



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**MEMO**

**DATE:** 31 May 2017  
**TO:** Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** John Rahaim  
Director of Planning  
**RE:** **HOUSING BALANCE REPORT No. 5 (revised)**  
**1 January 2007 – 31 December 2016**

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
**415.558.6378**

Fax:  
**415.558.6409**

Planning  
Information:  
**415.558.6377**

## SUMMARY

This report is submitted in compliance with Ordinance No. 53-15 requiring the Planning Department to monitor and report on the housing balance between new market rate and new affordable housing production. One of the stated purposes of the Housing Balance is “to ensure that data on meeting affordable housing targets Citywide and within neighborhoods informs the approval process for new housing development.” This report is the fifth in the series and covers the ten-year period from 1 January 2007 through 31 December 2016.

The “Housing Balance” is defined as the proportion of all new affordable housing units to the total number of all new housing units for a 10-year “Housing Balance Period.” In addition, a calculation of “Projected Housing Balance” which includes residential projects that have received approvals from the Planning Commission or Planning Department but have not yet received permits to commence construction will be included.

In the 2007-2016 Housing Balance Period, 23% of net new housing produced was affordable. Similarly, the expanded Citywide Cumulative Housing Balance is 23%, although this varies by districts. Distribution of the Cumulative Housing Balance over the 11 Board of Supervisor Districts ranges from -206% (District 4) to 74% (District 5). This variation, especially with negative housing balances, is due to the larger number of units permanently withdrawn from rent control protection relative to the number of total net new units and net affordable units built in those districts.

The Projected Housing Balance Citywide is 14%. Three major development projects were identified in the ordinance for exclusion in the projected housing balance calculations until site permits are obtained. Remaining phases for these three projects will add up to 22,000 net units including over 4,900 affordable units; this would increase the projected housing balance to 20% if included in the calculations.

## BACKGROUND

On 21 April 2015, the Board of Supervisors passed Ordinance No. 53-15 amending the *Planning Code* to include a new *Section 103* requiring the Planning Department to monitor and report on the Housing Balance between new market rate housing and new affordable housing production. The *Housing Balance Report* will be submitted bi-annually by April 1 and October 1 of each year and will also be published on a visible and accessible page on the Planning Department's website. *Planning Code Section 103* also requires an annual hearing at the Board of Supervisors on strategies for achieving and maintaining the required housing balance in accordance with the City's housing production goals. (See *Appendix A* for complete text of Ordinance No. 53-15.)

The stated purposes for the Housing Balance Monitoring and Reporting are: a) to maintain a balance between new affordable and market rate housing Citywide and within neighborhoods; b) to make housing available for all income levels and housing need types; c) to preserve the mixed-income character of the City and its neighborhoods; d) to offset the withdrawal of existing housing units from rent stabilization and the loss of single-room occupancy hotel units; e) to ensure the availability of land and encourage the deployment of resources to provide sufficient housing affordable to households of very low, low, and moderate incomes; f) to ensure adequate housing for families, seniors and the disabled communities; g) to ensure that data on meeting affordable housing targets Citywide and within neighborhoods informs the approval process for new housing development; and h) to enable public participation in determining the appropriate mix of new housing approvals.

Specifically, the *Housing Balance Report* will supplement tracking performance toward meeting the goals set by the City's *Housing Element* and Proposition K. Housing production targets in the City's *Housing Element*, adopted in April 2015, calls for 28,870 new units built between 2015 and 2022, 57%<sup>1</sup> of which should be affordable. As mandated by law, the City provides the State Department of Housing and Community Development an annual progress report.<sup>2</sup> In November 2014, San Francisco's voters endorsed Proposition K, which set a goal of 33% of all new housing units to be affordable. In addition, Mayor Ed Lee set a goal of creating 30,000 new and rehabilitated homes by 2020; he pledged at least 30% of these to be permanently affordable to low-income families as well as working, middle income families.<sup>3</sup>

This *Housing Balance Report* was prepared from data gathered from previously published sources including the Planning Department's annual *Housing Inventory* and quarterly *Pipeline Report* data, San Francisco Rent Board data, and the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development's *Weekly Dashboard*.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Ordinance inaccurately stated that "22% of new housing demands to be affordable to households of moderate means"; San Francisco's Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation for moderate income households is 19% of total production goals.

<sup>2</sup> Printed annual progress reports submitted by all California jurisdictions can be accessed here – <http://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-element/annual-progress-reports/index.php> -- or by calling HCD at 916-263-2911 for the latest reports as many jurisdictions now file reports online.

<sup>3</sup> For more information on and tracking of 30K by 2020, see <http://sfmayor.org/housing>.

## CUMULATIVE HOUSING BALANCE CALCULATION

*Planning Code Section 103* calls for the Housing Balance “be expressed as a percentage, obtained by dividing the cumulative total of extremely low, very low, low, and moderate income affordable housing (all units 0-120% AMI) minus the lost protected units, by the total number of net new housing units within the Housing Balance Period.” The ordinance requires that the “Cumulative Housing Balance” be provided using two calculations: a) one consisting of net housing built within a 10 year Housing Balance period, less units withdrawn from protected status, plus net units in projects that have received both approvals from the Planning Commission or Planning Department and site permits from the Department of Building Inspection, and b) the addition of net units gained through acquisition and rehabilitation of affordable units, HOPE SF and RAD units. “Protected units” include units that are subject to rent control under the City’s Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance. Additional elements that figure into the Housing Balance include completed HOPE SF and RAD public housing replacement, substantially rehabilitated units, and single-room occupancy hotel units (SROs). The equation below shows the second, expanded calculation of the Cumulative Housing Balance.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{[Net New Affordable Housing +} & \\ & \text{Completed Acquisitions \& Rehabs + Completed} & \\ & \text{HOPE SF + RAD Public Housing Replacement +} & \\ & \text{Entitled \& Permitted Affordable Units]} & \\ & - \text{[Units Removed from Protected Status]} & \\ \hline & & = \text{CUMULATIVE} \\ & & \text{HOUSING} \\ & & \text{BALANCE} \\ & \text{[Net New Housing Built + Net Entitled \& Permitted Units]} & \end{array}$$

The first “Housing Balance Period” is a ten-year period starting with the first quarter of 2005 through the last quarter of 2014. Subsequent housing balance reports will cover the 10 years preceding the most recent quarter. This report covers January 2007 (Q1) through December 2016 (Q4).

