



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

- Inclusionary Housing (Sec. 315)
- Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 313)
- Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 139)
- First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
- Child Care Requirement (Sec. 314)
- Other

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Planning Commission Motion No. 18124

HEARING DATE: JULY 1, 2010

Date: June 24, 2010
Case No.: **2009.1002CP**
Project Address: **100 Armory Drive**
Current Zoning: P (Public Use) District
 OS (Open Space) Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 7281/004
Project Sponsor: Tom Swarner on the behalf of T-Mobile
 2000 2615 Camino Lenada
 Oakland, CA 94611
Staff Contact: Adrian C. Putra – (415) 575-9079
 adrian.putra@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 234.2(a) AND 303 TO ESTABLISH A NEW WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES (WTS) FACILITY CONSISTING SIX PANEL ANTENNAS MOUNTED ON A 53-FEET TALL MONOPOLE WITH RELATED EQUIPMENT CABINETS LOCATED AT GRADE, AS PART OF THE T-MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK IN A P (PUBLIC USE) ZONING DISTRICT, COASTAL ZONE PERMIT AREA, AND A OS (OPEN SPACE) HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On October 22, 2009, Tom Swarner on the behalf of T-Mobile (hereinafter "project sponsor"), made an application (hereinafter "application"), for Conditional Use authorization on the property at **100 Armory Drive, Lot 004 in Assessor's Block 7281**, (hereinafter "project site") to establish a new wireless telecommunications services (WTS) facility consisting of six panel antennas mounted on a 53-foot tall monopole and related equipment cabinets located at grade, as part of the T-Mobile wireless telecommunications network in a P (Public Use) Zoning District, Local Coastal Zone Permit Area and a OS (Open Space) Height and Bulk District, in general conformity with plans filed with the Application and labeled "EXHIBIT B" (hereinafter "Project").

The San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") determined the application to be categorically exempt from the environmental review process (CEQA) pursuant to exemption Classes 1, 3 and 11 of Title 14 of the California Administrative Code.

On July 1, 2010, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Application No. 2009.1002CP.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use requested in Application No. 2009.1002CP, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
2. **Site Description and Present Use.** The project site is located at the end of Armory Drive which is a private street located west of Skyline Boulevard between Sloat Boulevard and Great Highway, and south of the San Francisco Zoo. The project site is occupied by the National Guard and contains three buildings, and three WTS facilities (Verizon Wireless, AT&T, and Sprint-Nextel) that each have their antennas mounted onto their own individual monopole. The site is located on a heavily wooded hill above Skyline Boulevard.
3. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood.** There are no residential uses within a 500-foot radius of the site. Lake Merced and Harding Park Golf Course are located east of the site, the San Francisco Zoo is located north of the site, and City and State owned property is located west and south of the site. Heavily wooded open space and wide arterial streets characterize the area.
4. **Proposal.** The proposal is to establish a new Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) facility operated by T-Mobile and consisting of six panel antennas mounted on a 53' tall monopole with related equipment cabinets located at grade, and within an approximately 19'-6" by 31' enclosed lease area. The new antennas would have a maximum height of approximately 45'-0" above grade. Each antenna measures approximately 3'-9" long by 1'-0" wide by 8" inches thick. The six proposed panel antennas to be installed by T-Mobile will be mounted to an existing monopole that contains Sprint-Nextel antennas and is located at the south property line and behind the main armory building situate at the center of the site. The Project includes a local coastal zone permit.

5. **Past History and Actions.** The Planning Commission held a duly advertised public hearing on August 15, 1996 to consider adoption of guidelines for the siting of WTS facilities in the City which would include standard conditions of approval for wireless communications facilities which are regulated by the FCC and required to meet the health and safety standards.

The Planning Commission, by Resolution No. 14182, adopted the proposed WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines on August 15, 1996. The sample conditions of approval presented in the Guidelines form the basis for the development of conditions of approval for this Application and Motion.

On June 13, 1996, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use pursuant to Planning Code Sections 234.2(a) to install a wireless transmission facility consisting of 12 antennas attached to a 40 foot tall monopole and a base transceiver station on the grounds of the California National Guard Armory at 100 Armory Drive, as part of the Cellular One (now "AT&T") telecommunications network.

