an important boulevard because it is relatively flat, passing as it does between Nob and Russian Hills to the east and Pacific Heights to the west.

A few decades would pass before Van Ness Avenue reached its potential as a boulevard. Home-seekers began to build along Van Ness in noticeable numbers during the 1860s, the city beautified the avenue with tree plantings in 1876, and many “fine residences” were built there during the 1870s-1890s. A few of these were palatial in scale. Several institutions located on Van Ness during the period 1864-1891, including churches (St. Brigid’s, First Presbyterian, St. Luke’s Episcopal, and St. Mary’s), a men’s club (Concordia-Argonaut) and a membership library (Mercantile). In the 1890s several residence hotels of some distinction were also built on the avenue. Some of these contained ground floor shops and offices, and thus Van Ness Avenue began to take on a slightly commercial character.

\(^2\) Van Ness Avenue between Fell and McAllister, passed through the eastern end of the Hayes Tract. Van Ness between McAllister and Bush passed through the Beideman Tract. The next nine blocks of Van Ness, between Bush and Vallejo, passed through pre-emption claims that remain unknown. North of Vallejo Street, Van Ness Avenue passed through the Laguna Survey and the Rickett’s Claim.
Looking south along Van Ness from Sutter Street, some time between 1901 and 1906. At left is St. Dunstan’s Hotel for bachelors, built in 1901. The large building one block to its south, with the tower, is the Concordia-Argonaut Club. Across the avenue is the tower of St. Mary’s Cathedral.

To summarize, Van Ness Avenue at the beginning of the 20th century was filled with fine residences (mainly north of Sutter Street), churches and other institutions, hotels (mainly between Sutter and Ellis), and rowhouses (especially in the more southerly blocks). The hotels portended an eventual commercialization of the Avenue, a process that was greatly speeded up by the earthquake and fire of 1906.

The earthquake and fire of 1906 destroyed most of the buildings on Van Ness. Every building on the east side of Van Ness south of Filbert Street was dynamited in order to create a fire break. In addition, fires also destroyed the west side of Van Ness between Sutter and Clay (a stretch of four blocks) and the west side of Van Ness south of Golden Gate Avenue (a stretch of six blocks). Most of the buildings on the west side of Van Ness and south of Broadway that survived the fire were destroyed at an early date, usually to make room for automobile showrooms and other commercial buildings.\(^3\)

*Beginnings of an Auto Row: Golden Gate Avenue before 1906*

Meanwhile, an automobile industry was beginning to emerge in San Francisco along Golden Gate Avenue near Van Ness.

---

\(^3\) The only pre-1906 survivors south of Broadway are portions of St. Brigid’s Roman Catholic Church; and the first story of the Martinet Hotel, at the southwest corner of Geary and Van Ness, now known as Tommy’s Joyn. A few post-1906 buildings recall their 19th century predecessors on the same sites: namely, the Richelieu Hotel, the Concordia-Argonaut Club, St. Luke’s Episcopal Church, and the First Presbyterian Church (“Old First”).
A machinist named John Albert Meyer was the first person to build an automobile in San Francisco, in his Noe Valley house in 1896.\(^4\) In 1898 there were still fewer than half a dozen autos in the city, according to Frederick A. Marriott, writing at the end of 1903. In 1900 there were 25 autos in the city, he said, but by 1903 there were over 500.\(^5\) Suddenly, autos had become a common sight in San Francisco.

San Francisco city directories first listed “Automobiles” in its classified section in 1900, when there were two sellers of the vehicles. The next year, in 1901, there were nine auto dealers, of which four were in or very near the study area of this report.\(^6\) In 1902 there were twelve auto dealers in the city, and in 1905 there were 26. In the latter year about half of the dealers were located in the area adjacent to and immediately west of City Hall (then bounded by McAllister, Larkin, and City Hall Avenue); and one street in that area, Golden Gate Avenue, was home to four auto dealers. Those dealers were the seed for San Francisco’s first auto row.

R. R. l’Hommedieu chronicled the rise of automobile dealerships in San Francisco in his 1913 article, “The Evolution of Auto Row.”\(^7\) He said that auto dealers first gravitated to Golden Gate Avenue in 1905 because it was the preferred route from downtown to Golden Gate Park, and was thus a good street to be on if you wanted to catch the eye of “those who were in a position to buy automobiles,” i.e., carriage owners who worked downtown.

A few automobile dealers actually opened on Golden Gate Avenue before 1905. The first – and also the first on Van Ness Avenue – was the Mobile Company of America, which leased the first story and basement of the struggling Mercantile Library at the northeast corner of Golden Gate and Van Ness during 1902-1903. In 1904 the building was remodeled for two new auto dealerships\(^8\), those of Benjamin B. Stanley, with an address of 596 Golden Gate, and the Middleton Motor Car Company, at 606 Van Ness. Stanley had formerly been a salesman. William Middleton, age 23 in 1904, was backed by his father, a lumber dealer, and sold Columbia Motor Cars.\(^9\)

---

\(^4\) This car still exists, and is on display at the Oakland Museum.
\(^6\) In the \textit{San Francisco Newsletter}, Christmas Number, 1913. At CHS.
\(^7\) He said that auto dealers first gravitated to Golden Gate Avenue in 1905 because it was the preferred route from downtown to Golden Gate Park, and was thus a good street to be on if you wanted to catch the eye of “those who were in a position to buy automobiles,” i.e., carriage owners who worked downtown.
\(^8\) Edward’s Abstracts from Records, February 24, 1904.
\(^9\) During the three-day fire of 1906 Middleton and his employees gathered 22 automobiles from his showroom and from recent customers and drove fire department officials around in the fulfillment of their duties, including dynamiting the east side of Van Ness Avenue. Reportedly, he so impressed the city that it later purchased 100 autos from him. After the fire Middleton reopened at 550 Golden Gate Avenue. He remained in business until 1911, then briefly became an auto salesman for H. O. Harrison. Sources: Bill Middleton, letter dates Nov. 20, 1952, at CHS. Junior League file for 1960 Jones Street, at the S.F. History Center, Main Library.

Mercantile Library, northeast corner of Van Ness and McAllister, built 1890-1891. Its first floor and basement became devoted to auto showrooms during 1902-1906.

The eastern and western boundaries of the emerging auto row on Golden Gate Avenue were defined in 1903, when two new auto dealers moved to the avenue. At the eastern end was the National Automobile Company, which sold Rambler, Knox, and Haynes-Apperson autos at 134-148 Golden Gate Avenue. At the western end was the Pioneer Automobile Company, at 901-925 Golden Gate Avenue, corner of Octavia, opposite Jefferson Square. Pioneer sold Winton, Olds, and Locomobile autos in 1903; and Winton, Olds, and Stevens-Duryea in 1905.  

For the most part, auto dealers before 1906 opened for business in small storefronts within larger buildings. They probably had a small showroom at the front of the store and a small repair shop in the rear. There is no record of how they got their automobiles into these small spaces. By contrast, at least two buildings on Golden Gate Avenue were built specifically for automobile use before 1906. Both were wood-frame in construction. The first was an auto showroom built at the beginning of 1904 at the northeast corner of Golden Gate and Gough, to designs by the Reid Brothers, architects, for the Mobile Carriage Company, which sold Pierce-Arrow cars. The second was built in 1905 at the northwest corner of Golden Gate and Van Ness for the Auto Livery Company, which seems to have sold cars and served as a garage. Both buildings survived the earthquake and fire of 1906 but have since been demolished.

10 The Pioneer Automobile Company remained at this location until 1909, then moved two blocks to the east, to 724 Golden Gate. In about 1911 it moved again, to 519-529 Van Ness Avenue, where it continued in business for a few years.
12 San Francisco Chronicle, September 1, 1905, p. 16, col. 6, “Builders’ Contracts”
The first Auto Row: Golden Gate Avenue after 1906

After the earthquake and fire Golden Gate Avenue was quickly rebuilt with one-story wood-framed commercial buildings. In a continuance of the pattern begun before 1906, many of these were devoted to small auto showrooms, and Golden Gate Avenue became the primary location for auto dealers in the city. In 1907 there were 65 auto dealers in the city, and 32 of them were on Golden Gate Avenue between Leavenworth and Gough.

These wood-framed buildings did not last long. Six of them burned in 1907, when a man in the Howard Automobile Company’s building on Golden Gate near Larkin was filling the tank of his car with oil, and a cigarette fell from his lips. An employee and a policeman were both hurt trying to remove valuable autos from the burning building. A building containing the Standard Motor Car Company’s Ford storefront, a garage, the Fly Trap restaurant, and several other businesses burned in 1908, when a fire started in the restaurant.

The rest of these wooden buildings were demolished within a few years and replaced by more permanent brick buildings of one to three stories in height, usually with classically-derived ornament. Although they were intended to be attractive, they were, nevertheless, essentially utilitarian in appearance. They did not receive the level of architectural treatment that fine clothing or jewelry shops in the city’s fashionable shopping districts received. Most of the automobiles that were sold in these buildings were themselves fairly primitive, for few true luxury automobiles were made in these early years. There was, then, some correspondence between the architecture of these first auto showrooms and the autos that were sold in them.

The number of auto dealers on Golden Gate held steady through 1910, and at least one new auto salesroom was built on the avenue as late as 1911. Already, however, Van Ness Avenue was in the process of supplanting Golden Gate Avenue as the city’s auto row. The simple fact that Van Ness was wider, and thus afforded passers-by better views of the showroom display windows, gave it an advantage over Golden Gate. In addition, lots or parcels of land were usually larger on upper Van Ness, and thus the difficulty of assembling lots for construction of larger buildings – a necessity by 1911 – was avoided there.

Numerous automobile support businesses were also located on Golden Gate Avenue, between Hyde and Gough, amid the auto showrooms. These included auto repair shops, automobile supplies stores, tire shops, automobile tops and trimmings shops, and garages. There were seven such businesses along this stretch of Golden Gate Avenue in 1908, and seventeen in 1910. After that year, as mentioned above, the more important automobile dealers increasingly chose to locate on Van Ness Avenue, and Golden Gate Avenue became devoted to smaller automobile dealers and support businesses. Over thirty of these support businesses could be found on Golden Gate Avenue in 1914.
For the period from 1906 through the 1910s at least 46 buildings on Golden Gate Avenue between Hyde and Gough were devoted mostly or entirely to automobile-related businesses. About thirty of these buildings were on the 400 and 500 blocks (between Larkin and Van Ness); next in importance was the 600 block, with nine such buildings; while the 300 and 700 blocks were of least importance. These five blocks continued to be devoted to intensive automobile support into the 1950s. In that decade, however, the expansion of the San Francisco Civic Center with new state and federal buildings displaced numerous auto-related buildings. More demolitions followed in later decades.

Looking east on Golden Gate Avenue from Van Ness in 1913. To the right is the building now numbered 550-590 Van Ness, then occupied by William L. Hughson’s Standard Motor Car Co.

Today, only one of the early auto showrooms on Golden Gate Avenue still stands with good integrity. That is 550-590 Van Ness Avenue, at the southeast corner of Golden Gate Avenue. It was built in 1908-1909 to designs by Frederick H. Meyer. Its original address was 583 Golden Gate, which suggests that it was considered at the time to be part of the Golden Gate Avenue auto row, rather than as part of a future row along Van Ness. All of its window and door openings have been altered with new sash and frames, but the exterior brick walls and the cornice are essentially unchanged. Several other Golden Gate Avenue auto buildings still stand, but they have been completely altered in appearance. The most notable of these was 500 Golden Gate (built in 1910).13

13 East of Hyde Street, one early garage still stands, at 64 Golden Gate Avenue, rather outside the study area of this report. It was built in 1910 to designs by Crim and Scott for the Auto Service Company.
Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco’s temporary downtown, 1906-1908

After the fires of April 18-21, 1906 were extinguished, Van Ness Avenue became largely commercial in its character. The east side of the street had been cleared by dynamite, and ten blocks on the west side of the street had burned. These blocks were quickly built up with commercial buildings, and surviving mansions on the west side of Van Ness were converted into shops as well, sometimes with low, wood-frame storefronts attached to the fronts. Thus, Van Ness Avenue became a temporary downtown during the period that the real downtown rebuilt. Major dry goods and department stores such as the White House, the City of Paris, the Emporium, Davis-Schonwasser, D. Samuel’s Lace House, and Newman and Levison; Roos Bros. men’s clothing; Andrews’ Diamond Palace and Shreve’s (among other jewelry shops); the Anglo-Californian Bank and the Bank of California; Paul Elder and A. M. Robertson’s book shops; stationers; restaurants; tailors and milliners were some of the businesses that located here.