Table 1A below shows the Cumulative Housing Balance for 10 year 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4 period is 14% Citywide. With the addition of RAD units, the expanded Cumulative Housing Balance is 23%. In comparison, the expanded Cumulative Housing Balance for 10 year 2006 Q1 – 2015 Q4 period was 18%.

Negative balances in Districts 1 (-74%), 2 (-22%), 3 (-12%), 4 (-206%), 7 (-21%), 8 (-35%), 9 (-2%), and 11 (-67%) result from the larger numbers of units removed from protected status relative to the net new affordable housing and net new housing units built in those districts. Recently, the Board of Supervisors revised the ordinance to include Owner Move-Ins (OMIs) in the Housing Balance calculation. Although OMIs were not specifically called out by in the original Ordinance in the calculation of the Housing Balance, these were included in earlier reports because this type of no-fault eviction results in the loss of rent controlled units either permanently or for a period of time.

**Table 1A**  
**Cumulative Housing Balance Calculation, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

BoS Districts	Net New Affordable Housing Built	Acquisitions & Rehabs and Small Sites Completed	Units Removed from Protected Status	Total Entitled Affordable Units Permitted	Total Net New Units Built	Total Entitled Units	Housing Balance
BoS District 1	170	-	(496)	4	321	114	-74.0%
BoS District 2	37	24	(315)	11	833	271	-22.0%
BoS District 3	205	6	(372)	16	954	302	-11.5%
BoS District 4	10	-	(437)	7	106	98	-205.9%
BoS District 5	709	293	(398)	196	1,560	598	37.1%
BoS District 6	3,193	1,155	(135)	960	15,541	6,409	23.6%
BoS District 7	99	-	(220)	-	484	104	-20.6%
BoS District 8	97	17	(655)	17	1,099	416	-34.6%
BoS District 9	217	319	(582)	17	1,022	237	-2.3%
BoS District 10	1,066	24	(249)	274	3,607	2,034	19.8%
BoS District 11	27	-	(323)	9	131	297	-67.1%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>(4,182)</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>13.7%</b>

Table 1B below shows the Expanded Cumulative Housing Balances for Board of Supervisor Districts ranging from -206% (District 4) to 74% (District 5). These expanded balances include the preservation of at-risk public housing units under the Rental Assistance Demonstration Program.

**Table 1B**  
**Expanded Cumulative Housing Balance Calculation, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

BoS Districts	Net New Affordable Housing Built	Acquisitions & Rehabs and Small Sites Completed	RAD Program	Units Removed from Protected Status	Total Entitled Affordable Units Permitted	Total Net New Units Built	Total Entitled Units	Housing Balance
BoS District 1	170	-	144	(496)	4	321	114	-40.9%
BoS District 2	37	24	251	(315)	11	833	271	0.7%
BoS District 3	205	6	577	(372)	16	954	302	34.4%
BoS District 4	10	-	-	(437)	7	106	98	-205.9%
BoS District 5	709	293	806	(398)	196	1,560	598	74.4%
BoS District 6	3,193	1,155	561	(135)	960	15,541	6,409	26.1%
BoS District 7	99	-	110	(220)	-	484	104	-1.9%
BoS District 8	97	17	330	(655)	17	1,099	416	-12.8%
BoS District 9	217	319	268	(582)	17	1,022	237	19.0%
BoS District 10	1,066	24	436	(249)	274	3,607	2,034	27.5%
BoS District 11	27	-	-	(323)	9	131	297	-67.1%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>3,483</b>	<b>(4,182)</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>23.2%</b>

## PROJECTED HOUSING BALANCE

Table 2 below summarizes residential projects that have received entitlements from the Planning Commission or the Planning Department but have not yet received a site or building permit. Overall projected housing balance at the end of 2016 is 16%. This balance is expected to change as several major projects have yet to declare how their affordable housing requirements will be met. In addition, three entitled major development projects – Treasure Island, ParkMerced, and Hunters Point – are not included in the accounting until applications for building permits are filed or issued as specified in the ordinance. Remaining phases from these three projects will yield an additional 22,000 net new units; 22% (or 4,900 units) would be affordable to low and moderate income households.

The Projected Housing Balance does not account for affordable housing units that will be produced as a result of the Inclusionary Housing Fee paid in a given reporting cycle. Those affordable housing units are produced several years after the Fee is collected. Units produced through the Fee typically serve lower income households than do the inclusionary units, including special needs populations requiring services, such as seniors, transitional aged youth, families, and veterans.

**Table 2**  
**Projected Housing Balance Calculation, 2016 Q4**

BoS District	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate	TBD	Total Affordable Units	Net New Units	Total Affordable Units as % of Net New Units
BoS District 1	-	-	-	-	-	19	0.0%
BoS District 2	-	-	-	-	-	25	0.0%
BoS District 3	-	-	14	-	14	190	7.4%
BoS District 4	-	-	-	-	-	14	0.0%
BoS District 5	-	-	28	3	31	275	11.3%
BoS District 6	-	158	103	52	313	3,664	8.5%
BoS District 7	-	-	-	284	284	1,057	26.9%
BoS District 8	-	5	3	-	8	84	9.5%
BoS District 9	-	132	8	1	141	722	19.5%
BoS District 10	-	985	-	168	1,153	6,008	19.2%
BoS District 11	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.0%
<b>TOTALS</b>	-	<b>1,280</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>1,944</b>	<b>12,059</b>	<b>16.1%</b>

## CUMULATIVE HOUSING BALANCE ELEMENTS

Because the scope covered by the Housing Balance calculation is broad, each element – or group of elements – will be discussed separately. The body of this report will account for figures at the Board of Supervisor district level. The breakdown of each element using the Planning Department District geographies, as required by *Section 103*, is provided separately in an *Appendix B*. This is to ensure simple and uncluttered tables in the main body of the report.