On March 20, 1997, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use pursuant to Planning Code Sections 234.2(a) to install a wireless transmission facility consisting of three (3) antennas attached on the top of a proposed 50 foot tall monopole and a base transceiver station in a fenced area at the base of the monopole on the grounds of the California National Guard Armory at 100 Armory Drive, as part of the Sprint-Nextel telecommunications network.

On March 20, 1997, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use pursuant to Planning Code Sections 234.2(a) to install a wireless transmission facility consisting of three (3) antennas attached on the top of a proposed 50 foot tall monopole and a base transceiver station in a fenced area at the base of the monopole on the grounds of the California National Guard Armory at 100 Armory Drive, as part of the Sprint-Nextel telecommunications network.

6. **P (Public Use) Districts – Conditional Use Required.** In addition to the use districts otherwise established by this Code, there shall also be in the City a Public Use District herein referred to as a "P District," to apply to land that is owned by a governmental agency and in some form of public use, including open space. The purpose of designating such land as a P District on the Zoning Map is to relate the Zoning Map to actual land use and to the Master Plan with respect to such land. Any lot in a P District may be occupied by a principal use listed in Section 234.1, or by a conditional use listed in Section 234.2, subject to applicable regulations of this Code including the limitations of Section 290 for OS (Open Space) Districts; provided, however, that on any lot in a P District, which lot is within ¼ mile of the nearest NC-1 or Individual Area Neighborhood Commercial District as described in Article 7 of this Code, no accessory nonpublic use shall be permitted, unless such use or feature complies with the controls which are applicable in any NC-1 or Individual Area Neighborhood Commercial District or Restricted Use Subdistrict located within ¼ mile of the lot, excluding the provisions of zoning category .83, as defined in Section 790.80 of Article 7. Per section 234.2(a) of the Planning Code, a wireless telecommunications facility is permitted as a conditional use.

7. **Location Preference.** The WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines identify different types of buildings for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities, with Location Preference 1 being the most desirable location and Location Preference 7 being the least desirable location. Under the Guidelines, the Project is a Location Preference Number 1, as it is a preferred location for a publicly used structure (USA National Guard facility).
8. **Radio Waves Range.** According to the Project Sponsor, the proposed wireless network will transmit and receive calls by radio waves operating in the 1879– 1895 and 1959 – 1975 Megahertz (MHz) bands, which are regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and which must comply with the FCC adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
9. **Radiofrequency (RF) Emissions:** The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, Inc., a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. The Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines. Once the installation is constructed and operational, a second report documenting the actual RF emissions will be prepared and evaluated for compliance.
10. **Department of Public Health Review and Approval.** There are currently three existing wireless telecommunications facilities located at this site. The existing RF levels for a person anywhere at the ground level of the site were less than 2% of the most restrictive public exposure limit. T-Mobile proposes to install six RFS APX16DWV-16DWV-16DWV-S-E-A20 antennas. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed Verizon transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.0027 mW/square centimeter, which is .27% of the FCC public exposure limit. The ambient RF levels are therefore expected to remain below 1% of the FCC exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit is expected to extend 11 feet and does not reach any publicly accessible areas. Warning signs must be placed at the antenna in English, Spanish, and Chinese. Workers should not have access within three feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation.
11. **Maintenance Schedule.** According to the Project Sponsor, routine maintenance of the WTS facility will occur once or twice a month to insure quality optimization. The facility's associated equipment cabinets contain 48-hr built-in battery back up for temporary emergency power in the case of extreme emergency.
12. **Community Outreach.** A Community Outreach Meeting was conducted for the proposed project. The meeting was held at 7:00 PM on Wednesday, February 17, 2010, at the Armory Facility Lower Classroom, 100 Armory Road, San Francisco, CA 94117. According to the Project Sponsor no neighbors attended the meeting.
13. **Five-year plan:** T-Mobile submitted its latest five-year plan, as required, on April, 2010.