Today, only one of these “temporary” commercial buildings still stands on Van Ness Avenue. That is 1415 Van Ness, which was built in 1906 for Roos Brothers, clothiers. It later became an auto repair shop and then an auto showroom. Another small, wood-framed building of 1906, intended at the time to be temporary, survives at 1545 Pine Street, just east of Van Ness. It was originally a restaurant, and was later devoted to automobile-related uses.

14 Fillmore Street, already devoted to retail, also became a city-wide shopping street during these years.
1415 Van Ness Avenue, built in 1906 as a temporary store for Roos Brothers. This building was subsequently devoted to auto use, including the auto repair shop of Eugene S. Miner (1910-1916), new auto sales (1917-1922), and used car sales (1920s-1970s). Photo: DCP 1976 survey of historic buildings.

Development of Van Ness Avenue as an “Auto Row”

After downtown was re-built, these merchants deserted Van Ness Avenue, leaving a void. Gradually, it was filled by automobile showrooms. From four such uses in 1908, the number increased to eleven in 1909, to twenty-three in 1910, thirty-six in 1912, thirty-four in 1917, and fifty in 1921. The number of auto showrooms on Van Ness dropped to thirty-seven in 1924, but increased again, to forty-nine, in 1927. During the 1920s a substantial number of these dealers, though less than a third, sold used cars; the rest sold new cars.

Through 1910, nearly all of the auto dealers on Van Ness (20 out of 23) located south of Turk Street. This was probably due to an initial desire to stay close to the original auto row in Golden Gate Avenue. 550 Van Ness, as mentioned above, was located at the southwest corner of Golden Gate Avenue. The largest auto showroom was for the White Company, which occupied all of the east side of Van Ness between Market and Fell (MacDonald and Applegarth, architects; 1908). The finest showroom, architecturally, was Cuyler Lee’s Packard showroom (D. H. Burnham and Co.; 1909-1910). Geographically, it was an anomaly for this period, being located at the northeast corner of Van Ness and Jackson, far north of any of the other showrooms in the city.15

---

15 The White Company showroom at Van Ness and Market has had three stories added and has been completely remodeled. Cuyler Lee’s showroom at 2000 Van Ness was altered for use as medical offices, with the addition of four new stories, in 1927.

Three factors led numerous other auto dealers to move north after 1910. One was the availability of numerous commercial locations along the northern stretch of Van Ness after major businesses deserted the avenue to move back downtown. This released many sites for new commercial uses.

A second, related factor is that after 1910 auto dealers wanted much larger buildings than they had previously occupied; and because mansions with spacious grounds had once stood on upper Van Ness, these larger lots fit the needs of auto dealers quite well.

A third factor was the taking by eminent domain and demolition of two blocks to make room for today’s City Hall in 1912. Twelve automobile showrooms and several buildings housing auto support businesses were displaced by this event. These businesses had to go somewhere, and it was clear by 1912 that the trend would be to go north on Van Ness, rather than to Golden Gate Avenue, or south on Van Ness.
The result was that most of the temporary wood-frame storefronts on Van Ness Avenue were quickly demolished. During 1912-1913 seven new buildings were constructed on Van Ness between Geary and Sacramento to house auto showrooms and other auto-related businesses that had been displaced by the new City Hall. Many other auto showrooms soon followed.

H. O. Harrison’s showroom at 1200 Van Ness, at the corner of Post Street, by MacDonald and Applegarth, architects. From the S.F. Examiner, January 8, 1911. This was the first large showroom to be built in the middle stretch of Van Ness Avenue. The building still stands, but has been thoroughly altered.

1100 Van Ness Avenue, at the corner of Geary, built in 1912-1913 as a salesroom for Stevens-Duryea, Maxwell, and National autos, to designs by architect C. A. Meussdorffier. During the 1920s it was a Reo showroom, and in the 1930s it was Ernest Ingold’s Chevrolet showroom. The building still stands but has also been thoroughly altered. Photo: SFPL AAD-4651.
By 1912 the automobile industry was maturing. The era when small firms could build automobiles for sale to the public, and expect to prosper, was coming to an end. A few dozen automobile companies were emerging as nationally prominent by this date, leaving hundreds to fade from the scene. These major auto manufacturers could now insist that the dealers that sold their cars in major cities such as San Francisco be better capitalized and better managed than had been the case in the past. Local dealers would also have to advertise widely and to persuade newspapers to run articles touting the power, durability, up-to-date technology, and stylish lines of the auto brands they sold. They might even be expected to inform the public of the auto races their brand had recently won, and to infer that such prowess would translate into better value for the car buyer. Although the demand for automobiles was huge, and some of the major national manufacturers could sell all the cars their factories could produce, competition was still fierce. New auto makers were emerging all the time, and old ones that had gone bankrupt were being reorganized with new investors. Brands that were popular today might easily be passé tomorrow, and local dealers had to do their part to persuade the public that their brand was the best for the price.

Many San Francisco auto dealers were expected not just to sell cars in San Francisco, but to act as agencies, or distributors, for a “territory” that they would be assigned. That territory might be northern California, the entire state, or several western states. San Francisco agencies were expected to form affiliations with small dealerships elsewhere in this territory, and to distribute cars for them to sell. A high degree of organization was needed by San Francisco dealers in order to fulfill this role.

Besides engaging in advertising and distribution, San Francisco dealers had to offer skilled service for the cars they sold. Some dealers went so far as to establish service and repair affiliates across California. Potential buyers were thus assured that they could get their cars fixed when something went wrong with it, as would probably happen sooner rather than later.

These multiple roles were demanding of San Francisco auto dealers. The major national brands could expect these roles to be fulfilled, however. As great as the competition was between brands, the competition among would-be auto dealers was just as great. Many San Franciscans of means were eager to get into the business, and manufacturers chose the best capitalized, most energetic and promising of them.

Nationally, automobile brands were founded, evolved, and faded from the scene as the economy ebbed and flowed, technologies advanced, and styles changed. Some brands failed because of mismanagement; because their major investors disagreed with the designing engineers; or because of the sheer, almost overwhelming level of competition on the national scene. Locally, individual dealers and distributors had to scramble to acquire new agencies when the auto brands they sold failed, or faded in popularity. Often they could not do so, and went out of business.

Very frequently, during the 1910s and 1920s, a local dealer would brag to automotive reporters at the newspapers about the new auto agency he had just landed, after carefully
considering all of the available options. Usually he told reporters that he had selected this brand of automobile after visiting factories in the east, meeting with executives, and considering the long-term potential of various automobiles. The reporter’s news article invariably conveyed the dealer’s assurance that the brand he now sold offered the best value in power, durability, styling, and price. Quite often, within a year or sometimes after only several months, the same dealer proudly announced yet another new brand that he had acquired, with the same extravagant claims. Not a word was said about the earlier brand, which had either been dumped or relegated to a supporting role in the dealer’s lineup. This process could be repeated a number of times before the dealer found the right mix of brands for his showroom, or went out of business because he could not put together such a mix.

More information about auto showrooms in San Francisco during the 1910s-1930s is given below under the section entitled Property Types. At a finer level, information on individual showroom buildings, on the dealers that occupied them, and on the brands of cars they sold can be found in the DPR 523 forms on these buildings. These forms are included as an appendix to this report.

The decline of automobile showrooms in the Van Ness Avenue corridor

Ernest Ingold’s Chevrolet showroom of 1937, at 999 Van Ness, was the last showroom for new automobiles built along the Van Ness corridor. After that date, showrooms continued to be built in San Francisco, but they were located elsewhere, in the city’s more outlying districts. They were also much smaller than the large showrooms on Van Ness.

This trend had begun in the 1920s. At the beginning of that decade, automobiles were sold in only a very few places west of the study area or south of the Inner Mission district. By 1929, however, approximately seventeen auto sales rooms had been established outside of these boundaries. They were on Market Street west of Octavia, on Mission Street just south of 24th, on outer Mission Street near Geneva, on outer Geary Street, and on Potrero Avenue. Several of these were branches of dealerships whose headquarters were on Van Ness.

During the 1940s and 1950s, all of those locales became home to new auto dealerships. There was no sense, then, that the auto row along Van Ness Avenue was fading, but the city had grown, and it was natural for the industry to disperse somewhat. After all, by this time many customers for cars already had cars, and it was easy for them to drive to these places.

In 1977, an article in a business monthly indicated that things had begun to change. Marie Brooks, widow of Ellis Brooks, and still the owner of Ellis Brooks Chevrolet, stated that eighteen dealerships had either closed, merged, or moved to San Jose or Marin City over the past nine years. “You’d have to be a fool to start a dealership on Van Ness now,” she said. The article outlined the reasons for this decline. The salesmen in San Francisco had higher commissions and base pay than did salesmen in nearby cities.
Rents were higher, and San Francisco had a payroll tax. Steve Snow of the Northern California Motor Car Dealers Association thought that the old auto showrooms were problematical. “Customers,” he said, “are naturally more impressed with big, open, well-lighted showrooms. Ancient showrooms where you have to go up a floor to look at cars indoors are less appealing.” Martin Swig, a dealer in Japanese and European makes, thought “Van Ness Avenue is horrible – it’s congested, there’s no parking, and the unions are almost making us uncompetitive.” The article said sales along the avenue remained good, but relative to other cities, San Francisco was losing a competitive edge.16

The loss of auto dealerships along Van Ness became rapid during 1981-1984. Real estate values in San Francisco boomed then, and Van Ness became one of the districts, along with downtown, that became attractive to developers of office and apartment highrises. Several old auto showrooms were torn down then, including Heald’s College at the northwest corner Post and Van Ness (see below), the Bancroft Building of 1912 at the southeast corner of Sutter and Van Ness (for many years one of H. O. Harrison’s showrooms), and Don Lee’s Cadillac showroom of 1913, at the northwest corner of California and Van Ness. Other auto-related buildings, including the Fisk Rubber Company tire shop at 1431 Van Ness (1911) and the St. Francis Garage at 1240 Post (1916) were also torn down then. These were handsome buildings by major architects, namely, MacDonald and Applegarth, Sylvain Schnaittacher, and the O’Brien Brothers.

Heald’s College, 1201-1215 Van Ness, was built in 1913 to designs by architect Sylvain Schnaittacher. The college, in the upper stories, included an auto repair and design school, while automobile and tire sales occupied the first story. Photo from *The Architect*, April 1913. This building was still occupied by Heald’s College and an auto showroom, and was little changed in appearance, when it was demolished in 1983.

1601 Van Ness, built in 1912-1913 to designs by the O’Brien Brothers. SFPL photo AAD-4663. This was Don Lee’s Cadillac showroom during 1913-1921, and Charles S. Howard’s Buick showroom during 1921-1950. This was Howard’s headquarters when he owned the race horse Seabiscuit. After 1950 the building was occupied by Lytle Buick, Berl Berry Ford, and Harvey Motors. It, too, was demolished in the 1980s.

A new “Auto Center” was developed at 16th and Bryant streets, where Seals Stadium had once stood. This center did not last long, but while it did, it gave dealers a place to move to, and hastened the departure of dealerships from Van Ness Avenue. Today, only a few auto dealers remain on the Avenue. Ellis Brooks Chevrolet recently dropped its General Motors dealership and now sells only used cars. A Ford dealership has moved back into Charles Howard’s former showroom at 1595 Van Ness, very slightly reversing the trend.

The garages and auto repair shops in the study area have been more tenacious. Many of these buildings, a few only 25 or 30 feet in width, retain their original use almost 100 years after they were built. Many other buildings – former auto showrooms, garages, and repair shops – have found adaptive reuse as restaurants, stores or offices. Sometimes they have kept their early appearance, and sometimes they have been heavily remodeled.