## Affordable Housing and Net New Housing Production

Table 3 below shows housing production between 2007 Q1 and 2016 Q4. This ten-year period resulted in a net addition of almost 25,660 units to the City's housing stock, including almost 5,830 affordable units. A majority of net new housing units and affordable units built in the ten

year reporting period were in District 6 (15,540 and 3,190 respectively). District 10 follows with about 3,600 net new units, including about 1,070 affordable units.

The table below also shows that almost 23% of net new units built between 2007 Q1 and 2016 Q4 were affordable units, mostly in District 6(61%). While District 1 saw modest gains in net new units built, half of these were affordable (53%).

**Table 3**  
**New Housing Production by Affordability, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

BoS District	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Middle	Total Affordable Units	Total Net Units	Affordable Units as % of Total Net Units
BoS District 1	170	-	-	-	170	321	53.0%
BoS District 2	-	-	37	-	37	833	4.4%
BoS District 3	161	2	42	-	205	954	21.5%
BoS District 4	-	-	10	-	10	106	9.4%
BoS District 5	439	174	96	-	709	1,560	45.4%
BoS District 6	1,982	690	498	23	3,193	15,541	20.5%
BoS District 7	70	29	-	-	99	484	20.5%
BoS District 8	-	82	15	-	97	1,099	8.8%
BoS District 9	138	40	39	-	217	1,022	21.2%
BoS District 10	284	411	371	-	1,066	3,607	29.6%
BoS District 11	-	10	17	-	27	131	20.6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,244</b>	<b>1,438</b>	<b>1,125</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>22.7%</b>

It should be noted that units affordable to Extremely Very Low Income (EVLI) households are included under the Very Low Income (VLI) category because certain projects that benefit homeless individuals and families – groups considered as EVLI – have income eligibility caps at the VLI level.

## Acquisition and Rehabilitation of Affordable Housing Units

Table 4 below lists the number of units that have been rehabilitated and/or acquired between 2007 Q1 and 2016 Q4 to ensure permanent affordability. These are mostly single-room occupancy hotel units that are affordable to extremely very low and very low income households.

**Table 4a**  
**Acquisitions and Rehabilitation of Affordable Housing, 2007-2016**

<b>BoS District</b>	<b>No. of Buildings</b>	<b>No. of Units</b>
BoS District 2	1	24
BoS District 5	2	290
BoS District 6	13	1,127
BoS District 9	2	319
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1,760</b>

## Small Sites Program

The San Francisco Small Sites Program (SSP) is an initiative of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) to acquire small rent-controlled buildings (with four to 25 units) where tenants are at risk of eviction through the Ellis Act or owner move-ins. Since its inception in 2014, some 13 buildings with 78 units have been acquired.

**Table 4b**  
**Small Sites Program, 2014-2016**

<b>BoS District</b>	<b>No. of Buildings</b>	<b>No. of Units</b>
Bos District 3	1	6
BoS District 5	1	3
BoS District 6	3	28
BoS District 8	4	17
BoS District 9	4	24
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>78</b>

## **RAD Program**

The San Francisco Housing Authority's Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) program preserves at risk public and assisted housing projects. According to the Mayor's Office, RAD Phase I transferred 1,425 units to developers in December 2015. An additional 2,028 units were transferred as Phase II in 2016.

**Table 5**  
**RAD Affordable Units, 2016-2017**

<b>BoS District</b>	<b>No of Buildings</b>	<b>No of Units</b>
BoS District 1	2	144
BoS District 2	3	251
BoS District 3	4	577
BoS District 5	7	806
BoS District 6	4	561
BoS District 7	1	110
BoS District 8	4	330
BoS District 9	2	268
BoS District 10	2	436
BoS District 11	-	-
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3,483</b>

## **Units Removed From Protected Status**

San Francisco's Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance protects tenants and preserves affordability of about 175,000 rental units by limiting annual rent increases. Landlords can, however, terminate tenants' leases through no-fault evictions including condo conversion, owner move-in, Ellis Act, demolition, and other reasons that are not the tenants' fault. The Housing Balance calculation takes into account units permanently withdrawn from rent stabilization as loss of affordable housing. The following no-fault evictions affect the supply of rent controlled units by removing units from the rental market: condo conversion, demolition, Ellis Act, and owner move-ins (OMIs). It should be noted that initially, OMIs were not specifically called out by the Ordinance to be included in the calculation. However, because owner move-ins have the effect of the losing rent controlled units either permanently or for a substantial period of time, these numbers are included in the Housing Balance calculation as intended by the legislation's sponsors. Some of these OMI units may return to being rentals and will still fall under the rent control ordinance. On 14 November 2016, the Board of Supervisors amended Planning Code Section 103 to include OMIs as part of the housing balance calculation.

Table 6 below shows the distribution of no-fault eviction notices issued between January 2007 and December 2016. Eviction notices have been commonly used as proxy for evictions. Owner Move-In and Ellis Out notices made up the majority of no fault evictions (55% and 32% respectively). Distribution of these no-fault eviction notices is almost evenly dispersed, with Districts 8 and 9 leading (16% and 14%, respectively).

