

# Market/Octavia Community Advisory Committee Community Improvements Program Final Recommendations for 2011

*Dec 15, 2010 adopted*

## I. Streetscape/Greening/ Public Realm

### 1. “Living Street” Improvements for select Alleys.

No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA and DPW to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

### 2. Street Tree Plantings for Key Streets.

No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA and DPW to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

## II. Open Space/ Parks

### 1. Improvements to Existing Parks.

#### **Park & Rec “Hubs”**

1a. Duboce Park Youth Play Area – capital project funding approx \$50-100k

1b. Hayward Park – add-on small projects, funding needs TBD (major park renovation to be included in next RPD bond, likely 2013)

#### **Neighborhood Parks**

1c. Set-aside from M/O Fund for Small Grants Program (\$50-\$100k grants)  
(potentially administer through Community Challenge Grant program)

### 2. Hayes Green Rotating Art Project.

3. **McCoppin Plaza Extension—Phase II.** Long term project, likely beyond 5 year Program recommendations period.

4. **Brady Park—new Open Space Soma West.** Long term project, likely beyond 5 year Program recommendations period.

## III. Transportation

### *Transit*

#### 1. Transit Preferential Street Improvements.

No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

#### 2. Church Street Improvements (portion of).

### 3. **Dedicated Transit Lanes.**

No specific projects for current recommendations. CAC will establish a coordination process with MTA to review potential projects prior to authorizing use of MO Fund resources.

#### ***Pedestrian***

##### 1. **Pedestrian Improvements for Priority Intersections.**

###### **Market Street intersections**

1. Market/16<sup>th</sup>/Noe
2. Market/Church/14<sup>th</sup>
3. Market/Guerrero/Laguna
4. Market/Franklin/Page
5. Market/VanNess (within VanNess/Market SUD)

###### **Other Plan Area intersections**

1. Mission/Duboce
2. S. VanNess/Mission (within VanNess/Market SUD)
3. Franklin/Grove
4. Filmore/Haight
5. Church/16<sup>th</sup>
6. Octavia/Oak
7. Gough/Fell
8. Franklin/Oak

##### 2. **Hayes Street two way Improvements.**

##### 3. **Widen Hayes Street Sidewalk.**

#### ***Bicycles***

##### 1. **Page Street Bicycle Boulevard.**

##### 2. **Market Street bicycle lanes between Octavia Boulevard and 17th/Castro Streets.**

##### 3. **Grove Street between Octavia Boulevard and Van Ness Avenue.**

##### 4. **Sharrows and signage on key streets.**

#### ***Other Transp***

##### 1. **Study further Central Freeway removal.**<sup>^</sup>

##### 2. **Parking Supply Survey and Program Recommendations.**<sup>^</sup>

### **IV. Recreation Facilities**

#### **Park & Rec “Hubs”**

- 1a. Duboce Park Youth Play Area – capital project funding approx \$50-100k

---

<sup>^</sup> These projects included as CAC priorities, but not intended for M/O Fund expenditures.

1b. Hayward Park – add-on small projects, funding needs TBD (major park renovation to be included in next RPD bond, likely 2013)

**Neighborhood Parks**

1c. Set-aside from M/O Fund for Small Grants Program (\$50-\$100k grants)  
(potentially administer through Community Challenge Grant program)

**V. Childcare Facilities**

No recommendations necessary – standardized expenditure category.

**VI. Library Materials**

No recommendations necessary – standardized expenditure category.

**VII. Local Economic Development**

M/O Fund nexus to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations.

**VIII. Historical/Educational/Cultural**

Placeholder category. M/O Fund nexus would need to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations

**IX. Other/ Community Generated Projects**

Placeholder category. M/O Fund nexus would need to be determined. To be reflected in subsequent annual Program recommendations

Final CAC recommendations include an evaluation of the overall Community Improvements Program:

<b>Overall Program Rating</b>	<b>5 high/0 low</b>
<b>Balance/variety of community improvements</b>	
Promotes mix of project/community improvement types	5
Promotes various scales of projects/community improvements	3
Promotes geographic mix of projects/community improvements in relation to development	4.5
Promotes blend of physical and programmatic projects/community improvements	2.5

**Note:**

The CAC has established a process for regularly refining and augmenting the list of potential community improvements projects and range of categories for consideration in annual Program expenditure recommendations.