601 Turk Street, at Polk, by Hladik and Thayer, architects, 1913. Photo from SFPL Assessor’s negatives. Built as a Haynes auto showroom, it has been completely remodeled for offices.
III. PROPERTY TYPES

Automobile showrooms

History of their development

Besides showing an ability to promote the brands they sold, local dealers had to show that they could build, or move into, a substantial building that would serve multiple functions. It would have to include an auto showroom that was attractively finished, a well-equipped service department, and substantial storage space for autos on upper floors. More space was needed for a parts department and for an auto painting shop. Because many buyers would wish to trade in their old car when buying a new one, it should ideally have room for used car sales.

In short, a multi-story building was generally required for auto showrooms by 1912. Typically, the showroom would be in the front of the first story, while some or all of the other departments – used car sales, new car storage, service, parts storage, and auto painting – would be in the rear of the first story and in the upper floor or floors. By 1912, reinforced concrete construction was routine for larger auto showrooms. Buildings of this construction type could take the load of stored automobiles, and would also permit wide expanses of windows, which were necessary for display of autos and for allowing natural light for working on cars. Fireproof construction also protected the valuable automobile stock within.

These buildings did not require huge lots of land, but they did require larger lots than was usually available on lower Van Ness Avenue, where rowhouse construction had been the norm before 1906. Larger lots were more common on upper Van Ness, i.e., north of Geary Street, where larger houses had once stood. This was perhaps the main reason that auto showrooms tended to be built along upper Van Ness Avenue after 1912.

Rarely, an automobile dealer would build his own showroom building. Such a course of action was financially risky. An auto dealer might see the brand that he sold go bankrupt and cease production. Just as likely, the national auto manufacturer might yank the agency away from a dealer once his contract was up, and award it to another dealer who was more aggressive in marketing the brand. With such possibilities in mind, local dealers almost invariably leased buildings instead of building their own showrooms. It was very common for a prospective dealer to negotiate with a developer, who would hire an architect to design a showroom according to the prospective dealer’s needs. Once this was done, the prospective dealer would use this arrangement to finalize an agreement between himself and an auto manufacturer. The manufacturer and the dealer would sign a contract, guaranteeing the dealer rights to market the brand in a certain territory, and the dealer and the developer would enter into a long-term lease for the showroom, typically for ten years. Only then would construction of the showroom commence. This arrangement was meant to minimize the risk for all parties, but there was still considerable risk. Often, the dealer failed after only a year or two. If he could not find a sub-lessee, the developer had to hope the market remained strong and that a new lessee could be found.
The third method by which auto showrooms were built (other than by developers or by local dealers) was by the national automakers themselves. Occasionally manufacturers preferred to open their own factory branch, and to appoint a regional manager, rather than to work through a local dealer. This choice was made by Pierce-Arrow, at 1001 Polk (1912-1913; extant), and by Willys-Overland, at 1395 Van Ness (1916; altered). More often, however, national manufacturers who wanted to establish a factory branch in San Francisco chose to lease a new or existing building, just as local dealers usually did.

The above paragraphs describe how the larger auto showrooms were built for the most prestigious or popular brands of autos. Of course, other brands of autos that were less well known, or that had passed their peak of popularity, continued to be made in the northern states through the 1910s and into the 1920s; and these companies did not have much leverage when negotiating with prospective dealers. They were eager, if not desperate, to have their brands represented in the big cities, and often had to settle for less established dealers who could only afford to lease modest showrooms. These smaller showrooms were usually less advantageously located than the larger ones were. After the mid-1910s they might be located at the far northern end of the auto row on Van Ness (north of Washington Street), at the southern end (south of Eddy Street), or on one of the east-west streets, usually within a block of Van Ness. Some “showrooms” on these side streets were designed to look like public garages, in the generally valid expectation of the owners that the dealerships that initially occupied them would soon fail, and that the buildings would soon filter down to use as a garage or auto repair shop.

When Charles S. Howard’s Buick showroom at 1595 Van Ness was completed, a newspaper reporter wrote, “Steadily the north end of Van Ness avenue is becoming the center of the automobile industry in San Francisco. One by one the leading firms in the local trade have been moving from the old ‘row’ into new and elaborate quarters in the upper end of the boulevard and the city today easily has one of the most attractive automobile districts in any part of the country.” The writer cited Howard’s “elaborate and spacious showroom” as the latest in the trend. (SF Call, May 11, 1913, p. 49.)
At the end of 1913 a local auto industry writer, R. R. l’Hommedieu, agreed that the city’s “Automobile Row” was now along upper Van Ness. He added that the new buildings were “monuments worthy of the commercial importance of the automobile trade, and today we have along upper Van Ness avenue some of the finest buildings in the West.”

Auto showrooms that were built during the mid-to-late 1910s vividly illustrate the preference that major auto dealers had formed for the more northern blocks of Van Ness. 1560 Van Ness (1917), though moderate in size, was a showroom for, in succession, three popular brands: Studebaker, Hupmobile, and Pontiac. 1700 Van Ness (1919) was a showroom for Chandler, Cleveland, Chevrolet, and Oldsmobile. 1701 Van Ness (1917) was a showroom for Oldsmobile and Ford autos. The finest showroom of this period was 1699 Van Ness (1919), which became the showroom for the Paige (later, Graham-Paige) luxury auto. By contrast, two buildings at the south end of the avenue had minor histories as showrooms. 214 Van Ness (1917) was a small building occupied by a Ford dealership for a decade. 700 Van Ness (1915), though it had a ground floor arcade perfect for showing off autos, was a showroom for new autos for only a year, then “filtered down” to become a used cars sales room. (Of the buildings mentioned here, only 700 Van Ness and 1699 Van Ness still stand without major alterations.)

17 l’Hommedieu, *San Francisco Newsletter*, Christmas number, 1913, p. 64.

A burst of construction activity occurred in the study area during 1920. Fourteen auto showrooms were completed or begun in the study area during this year, and many garages, auto repair shops, and related buildings were also built. Nationally, auto manufacturers who had been selling every car they could make expanded their factories and absorbed lesser companies. It was not a good time for such expansion, for a major recession or depression hit the country immediately afterward, resulting in a shakeout of auto manufacturers. In San Francisco, construction of auto-related buildings all but halted for several years. Even during the mid-to-late-1920s, when the economy bounced back, and tremendous numbers of apartment buildings and office buildings were built in San Francisco, construction of auto showrooms resumed in only a modest way.

Two auto showrooms of the 1920s stand out from the rest. One was Don Lee’s Cadillac showroom at 1000 Van Ness, at the corner of O’Farrell (1920-1921). It pulled the center of auto showroom gravity back to the south by some blocks, but the building was so monumental in scale and luxurious in its details, and Lee was such a well-known figure, that it could do so. The same descriptions held equally true for Earle C. Anthony and his Packard showroom (1926-1927), one block further south at 901 Van Ness. These buildings have always since been the best known of Auto Row’s showrooms. Both were designated official City Landmarks in the 1980s.

The Pierce-Arrow showroom, designed by John Galen Howard and built 1912-1913 (extant). This was by far the finest of the showrooms at locations other than on Van Ness Avenue.
Don Lee’s Cadillac showroom, 1000 Van Ness (extant). Weeks and Day, architects, 1921. (The interior is shown on the front cover of this report.) Photo: SFPL photo AAD-4657.

Architecture, structure, and planning of automobile showrooms

The earliest auto showrooms were of wood frame or brick masonry construction, and were modest in their proportions and in their ornamental schemes. They were nevertheless intended to be attractive. The earliest surviving example is 550 Van Ness Avenue, which was built in 1908-1909. Though somewhat larger than other pre-1910 showrooms, it was typical in other ways, in that it is made of brick and is decorated with a fairly elaborate cornice, keystones, and voussoirs in the flat arch above the original main entrance. A smaller building of the same period, 690 Van Ness (1910), is similarly decorated with brick ornament.

The automobile showrooms of 1911-1914 varied in their size, shape, structure, and ornamental schemes, but certain trends can be seen in them, and these trends held true for the showrooms that followed. Typically, the buildings were two or more – usually more – stories in height. The multiple stories allowed an auto dealer to incorporate several departments, each vital to his business, into one building on a compact lot, and to segregate those departments efficiently. The showroom for new automobiles was always in the first story and faced the street; it typically had a high level of finish, with wooden wainscoting and tile floors. The offices for the business were either at the rear of the showroom, in the mezzanine level (attained by a beautifully-detailed staircase), or both. Behind the showroom and offices, in the rear of the first story, was an unfinished or lightly finished area devoted to either the service or used car departments. The upper story or stories were almost always reached via concrete ramps, although in a few buildings, e.g. at 1412-1420 Van Ness (extant) and 1395 Van Ness (altered), vehicles were moved between stories by elevators. The interiors of the upper stories were also unfinished or lightly finished, and were devoted to service, used car sales, heavier repairs,
auto storage, parts storage, and auto painting. For this segregation of departments to work efficiently for auto dealers, careful planning was required before construction began. This planning appears to have involved discussion between the architect for the owner and the initial lessee of the building.

These larger, multi-story showrooms were fireproof in construction, with reinforced concrete piers, floors, and roofs. Some also had steel frames behind the piers. The exterior walls were never left exposed as unfinished concrete, but were instead covered, usually with stucco that had been lightly scored. One building was clad with a veneer of brick, namely 1625 Van Ness (1920; extant). Windows were large and rectangular, and were usually filled with industrial steel sash, which added welcome texture to the façade.

Vehicle entrances required large openings, and these were usually deemphasized by placing them in side or secondary elevations. This was easily accomplished for most showrooms, which occupied corner lots and thus fronted on two streets. For mid-block showrooms such as 1350 Van Ness and 1625 Van Ness, ells were built that extended to side streets, and these ells were devoted to vehicle entry.

Architecturally, the larger auto showrooms were recognizable as a building type even though they varied considerably in their aesthetic. Several were relatively plain, and in these buildings expression of the skeletal concrete construction was emphasized over ornament. Examples of such buildings included 1200 Van Ness (1911; now heavily altered); 1240-1268 Van Ness (1913; demolished); 1600 Van Ness (1913; extant, altered with grilles over the windows); 1601 Van Ness (1913; demolished); 1700 Van Ness (1919; demolished); 1835-1849 Van Ness (1920, 1926; extant); and 1946-1960 Van Ness (1920; extant). Each of these was nevertheless made attractive with a cornice, belt course moldings, and a light scoring of the stucco surface for added texture. In some of them the piers were expressed as classical pilasters to add an additional element of beauty.
Most auto showrooms were more elaborately decorated with Classical Revival ornament. Fine examples included 1100 Van Ness (1912-1913; heavily altered), 1001 Polk (1913; extant), 1201 Van Ness (1913; demolished), 1400 Van Ness (1913; extant), 601 Turk (1913; heavily altered), 1699 Van Ness (1919, 1923; extant), 1000 Van Ness (1921; extant), and 901 Van Ness (1927; extant). Most of these were monumental in their feeling. 1699 Van Ness, by architect Sylvain Schnaittacher, featured a tall ground floor arcade. 901 Van Ness, by Bernard Maybeck and Powers and Ahnden, associated architects, was the most theatrical of these buildings. That building and 1000 Van Ness, by Weeks and Day, had the most elaborate interiors. 601 Turk, by Hladik and Thayer, exhibited some aspects of a classical banking temple.

Two views of 1699 Van Ness Avenue, by Sylvain Schnaittacher. Its ground floor arcade hearkens to the Italian Renaissance. The northern three bays were built in 1919, and the southern two were added in 1923. Top photo: ca. 1953, SFPL Assessor’s negatives. Bottom photo: ca. 1930, SFPL photo AAC-6822.
One showroom at 1350 Van Ness (1913; cornice removed, frieze covered) is small, and lacked the monumental feeling of the above showrooms, but expressed classical beauty as well as most of them did.

Two large showrooms were designed in styles other than classical. 1301-1305 Van Ness (1913; extant; originally devoted to tire and auto sales, but later used entirely as an auto showroom) was designed in architects Cunningham and Politeo’s preferred Art Nouveau style. 999 Van Ness (1937; extant), by John E. Dinwiddie, followed the Art Deco and Streamlined Moderne styles of the period.

Ernest Ingold showroom, 999 Van Ness Avenue (extant). John E. Dinwiddie, architect, 1937. This was the last auto showroom built on Van Ness Avenue. Photo: SFPL AAD-4670.
When the latter building was completed, Van Ness Avenue from the Civic Center to Broadway was solidly developed with numerous auto showrooms and other auto-related buildings, several churches, and several large apartment buildings, much of this being monumental in scale, and nearly all of it richly detailed.