**Table 6**  
**Units Removed from Protected Status, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

<b>BoS District</b>	<b>Condo Conversion</b>	<b>Demolition</b>	<b>Ellis Out</b>	<b>Owner Move-In</b>	<b>Units Removed from Protected Status</b>
BoS District 1	3	26	160	307	496
BoS District 2	17	13	86	199	315
BoS District 3	6	10	238	118	372
BoS District 4	-	87	76	274	437
BoS District 5	17	21	125	235	398
BoS District 6	1	76	46	12	135
BoS District 7	-	31	37	152	220
BoS District 8	19	43	262	331	655
BoS District 9	4	61	209	308	582
BoS District 10	2	29	45	173	249
BoS District 11	-	81	44	198	323
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	478	1,328	2,307	4,182

## Entitled and Permitted Units

Table 7 lists the number of units that have received entitlements from the Planning Commission or the Planning Department. These pipeline projects have also received site permits from the Department of Building Inspection and most are under construction as of the final quarter of 2016. Over half of these units are being built in or will be built in District 6 (59%). Fourteen percent of units that have received Planning entitlements and site permits from the DBI will be affordable.

**Table 7**  
**Permitted Units, 2016 Q4**

BoS District	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate	TBD	Total Affordable Units	Net New Units	Total Affordable Units as % of Net New Units
BoS District 1	-	-	4	-	4	114	3.5%
BoS District 2	-	-	11	-	11	271	4.1%
BoS District 3	-	12	4	-	16	302	5.3%
BoS District 4	-	-	7	-	7	98	7.1%
BoS District 5	108	50	38	-	196	598	32.8%
BoS District 6	235	483	242	-	960	6,409	15.0%
BoS District 7	-	-		-	-	104	0.0%
BoS District 8	-	10		7	17	416	4.1%
BoS District 9	-	12	5	-	17	237	7.2%
BoS District 10	-	245	28	1	274	2,034	13.5%
BoS District 11	-	-	9	-	9	297	3.0%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>13.9%</b>

## PERIODIC REPORTING AND ONLINE ACCESS

This report complies with *Planning Code Section 103* requirement that the Planning Department publish and update the *Housing Balance Report* bi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year. *Housing Balance Reports* are available and accessible online, as mandated by the ordinance, by going to this link: <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=4222>.

## ANNUAL HEARING

An annual hearing on the Housing Balance before the Board of Supervisors will be scheduled by April 1 of each year. This year's Housing Balance Report will be scheduled to be heard before the Board of Supervisors before the end of June 2017. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development, the Rent Stabilization Board, the Department of Building Inspection, and the City Economist will present strategies for achieving and maintaining a housing balance consistent with the City's housing goals at this annual hearing. The ordinance also requires that MOHCD will determine the amount of funding needed to bring the City into the required minimum 33% should the cumulative housing balance fall below that threshold.

APPENDIX A  
Ordinance 53-15

	AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 4/6/15	ORDINANCE NO. 53-15
1	[Planning Code - City Housing Balance Monitoring and Reporting]	
2		
3	Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require the Planning Department to monitor	
4	the balance between new market rate housing and new affordable housing, and publish	
5	a bi-annual Housing Balance Report; requiring an annual hearing at the Board of	
6	Supervisors on strategies for achieving and maintaining the required housing balance	
7	in accordance with San Francisco's housing production goals; and making	
8	environmental findings, Planning Code, Section 302 findings, and findings of	
9	consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code,	
10	Section 101.1.	
11		
12	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.	
13	Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> .	
14	Deletions to Codes are in <del>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</del> .	
15	Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> .	
16	Board amendment deletions are in <del>strikethrough Arial font</del> .	
17	Asterisks ( * * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code	
18	subsections or parts of tables.	
19		
20	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:	
21		
22	Section 1. Findings.	
23	(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this	
24	ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources	
25	Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of	
	Supervisors in File No. 150029 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of	
	Supervisors affirms this determination.	
	(b) On March 19, 2015, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 19337, adopted	
	findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the	
	Supervisor Kim	
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	
		Page 1

1 adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the  
2 Board of Supervisors in File No. 150029, and is incorporated herein by reference.

3 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that this Planning Code  
4 Amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth  
5 in Planning Commission Resolution No. 150029 and the Board incorporates such reasons  
6 herein by reference.

7  
8 Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by adding new Section 103 to read  
9 as follows:

10 **SEC. 103. HOUSING BALANCE MONITORING AND REPORTING.**

11 **(a) Purposes.** *To maintain a balance between new affordable and market rate housing City-*  
12 *wide and within neighborhoods, to make housing available for all income levels and housing need*  
13 *types, to preserve the mixed income character of the City and its neighborhoods, to offset the*  
14 *withdrawal of existing housing units from rent stabilization and the loss of single-room-occupancy*  
15 *hotel units, to ensure the availability of land and encourage the deployment of resources to provide*  
16 *sufficient housing affordable to households of very low, low, and moderate incomes, to ensure adequate*  
17 *housing for families, seniors and the disabled community, to ensure that data on meeting affordable*  
18 *housing targets City-wide and within neighborhoods informs the approval process for new housing*  
19 *development, and to enable public participation in determining the appropriate mix of new housing*  
20 *approvals, there is hereby established a requirement, as detailed in this Section 103, to monitor and*  
21 *regularly report on the housing balance between market rate housing and affordable housing.*

22 **(b) Findings.**

23 *(1) In November 2014, the City voters enacted Proposition K, which established City*  
24 *policy to help construct or rehabilitate at least 30,000 homes by 2020. More than 50% of this housing*  
25 *would be affordable for middle-class households, with at least 33% affordable for low- and moderate-*

Supervisor Kim  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 2

1 income households, and the City is expected to develop strategies to achieve that goal. This section  
2 103 sets forth a method to track performance toward the City's Housing Element goals and the near-  
3 term Proposition K goal that 33% of all new housing shall be affordable housing, as defined herein.