14. **Public Comment.** The Department has not received public comment since the filing of the application as of the date of this motion.
15. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the amendments to Planning Code in the following manner:
- A. **Use.** A WTS facility is considered a public utility under Planning Code Section 209.6(b), which requires Conditional Use authorization in the P District under Planning Code Section 234.2(a).
- B. **Height.** Per Planning Code Section 260(b)2(I), radio antennae for transmission, reception, or relay of radio, television or other electronic signals, where permitted as principal or conditional uses are exempt from height limits.
16. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
- A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The proposed project will be generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be of such size and nature to be compatible with the public use nature the vicinity and immediate area. The approval of this authorization has been found, first and foremost, to insure public safety, and insure that the placement of antennas and related support and protection features are so located, designed, and treated architecturally to minimize their visibility from public places, to avoid intrusion into public vistas, avoid disruption of the architectural design integrity of building and insure harmony with neighborhood character. The proposed project will also provide necessary facilities for emergency transmission and improved communication for the neighborhood, community and the region.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:

- i Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The proposed project must comply with all applicable Federal and State regulations to safeguard the health, safety and to ensure that persons residing or working in the vicinity will not be affected, and prevent harm to other personal property.

An evaluation of potential health effects from RF radiation, conducted by the Department of Public Health, has concluded that the proposed wireless transmission facilities will have no adverse health effects if operated in compliance with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards. The Department has received information that the proposed wireless system must be operated so as not to interfere with radio or television reception in order to comply with the provisions of its license under the FCC.

The Department is developing a database of all such wireless communications facilities operating or proposed for operation in the City and County of San Francisco. All applicants are now required to submit information on the location and nature of all existing and approved wireless transmission facilities operated by the Project Sponsor. The goal of this effort is to foster public information as to the location of these facilities.

- ii The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

No significant increase in traffic volume is anticipated with the establishment of the proposed WTS facility. According to the Project Sponsor the WTS facility would operate 24 hours per day, seven days per week as an unmanned facility, which will only require access by company representatives no more than twice a month for maintenance.

- iii The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, once the facility is built, impacts with regards to the above will likely be insignificant.

- iv Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The subject site has ample landscaping and open space. The installation of antennas on an existing monopole will not affect the existing landscaping.

- C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

- 17. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1:

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1:

Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The project would enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

Policy 3:

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The site is an integral part of a wireless communications network that will enhance the City's diverse economic base.

OBJECTIVE 4:

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 1:

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

Policy 2:

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The project would benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

OBJECTIVE 8:

ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

Policy 8.3:

Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project will ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of T-Mobile mobile telecommunications.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 3:

ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF LIFE AND PROPERTY FROM THE EFFECTS OF FIRE OR NATURAL DISASTER THROUGH ADEQUATE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PREPARATION.

Policy 1:

Maintain a local agency for the provision of emergency services to meet the needs of San Francisco.

Policy 2:

Develop and maintain viable, up-to-date in-house emergency operations plans, with necessary equipment, for operational capability of all emergency service agencies and departments.

Policy 3:

Maintain and expand agreements for emergency assistance from other jurisdictions to ensure adequate aid in time of need.

Policy 4:

Establish and maintain an adequate Emergency Operations Center.

Policy 5:

Maintain and expand the city's fire prevention and fire-fighting capability.

Policy 6:

Establish a system of emergency access routes for both emergency operations and evacuation.

In the event that traditional land line telephones are rendered inoperable during a natural disaster the project would enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by improving wireless communication services.

18. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:

- A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

No neighborhood-serving retail use would be displaced and the wireless communications network will enhance personal communication services.

- B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No residential uses would be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this authorization.

- C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

The project would have no adverse impact on housing in the vicinity.

- D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project will not adversely impact public transit or place a burden on the existing supply of parking in the neighborhood. According to the Project Sponsor, the proposal to add three antenna to an existing WTS facility will only require access by company representatives once a month.

- E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would cause no displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

- F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Compliance with applicable structural safety and seismic safety requirements would be considered during the building permit application review process.

- G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

No landmarks or historic buildings would be affected by the project.

- H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project will have no adverse impact on parks or open space, or their access to sunlight or vistas.

19. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
20. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Determination of Compliance authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. 2009.1002CP** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this conditional use authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. 18124. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (after the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was adopted by the Planning Commission on **July 1, 2010**.