Large or small, concrete or brick, decorated or spare, classical or otherwise, the showrooms of Auto Row were intended to display to their best advantage an expensive product that almost everyone with financial means wanted, the automobile. They did so by imparting either a sense of monumentality or of beauty, and often of both. At the same time, these buildings had to house less glamorous departments such as service, repair, and auto storage, and these departments were to some degree hidden or disguised in the buildings’ upper stories, behind the imposing facades.

Surviving auto showrooms

The oldest auto showrooms in the study area, of 1908-1917, are listed below, in order of construction date, and with notes regarding their use and integrity. These are probably the oldest auto sales buildings in San Francisco as well as in the study area. Buildings that have been completely altered in appearance are omitted from this list.

550 Van Ness. Built 1908-1909. This showroom first housed Ford and Velie brands and is the oldest in the city. The walls and ornament remain intact; window sash has been altered.


690 Van Ness. Built 1910. A small showroom with only a few years of use as a new auto showroom; its integrity is fair at best.

1301-1305 Van Ness. Built 1911-1912. This large building initially held a tire dealership (Goodyear) and an auto showroom. Later in the 1910s the entire building became devoted to use as an auto showroom. Integrity is good.

1525 Van Ness. Built 1912. This moderately-sized building has only fair integrity.

1430-1480 Van Ness. Built 1912. This building had early, but brief, use as an auto showroom. Window openings have been altered.

68 Twelfth Street. Built 1912. This moderate-sized building had brief use as a Rambler showroom. Its façade has been lightly altered and the window sash has been replaced.

1001 Polk Street. Built 1912-1913. A large showroom built for the Pierce-Arrow brand. Its window sash has been removed, but the integrity of this building is otherwise high.

1400 Van Ness. Built 1912-1913. A large showroom with high integrity. Reo, Packard, Chevrolet, and Ford brands were sold here over the years.

1412-1420 Van Ness. Built in 1912-1913 to house an Oldsmobile showroom and a Firestone tire shop; it later became entirely devoted to auto sales. Integrity is good.
1350 Van Ness. Built in 1912-1913. The cornice of this small showroom, built to house Stutz autos, has been removed.

1595 Van Ness. Built in 1913. A large showroom built for Buick sales. The first story and cornice have been altered, but integrity is otherwise good.

1600 Van Ness. Built in 1913. Large grilles cover the windows, diminishing the integrity.

2050 Van Ness. Built in 1913. A moderately-sized showroom with fifteen years of such use. For its first four years this building was a showroom and garage for electric cars.

1062 Geary. Built in 1913. This small building had only brief use as an auto showroom.

1745 Clay. Built in 1914. This building had brief use as a Renault showroom, and then became a garage. It has good integrity.

1670 Pine. Built in 1917. This was a Ford auto showroom through 1922, and then an auto repair shop. Integrity is high.

1415 Van Ness. Built as a clothing store, this building had six years of use as an auto showroom, from 1917-1922. Its balustrade has been removed and window sash have been altered.

The best of these, in terms of integrity, longevity of use as an auto showroom, early date, and size or capacity are 1400 Van Ness, 1001 Polk, 1595 Van Ness, 1301-1305 Van Ness, and 1412-1420 Van Ness. Each of these also served as a showroom for major brands. 550-590 Van Ness, 1350 Van Ness, and 1600 Van Ness all had important auto histories, but their integrity is diminished by window or cornice alterations.

1400 Van Ness Avenue, designed by Herman Barth (1912-1913; extant). Photo: DCP 1976 survey of historic buildings.

Note: Many showrooms from this period have been demolished or heavily altered. Some of the finest were: 1200 Van Ness (1911), 601 Turk (1912), 1100-1128 Van Ness (1912-1913), 1601 Van Ness (1912-1913), and 1111-1157 Van Ness (1913).

The best surviving auto showrooms of the 1920s-1930s, in terms of integrity, longevity of use for new auto sales, and major brands sold, include:

1699 Van Ness. Built in 1919, opened in early 1920, and expanded in 1923. A large showroom with high integrity; it was principally a showroom for the Paige brand of autos.

1625 Van Ness. Built 1920. A large building with mostly good integrity. It was occupied by several auto dealers, each for relatively brief periods, during 1920-1938.

1835-1849 Van Ness. Built 1920 and 1926. Plaster ornament at the top of the piers has been removed, the ground floor has been remodeled, and the window sash has been replaced in-kind. Overall, integrity is good. The building held major brands – first Nash, then Dodge and Plymouth – through at least 1960.

1946-1960 Van Ness. Built 1920. Oakland and Duesenberg autos, among other brands, were sold here from 1920 to 1930. Integrity is high.

1000 Van Ness. Built 1920-1921. This was Don Lee Cadillac, and then other Cadillac dealerships, through the 1960s. Its integrity remains high, even after its conversion into a movie theater.

901 Van Ness. Built 1926-1927. This was a Earle C. Anthony’s Packard showroom into the 1960s, and remains an auto showroom. Some alterations have occurred, but integrity is still generally high.

999 Van Ness. Built 1937. This was Ernest Ingold’s Chevrolet dealership into the 1960s, and then George Olsen Chevrolet. Integrity is high.

Public garages

History of their development

Public garages, also called commercial garages, were the 20th century version of a livery stable. They had several uses for motorists, most obviously for overnight storage of their cars. During the early 20th century few automobile owners had a private garage underneath or adjacent to their houses, and street parking was problematical, for automobiles then had open or canvas tops, and some had wooden bodies, and were vulnerable to rainy weather. Many auto owners, then, kept their cars in public garages overnight. Garages advertised nightly and monthly rates, the latter being attractive to those who used garages close to their residence on a regular basis.

Garages kept gasoline on the premises for the purpose of refueling their customers’ cars. They also performed servicing of vehicles and light repairs. Some garages were better equipped with machine shops and other equipment than others were, and could perform...
heavier repairs. Some garage were able to recharge the batteries of electric cars, an auto type that remained common into the 1920s. During the first few decades of the 20th century, then, public garages were an essential feature of the automotive landscape. They provided many more services than the parking garages of today do.

Because garages performed light repairs on autos, and some garages performed heavier repairs, the distinction between garages and auto repair shops sometimes became blurred. Some buildings that were built as garages later became repair shops; some buildings went back and forth between these two business types. It seems that some proprietors attempted to position themselves as offering both services. Frequently, a building that looked like a garage from the outside actually had two businesses in it: a garage on one floor (usually the first), and an auto repair shop on the other. Some buildings were built with this dual use, and others were modified at an early date to allow them both.

Public garages could be found in many if not most San Francisco neighborhoods. They were most common in the neighborhoods closest to downtown, where the population density was greatest. The general downtown area, Lower Nob Hill, the Tenderloin, the Van Ness Avenue corridor, and the Western Addition all had numerous garages.

The earliest known garages in San Francisco were located within the study area of this report, i.e., along the Van Ness corridor. The first was the White Garage, which opened in 1903 at the corner of Market and Franklin streets. It was operated by the White Sewing Machine Company, a national firm that also manufactured and sold automobiles. The second that is known of was the Auto Livery Company, which was built in 1905 at the northwest corner of Golden Gate and Van Ness. This business also sold cars while offering garage services.

After 1906, the Van Ness Avenue corridor continued to have a larger share of garages than almost any other part of San Francisco. In 1908 the study area had 36% of all of the garages in San Francisco. That percentage quickly lessened as new garages were built around the city: to 28% in 1910, 20% in 1914, and 12% or 13% during 1918-1929. This was still a considerable share, considering the study area is only four blocks wide. In 1929, the study area had 30 public garages, out of 236 in San Francisco.

Architecture, structure, and planning of public garages

The very earliest public garages were one story in height and were often constructed of wood. By the end of the first decade of the 1900s, and into the early 1910s, they were usually constructed of brick, and many were two stories in height. Brick was a huge improvement over wood, but as a construction type it was quickly replaced by reinforced concrete. Because of the fire risks that came with the storage of gasoline on the premises, fireproof construction for garages – meaning, for practical purposes, construction of reinforced concrete – was soon required by law.
Four early garages, all made of brick, with symmetrical facades. In the top photo are 1) at right, the Alaska Garage, 1349 Larkin Street, by architects Ward and Blohme, 1909-1910; and 2) at left (partially visible), the Graystone Garage, 1335 Larkin, by John H. Powers, 1913-1914 (both extant). In the center photo is the Crown Garage, 1650 Jackson, 1910 (demolished). At bottom is the Pine Garage, 1461 Pine, 1911 (altered).
The oldest surviving examples in San Francisco are brick masonry in construction, and the rest, built from the mid-1910s onward, are of reinforced concrete. In these buildings, the vehicle entrance or entrances are the most prominent element of the façade, and the rest of the façade was, to a large degree, designed around these openings.

The facades are usually two or three bays in width, and symmetrical. Ornamentation is usually classical in style, and is sometimes elaborate. Openings often have arched or segmental arched tops. While the effect is restrained compared to that of auto showrooms, the design of public garages nevertheless had a showy aspect to them, one that corresponded to the fact that owners were being asked to entrust to the proprietors the care and housing of their expensive vehicles.

Most public garages are two stories in height. In such buildings, one of the vehicle entrances leads to a concrete ramp that rises to the second story. A one-story-plus-basement garage, at 1641 Jackson (1914; extant), has a ramp that descends to the basement.

The Admiral Garage, 550 Turk Street. Joseph Pasqualetti, builder, 1924. It is two stories in height, two bays in width, and reinforced concrete in construction. Pasqualetti was a prolific builder of reinforced concrete buildings; here he employed both classical and Gothic decorative details.
By the early or mid-1910s, garages took on a form that became almost standard. Most were reinforced concrete in construction, two stories in height, two or three bays in width, and symmetrical in composition. Often the bays were of equal width. One or two of the bays were devoted to vehicle entrances, and in the latter case, one led onto the ground floor and the other led up a ramp to the second floor. The capacity of garages varied. Among extant garages in the study area of this report, the smallest was the Greeneisch Garage at 364 Hayes, which held 30 cars. The largest were the Jackson Garage, at 1641 Jackson; the Kern Garage, at 1700-1710 Pine; and the Admiral Garage, at 550 Turk; all of which had capacities of 120 to 125 cars. Most garages in the study area held at least 75 automobiles.
One of the early reinforced concrete garages in the study area: the Jackson Garage, at 1641 Jackson Street, 1914 (extant). Sanborn maps give its capacity as 125 cars. Its architects, the O’Brien Brothers, were the most prolific designers of public garages in San Francisco. This building has a central block three bays in width plus small flanking pavilions. It retains its wood-framed windows, including those in the monumental arch. Photo: Dept. of City Planning, Van Ness Avenue Plan, 1980s.

Surviving examples of public garages

Presently, nineteen buildings that were once used as public garages still stand in the study area and have at least fair integrity. Another seventeen garage buildings that once stood in the study area have been demolished or severely altered. In sum, roughly half of the garages that have ever stood in the study area survive to illustrate this building type today.

The oldest examples of public garages in the study area, with notes regarding date of construction, longevity of use (counted through 1964), and integrity, are:

The Alaska Garage, 1349 Larkin Street. Built as a private garage in 1909-1910; it became a public garage in 1913. Years of use as a public garage: 14. During most of its years as a garage it was joined with its next-door neighbor, the Graystone Garage at 1335 Larkin, as a single business. Its integrity is high.

The Pine Garage, 1461 Pine Street. Built 1911. Years of public garage use: 28. Its integrity has been harmed by the sandblasting of its brick façade and the installation of modern metal windows.

Graystone Garage, 1335 Larkin Street. Built 1913-1914. Years of public garage use: 27. Integrity is high.
The Jackson Garage, 1641 Jackson Street. Built 1914. Years of public garage use: 31. Integrity is high.

Clay Street Garage, 1745 Clay Street. Built 1914. Years of public garage use: 33, beginning in 1917. Its windows have been altered, but otherwise integrity is high.

Marine View Garage, 2020 Van Ness Avenue. Built 1914. Years of public garage use: 22. Its initial use as a garage was brief (1914-1915); its main garage use was during 1922-1942. Integrity is high.