4 (2) The City's rent stabilized and permanently affordable housing stock serves very low-,  
5 low-, and moderate-income families, long-time residents, elderly seniors, disabled persons and others.  
6 The City seeks to achieve and maintain an appropriate balance between market rate housing and  
7 affordable housing City-wide and within neighborhoods because the availability of decent housing and  
8 a suitable living environment for every San Franciscan is of vital importance. Attainment of the City's  
9 housing goals requires the cooperative participation of government and the private sector to expand  
10 housing opportunities to accommodate housing needs for San Franciscans at all economic levels and to  
11 respond to the unique needs of each neighborhood where housing will be located.

12 (3) For tenants in unsubsidized housing, affordability is often preserved by the  
13 Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance's limitations on the size of allowable rent  
14 increases during a tenancy. As documented in the Budget and Legislative Analyst's October 2013  
15 Policy Analysis Report on Tenant Displacement, San Francisco is experiencing a rise in units  
16 withdrawn from rent controls. Such rises often accompany periods of sharp increases in property  
17 values and housing prices. From 1998 through 2013, the Rent Board reported a total of 13,027 no-fault  
18 evictions (i.e., evictions in which the tenant had not violated any lease terms, but the owner sought to  
19 regain possession of the unit). Total evictions of all types have increased by 38.2% from Rent Board  
20 Year (i.e. from March through February) 2010 to Rent Board Year 2013. During the same period, Ellis  
21 Act evictions far outpaced other evictions, increasing by 169.8% from 43 in Rent Board Year 2010 to  
22 116 in Rent Board Year 2013. These numbers do not capture the large number of owner buyouts of  
23 tenants, which contribute further to the loss of rent-stabilized units from the housing market. Any fair  
24 assessment of the affordable housing balance must incorporate into the calculation units withdrawn  
25 from rent stabilization.

Supervisor Kim  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 3

1                   (4) Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584, the Association of Bay Area  
2                   Governments (ABAG), in coordination with the California State Department of Housing and  
3                   Community Development (HCD), determines the Bay Area's regional housing need based on regional  
4                   trends, projected job growth, and existing needs. The regional housing needs assessment (RHNA)  
5                   determination includes production targets addressing housing needs of a range of household income  
6                   categories. For the RHNA period covering 2015 through 2022, ABAG has projected that at least 38%  
7                   of new housing demands for San Francisco will be from very low and low income households  
8                   (households earning under 80% of area median income), and another 22% of new housing demands to  
9                   be affordable to households of moderate means (earning between 80% and 120% of area median  
10                  income). Market-rate housing is considered housing with no income limits or special requirements  
11                  attached.

12                  (5) The Housing Element of the City's General Plan states: "Based on the growing  
13                  population, and smart growth goals of providing housing in central areas like San Francisco, near jobs  
14                  and transit, the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), with the  
15                  Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), estimates that in the current 2015-2022 Housing  
16                  Element period San Francisco must plan for the capacity for roughly 28,870 new units, 57% of which  
17                  should be suitable for housing for the extremely low, very low, low and moderate income households to  
18                  meet its share of the region's projected housing demand." Objective 1 of the Housing Element states  
19                  that the City should "identify and make available for development adequate sites to meet the City's  
20                  housing needs, especially permanently affordable housing." Objective 7 states that San Francisco's  
21                  projected affordable housing needs far outpace the capacity for the City to secure subsidies for new  
22                  affordable units.

23                  (6) In 2012, the City enacted Ordinance 237-12, the "Housing Preservation and  
24                  Production Ordinance," codified in Administrative Code Chapter 10E.4, to require Planning  
25                  Department staff to regularly report data on progress toward meeting San Francisco's quantified

1 production goals for different household income levels as provided in the General Plan's Housing  
2 Element. That Ordinance requires data on the number of units in all stages of the housing production  
3 process at various affordability levels to be included in staff reports on all proposed projects of five  
4 residential units or more and in quarterly housing production reports to the Planning Commission. The  
5 Planning Department has long tracked the number of affordable housing units and total number of  
6 housing units built throughout the City and in specific areas and should be able to track the ratio called  
7 for in this Section 103.

8 (7) As the private market has embarked upon, and government officials have urged, an  
9 ambitious program to produce significant amounts of new housing in the City, the limited remaining  
10 available land makes it essential to assess the impact of the approval of new market rate housing  
11 developments on the availability of land for affordable housing and to encourage the deployment of  
12 resources to provide such housing.

13 **(c) Housing Balance Calculation.**

14 (1) For purposes of this Section 103, "Housing Balance" shall be defined as the  
15 proportion of all new housing units affordable to households of extremely low, very low, low or  
16 moderate income households, as defined in California Health & Safety Code Sections 50079.5 et seq.,  
17 as such provisions may be amended from time to time, to the total number of all new housing units for a  
18 10 year Housing Balance Period.

19 (2) The Housing Balance Period shall begin with the first quarter of year 2005 to the  
20 last quarter of 2014, and thereafter for the ten years prior to the most recent calendar quarter.

