As required by the Development Agreement for the Schlage Lock Development Project, a community meeting took place on Saturday, May 20, 2017, to inform and consult with the public on the prioritization of community improvement projects to be funded by the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and the Transportation Fee Obligation. This was the fifth in a series of impact fee prioritization workshops. Approximately 25 participants attended.

The meeting was jointly hosted by the Planning Department and the Recreation and Parks Department with participation by Public Works and SFMTA. The meeting agenda included the following items:

1. Summary of the Visitacion Valley impact fee program
2. Overview of neighborhood feedback received at previous community meetings vis-à-vis impact fee prioritization
3. Presentation of the initial projects selected for implementation using impact fees; the list of proposed projects was generated collaboratively by the community and City staff at previous community meetings and through community surveys.
4. Presentation of the updated Leland Park design planned for the Schlage Lock development
5. Update on the status of the Schlage Lock development
6. Presentation by staff from Friends of the Urban Forest (FUF) on street tree planting opportunities in Visitacion Valley
7. Presentation by SFMTA staff on the upcoming Visitacion Valley Transit Equity Study

Related to impact fee prioritization, the purpose of the workshop was to share with the community the initial projects that were selected for implementation utilizing impact fee dollars. Future workshops will be held at least yearly in order to identify and prioritize additional projects for implementation utilizing projected fees. Community input received at the meeting related to impact fee prioritization is summarized below.

**Community Meeting Input: Notes/Q&A**

The following input was provided during the community meeting by attendees in response to the impact fee prioritization presentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General</th>
<th>Tunnel Illumination and Greenway Connections projects are ideal for impact fees, but it would be more appropriate to pay for other projects (ie Arleta/Bayshore Safety Improvements, Herz Playground Renovation, Vis Ave Connector) through alternative sources. Impact fees should pay for things when no other funding sources are available.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prop K funding should pay for MTA/transit-related projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is it possible to borrow funds from future projected impact fee revenue?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Herz Playground                                                                 | Would like to see a direct connection (ie path/trail) between Sunnydale development and the park/playground separate from access along public streets.  
|                                                                                | Is there an opportunity to install security cameras in the park as a crime deterrence strategy?  
|                                                                                | Mercy Housing should pay for the renovation of Herz Playground since it will mostly serve Sunnydale residents.  
|                                                                                | Would like to see a covered space from which adults can supervise children. |
| Visitacion Avenue McLaren Park Connector                                      | This is an important connection to McLaren Park; pleased to see the lack of access being addressed.  
|                                                                                | Reach out to the Vis Valley Middle School and the John King Senior Center during design phase.  
|                                                                                | The proposed sidewalk extension on Visitacion Ave to the basketball courts should be illuminated. |
| Vis Valley Greenway Connections                                                | Design should include multi-lingual signage for wayfinding and interpretation.  
|                                                                                | When utility work happens, would special pavement treatments be restored by the City and/or utility? (Yes, the design would use City standard design palette and be restored as originally installed). |
| Arleta/Bayshore Pedestrian & Bike Safety Improvements                         | Please to see this unsafe intersection being addressed  
|                                                                                | One of the biggest issues at this intersection is that people unsafely run across the intersection trying to catch buses along Bayshore. This needs to be studied and somehow mitigated.  
|                                                                                | Pedestrian scramble design suggested.  
|                                                                                | A school crossing guard should be stationed at this intersection in the mornings and afternoons to help children cross. |
| Blanken Underpass Illumination                                                 | In addition to lighting, a railing should be installed along sidewalk to protect pedestrians.  
|                                                                                | Consider reassigning ROW so that the sidewalk can be wider (e.g. remove sidewalk from one side of the tunnel).  
|                                                                                | Underpass murals should be included as part of this project.  
|                                                                                | Given low cost, City should move forward as soon as possible with illumination and mural projects. |

For more information on this meeting or the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Development, please contact John M. Francis at john.francis@sfgov.org or 415-575-9147.