Inverness Garage #2, 1267 Bush Street. Built 1917-1918. Years of public garage use: 27. Integrity is high.

Patrick J. Kelly garage, 731-799 Van Ness Avenue. Built 1916-1917. Years of public garage use: 24 (beginning in 1919). This building was simultaneously occupied by an auto painting shop and an auto repair shop, and so is also discussed under multiple-use buildings, below. Its integrity is high.

To summarize, all of these are excellent examples of early garages except for 1461 Pine, which has been fairly extensively altered, and 2020 Van Ness, which was mainly used as a garage in the 1920s and later.18

Garages in the study area from the 1920s, with notes regarding date of construction, years of garage use (counted through 1964), and integrity, include:


Sequoia Garage, 730 Ellis Street. Built 1920. Years of public garage use: 23. Integrity is good.

Kay’s Garage, 1650-1660 Pacific Avenue. Built 1921. Years of public garage use: 20. Window sash has been altered, and integrity is otherwise high.


Inverness Garage #1, 1565 Bush Street. Built 1923. Years of public garage use: 18. Integrity is high.

18 Outside of the study area, only six other San Francisco public garages from the 1910s are known of. They include 618-634 Stanyan (1911), 1419 Pacific Avenue (1914), 1776 Green (1914), 2405 Bush (1916), 3536 Sacramento (1917), and 651-675 Post (1918). It is probable that a few others may someday be identified.

Grand Central Garage, 66 Page Street. Built 1924. Years of public garage use: 13. Integrity is good to high.

Marine View Garage, 2020 Van Ness Avenue. This building is repeated from the list above, as its main garage use began in 1924.

Kern Garage, 1700-1710 Pine Street. Built 1925. Years of public garage use: 33. Integrity is high.

Admiral Garage, 550 Turk Street. Built 1924. Years of public garage use: 23 (beginning in 1926). Integrity is high.

All of these are good or fine examples of public garages except for 2100 Van Ness, which has fair integrity at best.

The nineteenth garage building in the study area is at 1101 Sutter Street. It was originally built as Heald’s automobile engineering school, and became a garage (for 29 years) beginning in 1936. This building is better discussed under a separate category, below.
Multiple-use buildings

During 1912-1923 several buildings in the study area were built to hold three or more auto-related businesses at a given time. These businesses included auto showrooms, public garages, auto repair shops, and various kinds of specialty service shops, among others. This was a rare building type, but an important one that served owners of automobiles in varied ways.

Architecture of multiple-use buildings

These buildings are larger than most auto repair shops or public garages and are made of reinforced concrete. The decorative schemes and window sash are most similar to those in garages. Most notable, architecturally, is 731-799 Van Ness, by Willis Polk and Company (1917, 1925), with its profiled cornices, a chamfered corner at Van Ness and Eddy, and a wooden classical entrance in this cornice. 1575-1595 Bush Street, by Meyer and Johnson (1923), has a profiled cornice with moldings, impressions of arches in its frieze, and paired Corinthian pilasters. A series of pineapples, that have since decayed and been removed, once topped its cornice. 824 Ellis (1920) has restrained ornament applied to a three-bay composition.
Surviving examples of multiple-use buildings

The best examples of this building type are:

731-799 Van Ness Avenue. Built in 1917, and added to in 1925, it held three different businesses in its early years. They included auto repair shops (1917-1925, 1933-1937, 1939-1940, 1944-1954), an auto painting shop (1918-1932), and a public garage (1919-1942). Later the entire building was used as the service shop of an auto dealer. Integrity is high.

1575-1595 Bush Street. Built in 1923 to hold multiple auto-related shops. They included auto tops and trimming shops, auto painting shops, auto repair shops, a wheel alignment shop, and a carburetor shop. Ground floor windows have been altered; those above are intact. This very fine example has not been formally evaluated for this survey report because its demolition was approved before this report was completed.

824 Ellis Street. Built in 1920. It is uncertain how often this building held two businesses, and how often three, at a given time. Occupants included auto repair shops (1920-1936), auto body and auto tops and trimming shops (1920-1929), a piston rings shop (1927-1929), an auto painting and bodywork shop (1938-1940), a used autos wholesaler (1938-1964), and a body and fender work shop (1946-1964).

Buildings of this type with lesser integrity include:

1430-1480 Van Ness Avenue. Built in 1912. This building held new auto dealers, used auto dealers, tire shops, auto supplies stores, and auto finance shops. Window sash has been altered.

1600-1630 Van Ness Avenue. Built in 1913. This building held auto showrooms, used car salesrooms, the auto body building shop of Larkins and Company, and auto supplies and tires shops. The large window openings in this building have been covered with modern grilles.
731-799 Van Ness Avenue. The first story of this reinforced concrete multiple-use building was built in 1916-1917 to designs of Willis Polk and Co., and the second story was added by the same firm in 1925, a year after Polk’s death. Occupants through the early 1940s included auto repair shops, auto painting shops, and the Patrick J. Kelly Garage. Photo: Department of City Planning Van Ness Avenue Plan, 1980s.

1575-1595 Bush Street. Built in 1923 to designs by architects Meyer and Johnson for Joseph Pasqualetti. It held auto tops and trimming, auto painting, auto repair, a wheel alignment, and carburetor shops. As mentioned above, its demolition was approved before the completion of this survey.
Automobile engineering schools

An automobile engineering school was one that trained people to design, repair, and build automobiles, and to machine replacement parts for them. Only one business that lasted for more than a few years in San Francisco belonged to this category. That was Heald’s, which occupied three buildings within the study area during the three decades after 1906.

Heald’s College was founded in 1863 as a business college. Shortly before 1906 it added a department of mining engineering. After its old location was destroyed in the earthquake and fire, Heald’s rebuilt at 425 McAllister, close to the city’s new auto row, and added an automobile engineering school to its curriculum. This new building was taken by eminent domain in 1912, for the Civic Center, and Heald’s then moved to the northwest corner of Post and Van Ness, where it continued to teach business, engineering, and automobile engineering. (That building has been demolished.) In 1920 Heald’s moved its auto engineering school to a new building at 1101 Sutter (extant). It remained here for fifteen years before moving to 915 North Point Street.

Other automobile-related schools besides Heald’s existed in San Francisco before World War II, but none of them lasted more than a few years, and none compared with Heald’s in their range of courses.
Automobile repair shops

History of their development

In the very early years, to sell automobiles in San Francisco probably meant taking on a second role, that of being an auto repairman. The first automobiles were notoriously unreliable, and since auto repair shops had not yet sprung up, if you needed work done on your car, you probably drove it, if it would still run, back to where you bought it.

Machine shops also, in all likelihood, found themselves doubling as auto repair shops. Wagon building shops and even bicycle shops may have also been pressed into service by desperate car owners. Anyone with tools and mechanical ability might do in a pinch.

The first business to advertise in city directories under the heading of “automobile repair” was, in fact, a bicycle shop, and it was located within the study area of this report. This was the shop of Leavitt and Bill, owned by John W. Leavitt and John T. Bill, at 307-309 Larkin Street. These two men imported several brands of bicycles to sell, and in 1904 they also began to sell Reo automobiles and to offer general auto repair services. In that year their staff included a machinist and future shop owner, George H. Woodward.¹⁹

During the period 1908-1929, from 32% to 53% of all auto repair shops in San Francisco were located within the study area. This was a far greater percentage than could be found elsewhere in the city (for example, in South-of-Market, where from 8% to 15% of auto repair shops were located during these years). By 1929, the number of auto repair shops in the study area had risen to 93.

Early auto repairmen in the study area

Many machinists and auto repairmen who worked in San Francisco when the auto industry was in its infancy became proprietors of auto repair shops and remained in business for many years. Some whose auto repair shops still stand include:

Eugene S. Miner. He began working as a machinist in 1901 for Dorville Libby, Jr., at the latter’s Sunset Automobile Co. In 1910 he and Libby opened an auto repair shop at 1415 Van Ness (extant), where Miner remained under various partnerships through 1916. He continued to own auto repair shops in San Francisco until his death in 1943, most notably at 1540 Bush (extant). His son then continued the business.

Harry M. Nicolson. He worked in bicycle repair in 1901, was a machinist in 1908, became the foreman of George H. Woodward’s auto repair shop in 1910, and opened his own machine shop before 1914. In 1915 he opened an auto repair shop at 155 Grove, where he remained until 1937. This building is the oldest auto repair shop that remains standing in the study area.

¹⁹ John W. Leavitt went on to become an important automobile dealer in San Francisco, selling Oldsmobile, Oakland, Willys, and other major brands through the 1920s. Woodward later worked in auto repair and opened his own auto parts machine shop in the study area.
John Blausef. He had an auto repair and machinist shop at 81 City Hall Avenue from 1905 to 1920, then moved his shop to 845 Polk Street (extant), where he remained to 1927.

Ernest Hanni. A native of Switzerland, he came to San Francisco in 1905, owned an auto repair shop on Fulton Street during 1906-1910, then worked for the Jerome Garage as the manager of its service department and machine shop. Under its auspices he wrote several articles for the Chronicle newspaper on auto repair and maintenance. He next worked for Charles S. Howard’s Buick dealership, where he was the foreman of the “mechanical department,” and in 1917 he opened Hanni Auto Repair Company, at 1630 Franklin Street (demolished). In 1921 he moved to his own building at 1765 California, where he remained in partnership with Andrew P. Girerd, as Hanni and Girerd, through 1935. This building is extant and is the largest auto repair shop building in the study area. He split with Girerd and opened a new shop with his son, Ernest A. Hanni, at 895 O’Farrell, where he retired in 1943. The elder Hanni died in 1956, and his son continued the business of Hanni and Company at 1641 Jackson Street into the 1980s.

Earl E. Robbins. He owned auto repair shops in the study area from 1917-1943, first at 129 Grove (demolished), then at 55 Oak (extant). His successor in this business, Robert J. Francoz, continued the business at 55 Oak until 1962.

Architecture and structure of auto repair shops

Auto repair shops were almost always made of brick through 1919, a much later date than was the case with public garages or auto showrooms. In 1920, most repair shops were still made of brick, but some were built of reinforced concrete. After 1920 construction was always of reinforced concrete. Like garages, auto repair shops featured one or more vehicle entrances prominently in the façade.

The original windows in these buildings are typically divided into many lights: either of wood sash, as at 300 Grove (1920), or of steel sash, as at 824 Ellis (1920), 1765 California (1929), and 55 Oak (1929). 1522-1524 Bush (1916), originally an auto parts store but later devoted to auto repair, has now-rare pivoting wooden windows in the second story.
As far as ornament is concerned, the feeling in these buildings is usually more utilitarian than it is in public garages, but no examples are absolutely plain and some are highly attractive. Cornices and moldings are almost universal decorative features. 155 Grove Street (1915) has a cornice or pent roof covered with imitation clay tiles. 1465 Pine (1917) has a shallow Romanesque cornice formed of bricks. 1644 Pine (1917) has a cornice and paneled pilasters. 300 Grove employs delicate brickwork, with arched openings defined by courses of bricks and a cornice of corbelled bricks. At 1765 California (1921, 1927; extant), which was the largest auto repair shop in the study area (if not in San Francisco), an elaborately decorated central pavilion is flanked by severe wings. 843 and 845 Polk (each 1920) are the plainest of these buildings, but each has a fairly prominent shaped parapet reminiscent of the Mission Revival style.

Surviving automobile repair shops

The following discussion is a comparative study of buildings in the study area that have held auto repair shops. This category includes shops that did general auto repair and body rebuilding. It does not include specialty service shops such as electrical, batteries, brakes, tires, auto tops and trimming, fender, and radiator shops, as those are considered under a separate category.

Today, over 40 surviving buildings in the study area still stand that were occupied by auto repair shops for at least seven years of their history (through 1964, the end year of the period being studied). Of these forty-plus buildings, some are better examples of this building type than are others. The best examples are: the oldest ones; those with the greatest longevity as auto repair shops; and those with the highest integrity. The largest auto repair shops, i.e. those with the greatest capacity, could also be considered as better examples than smaller ones, because presumably more autos were repaired in them; but smaller repair shops can still have significance, for they were once very numerous, were a common part of the landscape, but have now become scarce.