21 (3) For each year that data is available, beginning in 2005, the Planning Department  
22 shall report net housing construction by income levels, as well as units that have been withdrawn from  
23 protection afforded by City law, such as laws providing for rent-controlled and single resident  
24 occupancy (SRO) units. The affordable housing categories shall include net new units, as well as  
25 existing units that were previously not restricted by deed or regulatory agreement that are acquired for

1 preservation as permanently affordable housing as determined by the Mayor's Office of Housing and  
2 Community Development (MOHCD) (not including refinancing or other rehabilitation under existing  
3 ownership), protected by deed or regulatory agreement for a minimum of 55 years. The report shall  
4 include, by year, and for the latest quarter, all units that have received Temporary Certificates of  
5 Occupancy within that year, a separate category for units that obtained a site or building permit, and  
6 another category for units that have received approval from the Planning Commission or Planning  
7 Department, but have not yet obtained a site or building permit to commence construction (except any  
8 entitlements that have expired and not been renewed during the Housing Balance Period). Master  
9 planned entitlements, including but not limited to such areas as Treasure Island, Hunters Point  
10 Shipyard and Park Merced, shall not be included in this latter category until individual building  
11 entitlements or site permits are approved for specific housing projects. For each year or approval  
12 status, the following categories shall be separately reported:

13 (A) Extremely Low Income Units, which are units available to individuals or  
14 families making between 0-30% Area Median Income (AMI) as defined in California Health & Safety  
15 Code Section 50106, and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 0-30% AMI;

16 (B) Very Low Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families  
17 making between 30-50% AMI as defined in California Health & Safety Code Section 50105, and are  
18 subject to price or rent restrictions between 30-50% AMI;

19 (C) Lower Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families  
20 making between 50-80% AMI as defined in California Health & Safety Code Section 50079.5, and are  
21 subject to price or rent restrictions between 50-80% AMI;

22 (D) Moderate Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families  
23 making between 80-120% AMI, and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 80-120% AMI;

24 (E) Middle Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families  
25 making between 120-150% AMI, and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 120-150% AMI;

1 (F) Market-rate units, which are units not subject to any deed or regulatory  
2 agreement with price restrictions;

3 (G) Housing units withdrawn from protected status, including units withdrawn  
4 from rent control (except those units otherwise converted into permanently affordable housing),  
5 including all units that have been subject to rent control under the San Francisco Residential Rent  
6 Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance but that a property owner removes permanently from the  
7 rental market through condominium conversion pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(9),  
8 demolition or alterations (including dwelling unit mergers), or permanent removal pursuant to  
9 Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(10) or removal pursuant to the Ellis Act under Administrative  
10 Code Section 37.9(a)(13);

11 (H) Public housing replacement units and substantially rehabilitated units  
12 through the HOPE SF and Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) programs, as well as other  
13 substantial rehabilitation programs managed by MOHCD.

14 (4) The Housing Balance shall be expressed as a percentage, obtained by dividing the  
15 cumulative total of extremely low, very low, low and moderate income affordable housing units (all  
16 units 0-120% AMI) minus the lost protected units, by the total number of net new housing units within  
17 the Housing Balance Period. The Housing Balance shall also provide two calculations:

18 (A) the Cumulative Housing Balance, consisting of housing units that have  
19 already been constructed (and received a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or other certificate that  
20 would allow occupancy of the units) within the 10-year Housing Balance Period, plus those units that  
21 have obtained a site or building permit. A separate calculation of the Cumulative Housing Balance  
22 shall also be provided, which includes HOPE SF and RAD public housing replacement and  
23 substantially rehabilitated units (but not including general rehabilitation / maintenance of public  
24 housing or other affordable housing units) that have received Temporary Certificates of Occupancy  
25

1 within the Housing Balance Period. The Housing Balance Reports will show the Cumulative Housing  
2 Balance with and without public housing included in the calculation; and

3 (B) the Projected Housing Balance, which shall include any residential project  
4 that has received approval from the Planning Commission or Planning Department, even if the  
5 housing project has not yet obtained a site or building permit to commence construction (except any  
6 entitlements that have expired and not been renewed during the Housing Balance period). Master  
7 planned entitlements shall not be included in the calculation until individual building entitlements or  
8 site permits are approved.

9 (d) **Bi-annual Housing Balance Reports.** Within 30 days of the effective date of this  
10 Section 103 By June 1, 2015, the Planning Department shall calculate the Cumulative and Projected  
11 Housing Balance for the most recent two quarters City-wide, by Supervisorial District, Plan Area, and  
12 by neighborhood Planning Districts, as defined in the annual Housing Inventory, and publish it as an  
13 easily visible and accessible page devoted to Housing Balance and Monitoring and Reporting on the  
14 Planning Department's website. By ~~August~~ September 1st and ~~February~~ March 1st of each year, the  
15 Planning Department shall publish and update the Housing Balance Report, and present this report at  
16 an informational hearing to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors, as well as to any  
17 relevant body with geographic purview over a plan area upon request, along with the other quarterly  
18 reporting requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 10E.4. The annual report to the Board of  
19 Supervisors shall be accepted by resolution of the Board, which resolution shall be introduced  
20 by the Planning Department. The Housing Balance Report shall also be incorporated into the  
21 Annual Planning Commission Housing Hearing and Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors  
22 required in Administrative Code Chapter 10E.4.

23 (e) **Annual Hearing by Board of Supervisors.**

24 (1) The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public Housing Balance hearing on an annual  
25 basis by April 1 of each year, to consider progress towards the City's affordable housing goals.

Supervisor Kim  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 8

1 including the goal of a minimum 33% affordable housing to low and moderate income households, as  
2 well as the City's General Plan Housing Element housing production goals by income category. The  
3 first hearing shall occur no later than 30 days after the effective date of this ordinance, and by April 1  
4 of each year thereafter.