Linda Avery
Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Olague, Antonini, Borden, Lee, Moore, Miguel
and Sugaya

NAYES: None

ABSENT: None

ADOPTED: July 1, 2010

Exhibit A

Conditions of Approval

Whenever "Project Sponsor" is used in the following conditions, the conditions shall also bind any successor to the Project or other persons having an interest in the Project or underlying property.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. This authorization is for a Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 234.2(a) and 303 to establish a new wireless telecommunications services (WTS) facility consisting of six panel antennas mounted on a 53-foot tall monopole with related equipment cabinets located at grade, as part of the T-Mobile wireless telecommunications network in a P (Public Use) Zoning District, Coastal Zone Permit Area, and a OS (Open Space) Height and Bulk District, in general conformance with plans filed with the Application dated April 22, 2010, stamped "EXHIBIT B" and are subject to the following conditions, included in the docket for Case No. 2009.1002CP, reviewed and approved by the Commission on July 1, 2010.
2. **Plan Drawings.** Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:
 - a. **Structure and Siting.** Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
 - b. **For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities.** Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
 - c. **Emissions.** Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
3. **Project Implementation Report.** The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:
 - a. Identify the three-dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
 - b. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.

- a. To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:
 - i. Modify the placement of the facilities;
 - ii. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
 - iii. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2-1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions; or
 - iv. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
 - b. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
 - c. Antennas and back-up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual impacts;
 - d. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back-up facilities are not viewed from the street;
 - e. Antennae attached to building facades shall be so placed, screened or otherwise treated to minimize any negative visual impact; and
 - f. Although co-location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back-up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case-by-case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.
8. **Out of Service.** The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennae and equipment that has been out of service for a continuous period of six months or otherwise abandoned.
9. **Periodic Safety Monitoring.** The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.
10. **Emissions Conditions.** It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.
11. **Noise and Heat.** The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant.
12. **Implementation and Monitoring Costs.**
- a. The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of

- WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.
- b. The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with the monitoring of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Electricity and Telecommunications, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency pursuant to Planning Code Section 351(f)(2). The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.
 - c. The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law.
13. **All Conditions Basis for Revocation.** The Project Sponsor or its successors shall comply fully with all conditions specified in this authorization. Failure to comply with any condition shall constitute grounds for revocation under the provisions of Planning Code sections 174, 176 and 803(d). The Zoning Administrator shall schedule a public hearing before the Planning Commission to receive testimony and other evidence to demonstrate a finding of a violation of a condition of the authorization of the use of the facility and, finding that violation, the Commission shall revoke the Conditional Use authorization. Such revocation by the Planning Commission is appealable to the Board of Supervisors.
14. In the event that the project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.
15. **Complaints and Proceedings.** Should any party complain to the Project Sponsor about the installation or operation of the facilities, which complaints are not resolved by the Project Sponsor, the Project Sponsor (or its appointed agent) shall advise the Zoning Administrator of the complaint and the failure to satisfactorily resolve such complaint. If the Zoning Administrator thereafter finds a violation of any provision of the City Planning Code and/or any condition of approval herein, the Zoning Administrator shall attempt to resolve such violation on an expedited basis with the Project Sponsor. If such efforts fail, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complains to the Commission for consideration at the next regularly scheduled public meeting.
16. **Severability.** If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other of the remaining provisions, clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. It is hereby declared to be the intent of the Commission that these conditions of approval would have been adopted had such invalid sentence, clause, or section or part thereof not been included herein.
17. **Transfer of Operation.** Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the

facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

18. **Compatibility with City Emergency Services.** The facility shall not be operated, nor caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.
19. **Notice of Recordation.** Prior to the issuance of any building permit for the construction of the Project, the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, which notice shall state that construction of the Project has been authorized by and is subject to the conditions of this Motion. From time to time after the recordation of such notice, at the request of the Project Sponsor, the Zoning Administrator shall affirm in writing the extent to which the conditions of this Motion have been satisfied, and record said writing if requested.
20. Violation of the conditions contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of the Planning Code may be subject to abatement procedures and fines up to \$500 a day in accordance with Planning Code Section 176.
21. Should monitoring of the Conditions of Approval contained in Exhibit A of this Motion be required, the Project Sponsor or successors shall pay fees as established in Planning Code Section 351(e)(1).
22. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action shall be deemed void and canceled if, within 3 years of the date of this Motion, a site permit or building permit for the Project has not been secured by Project Sponsor. This authorization may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator only if the failure to issue a permit by the Department of Building Inspection is delayed by a city, state, or federal agency or by appeal of the issuance of such permit.

ACP:G:\DOCUMENTS\CU\100 Armory Drive - 2009.1002C\100 Armory Drive - 2009.1002CP Motion.DOC