The best examples of auto repair shops are listed below, in three groups.

Four buildings held auto repair shops beginning in the 1910s, for periods of over 20 years (counting through the year 1964), and have at least good integrity. They qualify as the oldest good examples of auto repair shops in the study area:

155 Grove Street. Harry M. Nicolson’s shop. This is the oldest building in the study area that was built (in 1915) as an auto repair shop. Integrity is good.

731-799 Van Ness Avenue. Auto repair use beginning in 1917, for 28 years. Integrity is high. This was a large multi-use building; it held a public garage and an auto painting shop in addition to an auto repair shop.

1465 Pine Street. Auto repair use beginning in 1917, for at least 30 years; a small shop. Integrity is good.

1644 Pine Street. Auto repair use beginning in 1918, for 46 years. Integrity is high.
The next-oldest examples, with excellent longevity

Seven buildings held auto repair shops beginning in the 1920s, had over 30 years of such use (counting through 1964), and retain high integrity. These are exceptional examples of this building type, even though they are not as old as those dating to the 1910s. Three of these are small, but are still good examples due to their moderately early date, excellent longevity, and high integrity. The seven include:

300 Grove Street. Built in 1920, it held auto repair shops for 38 years. The brick façade is exceptional, and almost all of the wooden windows remain in place.

650 Polk Street. Built in 1920, it held auto repair shops for 40 years. This is a small building on the scale of 1465 Pine, 843 Polk, and 845 Polk.

843 Polk Street. Built in 1920, it held auto repair shops for 44 years. This is a small building on the scale of 1465 Pine, 650 Polk, and 845 Polk.

845 Polk Street. Built in 1920, it held auto repair shops for 38 years, including that of John Blausef, active in this work since 1905. This is a small building on the scale of 1465 Pine, 650 Polk, and 843 Polk.

824 Ellis Street. Auto repair use beginning in 1920, for 35 years. This was one of the larger and more architecturally distinguished auto repair shops in the study area.

1765 California Street. Hanni and Girerd’s shop. Auto repair use beginning in 1921, for 43 years. This was the largest auto repair shop in the study area.

55 Oak Street. Earl E. Robbins’ shop. Auto repair use beginning in 1929, for 35 years.

Other auto repair shop buildings in the study area are of interest in other ways. They include:

1415 Van Ness Avenue. This is the earliest auto repair shop building in the study area. It was not built for such use, however, but rather as a clothing store, in 1906. Eugene S. Miner’s auto repair shop was here during 1910-1916. Auto showrooms were here afterward.

1575-1595 Bush. This building held multiple auto-related uses, including repair shops, upon its completion in 1923.

550 Turk Street. Built as a garage, this building also held an auto repair shop for 21 years.

1540 Bush Street. This was built as a battery shop. Eugene S. Miner’s auto repair shop was here from 1927-1941.

1522-1524 Bush Street. Built as an auto supplies store, this building held an auto repair shop for 34 years beginning in 1931.

730 Ellis Street. After long use as a garage, this held an auto repair shop for 29 years beginning in 1936.
1465 Pine Street, built in 1917 and one of the oldest auto repair shops in the study area. Although only 25 feet in width, it is still used as an auto repair shop. Photo: Department of City Planning 1976 survey.

300 Grove Street, a brick auto repair shop with wooden window sash, built in 1920 to designs by architect A. Lacy Worswick.
824 Ellis, a reinforced concrete auto repair shop with original steel sash. Built by the prolific builder William Helbing in 1920.

Hanni and Girerd’s reinforced concrete auto repair shop, the largest in the study area, at 1765 California Street. The western wing was built first, in 1921, by architect T. Paterson Ross. The ornamental central pavilion and east wing were added in 1927 by Hyman and Appleton. This building’s recent conversion to a grocery store retained the original steel sash windows.
Automobile parts and supplies stores

History of their development

The first business that advertised in city directory classifieds as selling auto parts was C. W. Marvedel, a general machinists’ supply house on First Street, in 1901. Leavitt and Bill, at 307-309 Larkin, also began to sell auto supplies in 1904, and they were the first to do so in the study area. The number of businesses of this type in the city sharply rose after 1906.

Sellers of auto parts and supplies in San Francisco tended to congregate in the study area, close to the auto showrooms. 36% of such businesses were in the study area in 1908. This percentage rose to an all-time high of 76% in 1911. Thereafter it fluctuated: for example, 53% in 1918, and 63% in 1929. In the latter year, there were 75 such businesses in the study area alone.

These figures are a little misleading, for most of the large automobile dealers in the study area sold auto supplies, and advertised as doing so. Subtract the auto dealers, and the percentage of San Francisco’s auto parts stores that were in the study area would be less dramatic. Nevertheless, the study area was clearly the primary neighborhood where auto parts stores, especially the larger ones, could be found.

Chanslor and Lyon’s wholesale auto parts and supplies store, 730 Polk Street. Built in 1922 to designs by architect W. L. Schmolle. Integrity is high.
One auto supply business dominated the field in San Francisco: Chanslor and Lyon. It had been founded in Los Angeles, and was already an established business there by the time it opened a branch store in San Francisco, in 1906. During its first sixteen years here C&L occupied four leased buildings in the study area, all now demolished. In 1922 they built 730 Polk Street (extant) to house their wholesale auto supplies and tires store. The San Francisco Examiner called it “the largest on the Pacific Coast devoted exclusively to housing automotive equipment.” Chanslor and Lyon remained in this building until 1966. Both Walter Chanslor and Philip Lyon remained officers in this business (president and vice-president) through 1948.

Architecture of automobile parts and supplies stores

The largest and finest of these, 730 Polk (1922), is brick masonry in construction, with wooden piers, joists, and girders. It derives its architectural feeling primarily from its tan and buff-colored brick cladding, which has an exceptionally warm tone. Windows have industrial steel sash. Ornament includes a somewhat heavy cornice, a profiled belt course, and shields emblazoned with the letters C&L, for the first occupant, Chanslor and Lyon.

1033-1037 Polk (1920) is reinforced concrete in construction, and has a liberal amount of classical ornament applied to bays of equal width. 1522-1524 Bush (1916) is made of brick and is notable for its row of wooden, pivoting windows in the second story, a feature that has become rare.

Upper portion of 1033-1037 Polk Street, showing classical ornament. Arthur S. Bugbee, architect, 1920. Auto parts stores occupied the two storefronts from 1920 into the early 1930s.
Surviving automobile supply stores

In terms of early date, longevity of this use (through 1964), and integrity, the best examples of auto supplies stores in the study area include:


1522-1524 Bush Street. Built in 1920. Years of auto supply use: 12. Integrity is good to high.

1033-1037 Polk Street. Built in 1920. Years of auto supply use: 13. Integrity is high.

730 Polk Street (Chanslor and Lyon). Built in 1922. Years of auto supply use: 42 years. Integrity is high.

Tire stores

History of their development

This category could have also been included with Specialty Service Shops (below), but because national tire makers were prominently represented in the study area, and occupied conspicuous buildings, it seems important enough to be discussed separately.

Manufacturers of rubber goods began to make solid tires for carriages, wagons, and bicycles, and then pneumatic tires for bicycles and automobiles as the market for such developed. National businesses devoted primarily or entirely to manufacturing automobile tires emerged in the early 20th century.

A few such had outlets in San Francisco as early as 1905. In 1906, after the earthquake and fire, three of four tires dealers in the city were in the study area. In 1914, there were 37 tire dealers in the city, and 76% of them were in the study area. The percentage dipped as the numbers of dealers increased; for example, in 1929, 37% of the city’s 100 tires dealers were in the study area.

The country’s major tires manufacturers, Goodyear and Firestone, both based in Akron, Ohio, vacillated between having their own factory branch stores in San Francisco and selling tires through local dealers. Each opened a factory branch in San Francisco, and in each case the tire companies are known to have negotiated with the building owners regarding their needs before the building was designed and built. In the case of Firestone, the building plans were approved by H. S. Firestone in Akron before a lease was signed. Michelin and other tire manufacturers also opened factory branches in the study area, and other tire dealers were represented by local dealers.
1301-1305 Van Ness Avenue, built in 1912 for the Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company, to designs by Cunningham and Politeo. Goodyear occupied half of the ground floor and all of the second floor for five years, leaving in 1917. The building still stands with good to high integrity. Photo (with retouched signage) from *S.F. Newsletter*, Christmas number, 1913.

1412-1420 Van Ness Avenue, built in 1913 for Firestone Tire and Rubber Company, which occupied half of this building for ten years. The building still stands, with good to high integrity. This photo, from the late 1920s, shows the building as an auto showroom. SFPL photo AAD-4672.

**Architecture of tire stores**

Tire stores in the study area had quite varied appearances. The two largest, at 1301-1305 Van Ness and 1412-1420 Van Ness (both extant), shared these buildings with auto dealerships, and so more closely resembled auto showrooms. Two much smaller tire stores, at 636 Van Ness and 1431 Van Ness (both 1911; demolished), managed pretentious appearances; the former resembled a small classical banking temple, and the latter had a restrained Italian Renaissance style. 1644 Pine (1912-1913; extant) and 1650 Pine (1917; extant) were more utilitarian in appearance, resembling auto repair shops.
The smallest tire stores occupied storefronts within larger commercial buildings; 1233-1237 Van Ness and 1430-1480 Van Ness (both extant) were examples of this type. A prominent, late example, the Kahn and Keville shop at 500 Turk (1935; extant), had wings that embraced an outdoor space where autos could park and maneuver.


Surviving tire stores

Seven buildings that held tire stores beginning in the 1910s still stand in the study area. They include:

1301-1305 Van Ness Avenue. This was Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company’s factory branch for five years, from 1912-1917. Here, Goodyear had spacious quarters encompassing half of the first story plus the entire second story. The rest of the building was divided into small auto showrooms. Goodyear sold tires directly to the public from this building, but at the same time also distributed through local dealers, such as auto dealerships and small tire shops. After 1917, Goodyear elected to leave 1301-1305 Van Ness and to sell only through these dealers. Goodyear’s next location in San Francisco, at 1563-1565 Mission Street (extant), from 1917 to 1923, may have been used primarily as a warehouse from which to supply these dealers, although this is uncertain.

1412-1420 Van Ness Avenue. This was Firestone’s factory branch for ten years, 1913-1923. Firestone occupied half of this two-story-plus-basement building, the rest of which was occupied by an auto showroom. Firestone’s space was smaller than Goodyear’s, but it stayed here for twice as long as Goodyear had stayed at its first site.

1644 Pine Street. The entire building was occupied during 1913-1919 by the Michelin factory branch at 1644 Pine Street (extant).

1233-1237 Van Ness Avenue. This building originally had three storefronts, two of which were occupied by tire companies upon its completion in 1914. One storefront in this building held the Tansey-Crowe Company, a local business that acted as a tires distributor for the Pennsylvania Rubber Company, of Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. The other tire company in this building was a factory branch, that of the Federal Rubber Manufacturing Company, of Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Vehicular access to the building for tire installation was via an entrance on the alley now named Daniel Burnham Court. These tire companies remained here for ten and eight years, respectively. Integrity is good.

1430-1480 Van Ness Avenue. Among this building’s three storefronts, tire shops were present during 1915-1924 and in 1927. This building also held many other auto-related businesses. Integrity is fair.

1650 Pine Street. This building was occupied for ten years, 1917-1927, by the Superior Tire and Repair Company, which sold tires and performed vulcanizing. Superior then moved two doors to the west, to 1660 Pine (also extant), where it did business for another six years.

Of the many tire stores from that period that have been demolished, two are worth mentioning here, if only because they slightly predated all of the above buildings, continued to stand into the 1980s, possessed some architectural interest, and because photographs of them are available. The U. S. Tire Company, at 636 Van Ness, and the Fisk Rubber Company, at 1431 Van Ness, each preceded Goodyear by a year, opening in 1911. U. S. Tire’s store looked like a small classical banking temple. Fisk’s building had a restrained Italian Renaissance appearance, and a storefront finished with mahogany, grained leather, and hand-painted upper walls and ceiling. It also had a service and
shipping department (behind the storefront), a warehouse in the basement, and a
vulcanizing plant in the second story.