5 (2) The hearing shall include reporting by the Planning Department, which shall present  
6 the latest Housing Balance Report City-wide and by Supervisorial District and Planning District; the  
7 Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the Mayor's Office of Economic and  
8 Workforce Development, the Rent Stabilization Board, by the Department of Building Inspection, and  
9 the City Economist on strategies for achieving and maintaining a housing balance in accordance with  
10 San Francisco's housing production goals. If the Cumulative Housing Balance has fallen below 33% in  
11 any year, MOHCD shall determine how much funding is required to bring the City into a minimum  
12 33% Housing Balance and the Mayor shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a strategy to accomplish  
13 the minimum of 33% Housing Balance. City Departments shall at minimum report on the following  
14 issues relevant to the annual Housing Balance hearing: MOHCD shall report on the annual and  
15 projected progress by income category in accordance with the City's General Plan Housing Element  
16 housing production goals, projected shortfalls and gaps in funding and site control, and progress  
17 toward the City's Neighborhood Stabilization goals for acquiring and preserving the affordability of  
18 existing rental units in neighborhoods with high concentrations of low and moderate income  
19 households or historically high levels of evictions; the Planning Department shall report on current  
20 and proposed zoning and land use policies that affect the City's General Plan Housing Element  
21 housing production goals; the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development shall report on  
22 current and proposed major development projects, dedicated public sites, and policies that affect the  
23  
24  
25

Supervisor Kim  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 9

1 City's General Plan Housing Element housing production goals; the Rent Board shall report on the  
2 withdrawal or addition of rent-controlled units and current or proposed policies that affect these  
3 numbers; the Department of Building Inspection shall report on the withdrawal or addition of  
4 Residential Hotel units and current or proposed policies that affect these numbers; and the City  
5 Economist shall report on annual and projected job growth by the income categories specified in the  
6 City's General Plan Housing Element.

7 (3) All reports and presentation materials from the annual Housing Balance hearing  
8 shall be maintained by year for public access on the Planning Department's website on its page  
9 devoted to Housing Balance Monitoring and Reporting.

10  
11 Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after  
12 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the  
13 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board  
14 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

15  
16 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
17 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

18 By:   
19 MARLENA BYRNE  
Deputy City Attorney

20 n:\egana\as2015\1500366\01006068.doc  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Supervisor Kim  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 10



City and County of San Francisco  
Tails  
Ordinance

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 150029

Date Passed: April 21, 2015

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require the Planning Department to monitor the balance between new market rate housing and new affordable housing, and publish a bi-annual Housing Balance Report; requiring an annual hearing at the Board of Supervisors on strategies for achieving and maintaining the required housing balance in accordance with San Francisco's housing production goals; and making environmental findings, Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

April 06, 2015 Land Use and Transportation Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING SAME TITLE

April 06, 2015 Land Use and Transportation Committee - RECOMMENDED AS AMENDED

April 14, 2015 Board of Supervisors - PASSED, ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

April 21, 2015 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Christensen, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 150029

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 4/21/2015 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

  
Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board

  
Mayor

4/30/2015  
Date Approved

## APPENDIX B

### CUMULATIVE HOUSING BALANCE REPORT No 5 TABLES BY PLANNING DISTRICTS

**Table 1A**

**Cumulative Housing Balance Calculation, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

Planning Districts	New Affordable Housing Built	Acquisitions & Rehabs and Small Sites Completed	Units Removed from Protected Status	Total Entitled Affordable Units Permitted	Total Net New Units Built	Total Entitled Permitted Units	Cumulative Housing Balance
1 Richmond	170		(569)	54	494	175	-51.6%
2 Marina	2	24	(180)	2	244	160	-37.6%
3 Northeast	191	6	(384)	12	756	271	-17.0%
4 Downtown	1,645	851	(119)	304	5,290	2,124	36.2%
5 Western Addition	621	293	(207)	142	1,626	448	40.9%
6 Buena Vista	190	5	(239)	30	901	437	-1.0%
7 Central	18		(384)	-	336	51	-94.6%
8 Mission	345	347	(540)	16	1,496	469	8.5%
9 South of Market	1,844	304	(125)	933	12,113	5,871	16.4%
10 South Bayshore	668		(76)	1	1,559	322	31.5%
11 Bernal Heights	-	8	(184)	-	65	20	-207.1%
12 South Central	10		(375)	10	110	307	-85.1%
13 Ingleside	116		(179)	-	475	93	-11.1%
14 Inner Sunset	-		(189)	-	93	36	-146.5%
15 Outer Sunset	10		(432)	7	100	96	-211.7%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>(4,182)</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>13.7%</b>

**Table 1B****Expanded Cumulative Housing Balance Calculation, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

Planning Districts	New Affordable Housing Built	Acquisitions & Rehabs and Small Sites Completed	RAD Program & HopeSF Replacement Units	Units Removed from Protected Status	Total Entitled Affordable Units Permitted	Total Net New Units Built	Total Entitled Permitted Units	Expanded Cumulative Housing Balance
1 Richmond	170	-	144	(569)	54	494	175	-30.0%
2 Marina	2	24	138	(180)	2	244	160	-3.5%
3 Northeast	191	6	577	(384)	12	756	271	39.1%
4 Downtown	1,645	851	285	(119)	304	5,290	2,124	40.0%
5 Western Addition	621	293	919	(207)	142	1,626	448	85.2%
6 Buena Vista	190	5	132	(239)	30	901	437	8.8%
7 Central	18	-	107	(384)	-	336	51	-66.9%
8 Mission	345	347	91	(540)	16	1,496	469	13.2%
9 South of Market	1,844	304	276	(125)	933	12,113	5,871	18.0%
10 South Bayshore	668	-	436	(76)	1	1,559	322	54.7%
11 Bernal Heights	-	8	268	(184)	-	65	20	108.2%
12 South Central	10	-	-	(375)	10	110	307	-85.1%
13 Ingleside	116	-	-	(179)	-	475	93	-11.1%
14 Inner Sunset	-	-	110	(189)	-	93	36	-61.2%
15 Outer Sunset	10	-	-	(432)	7	100	96	-211.7%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>1,838</b>	<b>3,483</b>	<b>(4,182)</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>23.2%</b>