Some of the buildings in the study area that held tire shops beginning in the 1920s and
1930s include:

1441 Bush Street. Tire shops performed vulcanizing and retread work here for almost thirty
years, from 1922-1951. They were Sherman Braxton (during 1922-1925) and Gene Valla
(1927-1951). Integrity is good.

1601 Bush Street. This building was built in 1930 and has been occupied by tire dealers for
much, and perhaps all of its existence. Its history has been difficult to research. Tire dealers
were definitely here during 1932-1937, 1941-1942, and 1951-1964. Brands sold included
Firestone (1951-1953) and Goodyear (1954-1964). The integrity of this building is uncertain.

500 Turk Street. This building has been occupied by Kahn and Keville from its construction
in 1935 to the present. They have always sold Goodyear tires, as well as, at times, batteries,
radios, and household appliances. Integrity is high.

As one can see from the two lists above, the earlier buildings, from the 1910s, were
occupied by tire dealers for ten years or less, while buildings from the 1920s-1930s had
much greater longevity of use as tire shops.

Specialized service shops

In addition to general auto repair shops, there were many shops in the study area that
offered specialized services. These services included auto painting, auto body building
and repair, auto tops and trimming, batteries sales and service, electrical service, auto
parts machining, radiators and fenders, brakes, springs, and auto upholstery. Most of
these businesses can be considered minor in the larger context of the study area’s history,
but a few were notable.
Architecture of specialty service shops

These buildings were very similar to auto repair shops in their structure and appearance. Like auto repair shops, specialty services shops were almost always made of brick through 1919 and of reinforced concrete thereafter. One or more vehicle entrances figured prominently in the façade, and windows were divided into many lights.

Ornamentation is restrained, but the buildings usually possess pleasing detail and surface texture. 1455 Bush (1913) has a profiled cornice and wall panels formed of recessed brick. 1660 Pine has a profiled cornice and wall panels of brick in a herringbone pattern. 1540 Bush (1916) is notable for a band of wooden windows divided by mullions and muntins into many lights. 42 Twelfth Street (1919) has wooden mezzanine windows divided into many lights.

Surviving specialty service shops

The best examples of buildings where specialized services were offered are listed below. (Buildings already mentioned above under multiple-use buildings are not included.)

1455 Bush Street. Built 1913. This was the machine shop of George H. Woodward, who made parts for autos here 1913-1946. Woodward had worked as a machinist in San Francisco since 1902. He worked for Leavitt and Bill in 1904, sold autos on his own in 1907-1908, and owned an auto repair shop in 1910. Integrity is good.

1430-1444 Bush Street. Built 1913. This held the Western Radiator and Fender shop from 1913-1923, an auto painting shop during 1927-1930, Schwerin Brake Service from 1932-1964 (and currently, per signage), and also a muffler shop during 1953-1964. Integrity is harmed by new window sash but is otherwise good.

1540 Bush Street. Built 1916. This building held the Pacific Coast Branch of the Electric Storage Battery Company, of Philadelphia, makers of Exide batteries, from 1916-1926. Exide then moved this branch to the Bayview district. It remains in business today as a worldwide battery manufacturer. This is the most important building in the study area with a history as a battery shop, along with 500 Turk (built much later, in 1935). Integrity is high.

1660 Pine Street. Built 1917. An auto tops and trimming shop was here from 1921 to 1929.

930-980 Van Ness Avenue. Built 1920. An electrical servicing company, the Automotive Service Co., occupied part of this building from 1920-1941. Its president at first was Ernest Ingold, later an important Chevrolet dealer. The window sash has been altered.

1656 Pine Street. Built 1917. An auto tops and trimming shop was here from 1921 to 1929.

42 Twelfth Street. Built 1919. After an earlier use as an auto repair shop, this building was home to Hal Metzel, the Auto Tailor, an auto upholstery business, from 1938 to the present. This building has much better integrity than does another building, 1133 Post, which also held an upholstery business for a similar period.
159 Fell Street. Built 1926. This building held wheel aligning and brake shops and an auto parts manufacturing shop for at least 26 years during the period 1926-1961.

Two brick masonry specialty service shops from the 1910s, both extant. Top photo: 1455 Bush Street, built in 1913 by George H. Woodward as his auto parts machine shop. He remained in business here through 1946. Bottom photo: 1540 Bush Street, built in 1916 for the Electric Storage Battery Co. of Philadelphia, which sold their Exide automobile batteries here through 1926.
Used automobile salesrooms

Numerous buildings in the southern end of the study area had extensive use as salesrooms for used automobiles, and some buildings toward the northern end had some such use, especially during the Depression. In addition, many showrooms where new autos were sold also devoted some of their space to used car sales. The buildings in the study area that had the longest history of this use were:

850 Van Ness Avenue. Built 1919. From that date through at least 1964 this building was occupied by used car sales rooms. It is the only building in the study area that was so occupied for many years from the beginning of its history. Two of the dealers here, J. E. French (1927-1936) and Don Gilmore (1937-1938, 1941-1944, 1953), had showrooms for new autos elsewhere in the study area. This building has lost its cornice or pent roof and original window sash on the Van Ness side, and retains these features on its Ellis Street side.

700-710 Van Ness Avenue. Built 1915. After very brief use as a new car showroom, the ground floor of this building was a used car salesroom for over 36 years (1919-1964+). The one long-term occupant was Bank Chevrolet, which sold used cars here 1938-1960. The second floor was first a business college, and later the physical culture and yoga studio of Walt Baptiste (1952-1962). Because its integrity is high, this is the best example of a used car salesroom in the study area.

1415 Van Ness Avenue. Built in 1906 as a clothing store, and devoted to auto uses from 1910 onward. Used car salesrooms occupied this building for 34 years during 1923-1964. Proprietors included William L. Hughson, who was also the city’s pre-eminent Ford dealer.

850 Van Ness Avenue (1919). Note the horizontal band which indicates where the pent roof used to be. Window sash have also been altered. This building was a used car salesroom from the time of its construction through at least 1964.

700-710 Van Ness Avenue (William Knowles, architect, 1915). Photo from The Architect, March 1916. The first story was devoted to used car sales, while the second story was a business college and later (during 1952-1962) a yoga studio.

From left to right: 826 Van Ness (1918), 820 Van Ness (1920), and 810 Van Ness (1920). By mid-century, signage on used car salesrooms had become unsightly. All three of these buildings have been demolished. Photo from Department of City Planning 1976 survey.

Alterations and integrity

No automobile-related building in the study area retains 100% integrity; every building has undergone at least some alterations. This section will discuss the most common alterations that have occurred, and what kind of integrity is needed for a building to be able to convey its historic significance.

The most common alteration to buildings, by far, has been the replacement of original vehicle entrance doors by modern roll-up metal doors. The loss of original vehicle doors has been almost universal. Only three buildings still retain such doors. 1565 Bush Street
(1923) has paired metal doors with full-length glazing in the center of the first story. The other two buildings, at 731-799 Van Ness (1917) and 1946-1960 Van Ness (1920), each have two wooden vehicle doors that slide or raise. The five old doors in these three buildings appear to be the only ones in the study area that pre-date World-War II.

Paired vehicle doors with full-length glazing, at 1565 Bush Street. These are the last metal-framed vehicle doors in the Van Ness Avenue corridor.

Two wooden doors at 1946-1960 Van Ness (on the Jackson Street side). One of these opens by raising, the other by sliding on rollers. Another building, at 731-799 Van Ness, also has two wooden vehicle doors.

The next most common type of alteration has been the replacement of original window sash with modern metal sash. Such replacements are harmful to integrity because most windows were originally divided by muntins into many lights, providing pleasing texture to large window openings. Much of this texture has now been lost. Storefront windows often had prism glass in the transom area, and such prism glass has almost universally vanished. One building, 1301-1305 Van Ness, still has a long expanse of prism glass on
its Fern Street side; this is one of the last places one can go to get a sense of what original storefront windows looked like.

Only a small proportion of the auto-related buildings in the study area retain all or almost all of their original window sash. If a building (e.g., 1575-1595 Bush) has lost all of its original sash in the first story, but retains all of its original window sash in the upper stories, it has better than average integrity as far as windows are concerned.

In the past year or two, two buildings in the study area (66 Page and 1831-1849 Van Ness) have removed their original industrial steel window sash, but have replaced that sash with new metal sash that has the same pattern and proportion of lights as the original. The profile and reveal of the new metal sash may not be identical to that of the original, but it is very close. These buildings should be considered to have good integrity after such replacement.

Many buildings have lost varying degrees of ornament. Ornament was an important part of building design before the 1940s, and the loss of any ornament in older buildings is unfortunate. In some buildings this issue is however less important than it is in others. 1831-1849 Van Ness, for example, is a reinforced concrete building whose ornament was originally restricted to the tops of two piers on the Van Ness Avenue side, and a keystone in the main entrance. The skeletal design of this building was its most important aspect, and that remains despite the loss of this ornament. The integrity of this building should be considered to still be good, albeit not high.

1350 Van Ness (built 1912-1913) is an example of a building where ornament was a very important part of the original design, and where a considerable amount of that ornament has been removed. Here, the cornice has been removed, the frieze has been covered up, and the original pedestrian entrance has been altered. Balanced against these losses are the survival of the fluted Corinthian pilasters and the clathri screen in the transom area, and the relative high integrity of the plain service wings facing Bush and Fern streets. In spite of its alterations, this building is still able to convey its history as one of the oldest auto showrooms left standing in the study area, where nationally popular brands (including Stutz) were sold here; because enough of the building survives to evoke its history. In a building that was less old than this one, or where the brands sold were less interesting, the alterations to this building would have resulted in its not being eligible for the California Register.

Many factors must be considered when one considers the integrity of a building, and whether a building can be eligible for the California Register despite a certain level of alterations. An early date of construction, the presence of important auto-related uses in its history, and the longevity of such uses can offset the alterations to a building, up to a certain point. The building should also be compared against other surviving buildings with a similar history. The main question should be: does this building still have the ability to convey its important history? If it does not, even a building that has a compelling history should be considered “not eligible” for the California Register.
IV. CONCLUSIONS AND FINDINGS

Evaluations of 64 buildings that appear to be eligible for the California Register, either individually or as a contributor to a potential historic district:

The following building is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. It also appears to be eligible for the California Register under the criteria listed below. It has been given status codes of 1S and 3CS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1699 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>642/1</td>
<td>1919, 1923</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following building was previously found to be a contributor to a potential Hayes Valley Commercial District, under Criterion A of the National Register. This district has been recognized by San Francisco’s local government. The building also appears to be individually eligible for the California Register under the criterion given below. It has been given status codes of 3CS and 5D3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
<th>Criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>364 Hayes St.</td>
<td>809/11</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two following buildings appear to be contributors to a potential historic district under Criterion 1 of the California Register, and also appear to be individually eligible for the California Register. The criteria under which they appear to be individually eligible are given at the end of the row. They have been given a Status Code of 3CB:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1644 Pine St.</td>
<td>647/7</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>tire and repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1670 Pine St.</td>
<td>647/11</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>auto showroom, shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three following buildings appear to be contributors to a potential historic district under Criterion 1 of the California Register, but do not appear to be individually eligible. They have been given a Status Code of 3CD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1650 Pine St.</td>
<td>647/8</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>tire and repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1656 Pine St.</td>
<td>647/9</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1660 Pine St.</td>
<td>647/10</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>battery and tire shop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two following buildings appear to be individually eligible for the California Register of Historical Resources under the criteria listed below. They are also official City Landmarks of the City and County of San Francisco, and as such should also qualify for the California Register by virtue of this designation. They have been given a Status Code of 3CS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>901 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>719/2</td>
<td>1926-1927</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>715/5</td>
<td>1920-1921</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluations of buildings that appear to be eligible for the California Register (continued):

The following 55 buildings appear to be individually eligible for the California Register of Historical Resources, and have been given a Status Code of 3CS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1267 Bush St.</td>
<td>279/16</td>
<td>1917-18</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1270 Bush/1200 Larkin</td>
<td>278/8</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>garage</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1441 Bush St.</td>
<td>670/23</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto repair and tire shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1455 Bush St.</td>
<td>670/20</td>
<td>1913, 1914</td>
<td>machine shop</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1522-1524 Bush St.</td>
<td>666/6</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>parts store/repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1540 Bush St.</td>
<td>666/6A</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>battery and repair shop</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1565 Bush St.</td>
<td>671/7</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1765 California St.</td>
<td>647/13, 14</td>
<td>1921, 1927</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1745 Clay St.</td>
<td>622/16</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730 Ellis St.</td>
<td>717/6</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824 Ellis St.</td>
<td>718/6</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 Fell St.</td>
<td>834/15</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>specialty shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 Grove St.</td>
<td>811/16</td>
<td>1914-1915</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 Grove St.</td>
<td>792/3</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1641 Jackson St.</td>
<td>598/12</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1335 Larkin St.</td>
<td>645/3</td>
<td>1913-1914</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1349 Larkin St.</td>
<td>645/2</td>
<td>1909-1910</td>
<td>garage, auto repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1663-1667 Market St.</td>
<td>3505/44</td>
<td>1920-1921</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 Mission St.</td>
<td>3506/2</td>
<td>1925/1941</td>
<td>industrial building</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Oak St.</td>
<td>836/7</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1650-1660 Pacific Ave.</td>
<td>574/12</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 Page St.</td>
<td>837/8</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>garage and repair shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1465 Pine St.</td>
<td>668/12</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1545 Pine St.</td>
<td>667/16</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>restaurant, repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700-1710 Pine St.</td>
<td>648/4</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650 Polk St.</td>
<td>741/8</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730 Polk St./771 Ellis</td>
<td>740/18</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>auto accessories store</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>843 Polk St.</td>
<td>718/3</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>845 Polk St.</td>
<td>718/2</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001 Polk St./1000 Geary</td>
<td>694/4</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033-1037 Polk St.</td>
<td>694/3</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto parts store</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1725 Sacramento St.</td>
<td>641/1A</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>public garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101 Sutter St.</td>
<td>692/1</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>school and garage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 Turk St.</td>
<td>741/2</td>
<td>1935</td>
<td>tire and battery shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550 Turk St.</td>
<td>741/5</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>public garage, shop</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Twelfth St.</td>
<td>3505/5</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 Twelfth St.</td>
<td>3505/9</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550-590 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>766/8</td>
<td>1908-1909</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>742/6</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>used car salesroom</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731-799 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>743/1</td>
<td>1917, 1925</td>
<td>auto shop and garage</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>739/5</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>719/1, 13</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233-1237 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>690/1A</td>
<td>1913-1914</td>
<td>tire shops, offices</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1301-1305 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>671/2</td>
<td>1911-1912</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350 Van Ness/1465 Bush</td>
<td>670/16, 19</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>667/10</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1412-1420 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>667/9</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>tire store, auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1415 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>666/4</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>retail; auto repair, sales</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1595 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>647/1</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluations of buildings that appear to be eligible for the California Register (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1600 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>643/18</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1625 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>642/3</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1835-1849 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>618/1, 1B</td>
<td>1921, 1926</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946-1960 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>598/10A</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>595/5</td>
<td>1909, 1927</td>
<td>medical office bldg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2034 Van Ness Av.</td>
<td>595/6</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>public garage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluations of 47 buildings that do not appear to be eligible for the California Register:

The 47 following buildings do not appear to be individually eligible for the California Register, and have been given a status code of 6Z:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Block/lot</th>
<th>Date built</th>
<th>Property type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1348-1380 Bush St.</td>
<td>668/4</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>battery shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1361 Bush St.</td>
<td>669/12A</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>public garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1425-1433 Bush St.</td>
<td>670/24</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1444 Bush St.</td>
<td>667/5</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1445 Bush St.</td>
<td>670/22</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1601 Bush St.</td>
<td>672/1</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>tire shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751 Ellis St.</td>
<td>740/21</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819 Ellis St.</td>
<td>739/15</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>stores, garage and shops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840-850 Ellis St.</td>
<td>718/8</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>public garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>854 Ellis St.</td>
<td>718/9</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>899 Ellis St.</td>
<td>739/11</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>tire store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 Fell St.</td>
<td>834/19</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>specialty shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149 Fell St.</td>
<td>834/17</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 Fell St.</td>
<td>834/16</td>
<td>1925-1926</td>
<td>specialty shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 Fell St.</td>
<td>834/14</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>specialty shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Franklin St.</td>
<td>836/12</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205 Franklin/210 Fell</td>
<td>816/3</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>specialty shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1062 Geary St.</td>
<td>694/9A</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1535-1599 Market St.</td>
<td>3506/4</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>925 O'Farrell St.</td>
<td>718/20</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>auto accessories store</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963 O'Farrell St.</td>
<td>718/17</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Otis St.</td>
<td>3505/18</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1560 Pacific Ave.</td>
<td>573/10</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1645-1661 Pacific Ave.</td>
<td>595/13</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1461 Pine St.</td>
<td>668/13</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>public garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1528-1540 Pine St.</td>
<td>646/5</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652 Polk St.</td>
<td>741/9</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045 Polk St.</td>
<td>694/2</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>machine shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932 Polk/1576 Pacific</td>
<td>596/24</td>
<td>ca. 1931</td>
<td>auto repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055 Post St.</td>
<td>693/21</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>tire and repair shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1116 Post St.</td>
<td>691/3</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>auto showroom annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133 Post St.</td>
<td>694/17</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>used car salesroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1143 Post St.</td>
<td>694/15</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>auto repair shop*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1157 Post St.</td>
<td>694/13</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto showroom, parts store*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159-1161 Post St.</td>
<td>694/12</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>auto parts store, shop*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The possibility that 1133, 1143, 1157, and 1159-1161 Post St. might contribute to a potential Polk Gulch historic district has not been investigated.
Evaluations of 47 buildings that do not appear to be eligible for the California Register (continued):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>690 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>763/12</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>742/7</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>used car salesroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>739/10</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>used car salesroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>718/13</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>718/15, 16</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>various automotive uses#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1395 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>671/1</td>
<td>1916, 1948</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1480 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>667/11</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1525 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>647/3</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1730-1750 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>622/12</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>598/9</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940 Van Ness Ave.</td>
<td>598/10B</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>auto service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050 Van Ness/1675 Pac.</td>
<td>595/8</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>auto showroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evaluation of one building whose eligibility remains uncertain

The following building does not appear to be eligible for the California Register for its auto-related history. The possibility that it could be eligible for its history as a restaurant cannot be determined until a historic context statement on restaurants in San Francisco has been developed. To reflect this uncertainty, it has been given a status code of 7:

2100 Van Ness Ave. 574/14 1919-1920 auto showroom, restaurant

V. AUTO-RELATED BUILDINGS IN THE STUDY AREA THAT HAVE NOT BEEN FORMALLY EVALUATED IN THIS STUDY

Certain buildings in this study area with a history of automobile use have not been evaluated on DPR 523 forms for various reasons. Those reasons include:

- Most buildings in this group have suffered a loss of integrity so great that they have no potential for eligibility to the California Register.
- Several buildings in this group had an auto-related history that was very brief (six years or less). Some of them may be eligible for the California Register, but if so, they would not be eligible for their auto-related history.
- Two buildings, 1037 Geary and 256 Willow, have had a history only as a hotel garage and private garage; this history is tangential to the purposes of this survey.
- One building in the study area, an auto service station at 1600 Mission, was adequately evaluated in a previous survey.
- One building that is certainly eligible for the California Register, 1575-1595 Bush Street, was approved for demolition about the time this study was commenced.
- The California State Automobile Association (CSAA) buildings at 150 Van Ness, 150 Hayes, and 157-167 Hayes could be evaluated as a complex once the buildings (1957-1969) are 50 years old.

# The possibility that 950 Van Ness might contribute to a potential historic district (along with auto showrooms at 901, 999, and 1000 Van Ness) has not been fully considered in this study.

- One or two buildings were late discoveries.
- Three buildings with auto-related histories, 1501-1519 Mission, 1563-1565 Mission, and 1601 Mission, were not evaluated because they lie just outside the boundaries of this study.

The buildings that were not evaluated are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Year built</th>
<th>Architect (or builder)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 Brady</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Axel L. Thulin, bldr</td>
<td>auto use (painting) 1927-1928 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1575-1595 Bush</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>Meyer and Johnson</td>
<td>very important example, but demo. apprvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 Bush</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>A. H. Knoll</td>
<td>Kahn &amp; Keville here 1925-1935, late discv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1523-25 Franklin</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>auto repairs, windshields; about 50% altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020-1022 Geary</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>auto use (supplies) 1918-1921 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028-1030 Geary</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>auto use (electrical) 1914, 1924-1927 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037 Geary</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>Bruce Heiser</td>
<td>private garage for adjacent Richelieu Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040-1052 Geary</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Joseph L. Stewart</td>
<td>minimal or no auto use; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Golden Gate</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>Theo. W. Lenzmen</td>
<td>early auto showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530 Golden Gate</td>
<td>1911-1912</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>originally two bldgs; merged and altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544 Golden Gate</td>
<td>1911-1912</td>
<td>David C. Coleman</td>
<td>early auto showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555 Golden Gate</td>
<td>pre-1912</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>early auto showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Hayes</td>
<td>1967-1968</td>
<td>Albert Roller</td>
<td>CSAA annex; late construction date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157/167 Hayes</td>
<td>1958-1959</td>
<td>Albert Roller</td>
<td>CSAA garage; see also 150 Van Ness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1610 Jackson</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Jerome (later Sherwood) Garage; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1530-40 Market</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Reid Brothers</td>
<td>Clydesdale trucks, Olds; altered or replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501-19 Mission</td>
<td>1927, 1930</td>
<td>Hy Peterson, NB Green</td>
<td>Gurler-Lord Tire Co.; outside of boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1563-65 Mission</td>
<td>1916-1917</td>
<td>MacDonald and Kahn</td>
<td>Goodyear Tire, 1917-23; outside boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 Mission</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Blaine and Olson</td>
<td>McKale’s Srvc 1930-60s; already evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1601 Mission</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>engin’r’g dept of owner</td>
<td>Tire Service Co. 1931-64; alt; out. boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Oak</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Division of Highways HQ (tangential use)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>895 O’Farrell</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>E. Hanni and Co. auto repair; late discv; alt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-32 Otis</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>E. H. Denke</td>
<td>auto use (repairs) in 1934 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60 Otis</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>auto use (garage, tires) 1924-1927 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1544-46 Pacific</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>J. C. Hladik</td>
<td>auto use (radiators) 1927-1933 only; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1454-1466 Pine</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>auto use beg. 1937; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1470 Pine</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>MacDonald and Kahn</td>
<td>auto repair use 1921-1936; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601 Turk</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Hladik and Thayer</td>
<td>early auto showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-98 Twelfth St.</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Van Ness</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>MacDonald &amp; Applegarth</td>
<td>White Garage and showroom, altered 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Van Ness</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>George Kelham</td>
<td>CSAA; altered 1969; see also 150 &amp; 157 Hayes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214 Van Ness</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>MacDonald and Kahn</td>
<td>early Ford showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234 Van Ness</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>early motorcycle showroom; altered 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540 Van Ness</td>
<td>ca. 1908</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>early auto showroom (Lozier, etc.); altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100 Van Ness</td>
<td>1912-1913</td>
<td>C. A. Meussdorffer</td>
<td>early auto showroom (Reo, etc.); altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 Van Ness</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>MacDonald &amp; Applegarth</td>
<td>auto showroom for H. O. Harrison; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1243-5 Van Ness</td>
<td>1913-1914</td>
<td>David C. Coleman</td>
<td>brief auto use only; a pair with #1233-1237, which is eligible for its architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1560 Van Ness</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Hupmobile and Pontiac showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1701 Van Ness</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>MacDonald and Kahn</td>
<td>early Oldsmobile showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1801 Van Ness</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>Samuel L. Hyman</td>
<td>auto showroom to 1927; altered or replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920 Van Ness</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Rousseau and Rousseau</td>
<td>two old auto buildings merged and altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930 Van Ness</td>
<td>1922</td>
<td>Samuel L. Hyman</td>
<td>early Duesenberg showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 Van Ness</td>
<td>1919-1920</td>
<td>MacDonald and Kahn</td>
<td>early Lincoln showroom; altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 Willow</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Charles E. J. Rogers</td>
<td>has a history of use as a private garage only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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