**Table 2**  
**Projected Housing Balance Calculation, 2016 Q4**

BoS District	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate	TBD	Total Affordable Units	Net New Units	Total Affordable Units as % of Net New Units
1 Richmond	-	-	-	-	-	19	0.0%
2 Marina	-	-	-	-	-	20	0.0%
3 Northeast	-	-	8	-	8	143	5.6%
4 Downtown	-	-	96	-	96	2,024	4.7%
5 Western Addition	-	65	11	3	79	133	59.4%
6 Buena Vista	-	-	20	-	20	172	11.6%
7 Central	-	-	-	-	-	48	0.0%
8 Mission	-	5	8	18	31	1,304	2.4%
9 South of Market	-	154	13	34	201	3,173	6.3%
10 South Bayshore	-	141		168	309	3,032	10.2%
11 Bernal Heights	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.0%
12 South Central	-	-	-	1	1	916	0.1%
13 Ingleside	-	915	-	284	1,199	1,021	117.4%
14 Inner Sunset	-	-	-	-	-	36	0.0%
15 Outer Sunset	-	-	-	-	-	14	0.0%
<b>TOTALS</b>	-	<b>1,280</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>1,944</b>	<b>12,059</b>	<b>16.1%</b>

**Table 3**  
**New Housing Production by Affordability, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

Planning Districts	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Middle Income	Total Affordable Units	Total Net Units	Affordable Units as % of Total Net Units
1 Richmond	170	-	-	-	170	494	34.4%
2 Marina	-	-	2	-	2	244	0.8%
3 Northeast	161	2	28	-	191	756	25.3%
4 Downtown	1,048	301	273	23	1,645	5,290	31.1%
5 Western Addition	367	174	80	-	621	1,626	38.2%
6 Buena Vista	72	64	54	-	190	901	21.1%
7 Central	-	18	-	-	18	336	5.4%
8 Mission	214	62	69	-	345	1,496	23.1%
9 South of Market	858	537	449	-	1,844	12,113	15.2%
10 South Bayshore	284	241	143	-	668	1,559	42.8%
11 Bernal Heights	-	-	-	-	-	65	0.0%
12 South Central	-	10	-	-	10	110	9.1%
13 Ingleside	70	29	17	-	116	475	24.4%
14 Inner Sunset	-	-	-	-	-	93	0.0%
15 Outer Sunset	-	-	10	-	10	100	10.0%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>3,244</b>	<b>1,438</b>	<b>1,125</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5,830</b>	<b>25,658</b>	<b>22.7%</b>

**Table 4a**  
**Acquisitions and Rehabilitation of**  
**Affordable Housing, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

<b>Planning District</b>	<b>No. of Buildings</b>	<b>No. of Units</b>
2 Marina	1	24
4 Downtown	6	826
5 Western Addition	2	290
8 Mission	2	319
9 South of Market	7	301
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1,760</b>

**Table 4b**  
**Small Sites Program Acquisitions – 2015 - 2016**

<b>Planning District</b>	<b>No. of Buildings</b>	<b>No. of Units</b>
3 Northeast	1	6
4 Downtown	2	25
5 Western Addition	1	3
6 Buena Vista	1	5
8 Mission	5	28
9 South of Market	1	3
11 Bernal Heights	2	8
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>78</b>

**Table 5**  
**RAD Affordable Units**

<b>Planning District</b>	<b>No of Buildings</b>	<b>No of Units</b>
1 Richmond	2	144
2 Marina	2	138
3 Northeast	4	577
4 Downtown	3	285
5 Western Addition	8	919
6 Buena Vista	2	132
7 Central	1	107
8 Mission	1	91
9 South of Market	1	276
10 South Bayshore	2	436
11 Bernal Heights	2	268
12 South Central	-	-
13 Ingleside	-	-
14 Inner Sunset	1	110
15 Outer Sunset	-	-
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3,483</b>

**Table 6**  
**Units Removed from Protected Status, 2007 Q1 – 2016 Q4**

<b>Planning District</b>	<b>Condo Conversion</b>	<b>Demolition</b>	<b>Ellis Out</b>	<b>Owner Move-In</b>	<b>Total Units Permanently Lost</b>
1 Richmond	4	31	193	341	569
2 Marina	11	5	35	129	180
3 Northeast	11	11	232	130	384
4 Downtown	-	68	47	4	119
5 Western Addition	7	10	63	127	207
6 Buena Vista	4	11	94	130	239
7 Central	17	23	132	212	384
8 Mission	2	33	258	247	540
9 South of Market	3	20	35	67	125
10 South Bayshore	-	13	8	55	76
11 Bernal Heights	4	28	45	107	184
12 South Central	-	83	39	253	375
13 Ingleside	-	40	21	118	179
14 Inner Sunset	6	15	54	114	189
15 Outer Sunset	-	87	72	273	432
<b>Totals</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>2,307</b>	<b>4,182</b>

**Table 7**  
**Entitled and Permitted Units, 2017 Q4**

Planning District	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate	TBD	Total Affordable Units	Net New Units	Total Affordable Units as % of Net New Units
1 Richmond	-	50	4	-	54	175	30.9%
2 Marina	-	-	2	-	2	160	1.3%
3 Northeast	-	12	-	-	12	271	4.4%
4 Downtown	83	207	14	-	304	2,124	14.3%
5 Western Addition	108	-	34	-	142	448	31.7%
6 Buena Vista	-	10	13	7	30	437	6.9%
7 Central	-	-	-	-	-	51	0.0%
8 Mission	-	12	4	-	16	469	3.4%
9 South of Market	152	521	260	-	933	5,871	15.9%
10 South Bayshore	-	-	-	1	1	322	0.3%
11 Bernal Heights	-	-	-	-	-	20	0.0%
12 South Central	-	-	10	-	10	307	3.3%
13 Ingleside	-	-	-	-	-	93	0.0%
14 Inner Sunset	-	-	-	-	-	36	0.0%
15 Outer Sunset	-	-	7	-	7	96	7.3%
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1,511</b>	<b>10,880</b>	<b>13.9%</b>