



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

---

## Historic Preservation Commission Motion No. 0193

HEARING DATE: APRIL 3, 2013

*Hearing Date:* April 3, 2013  
*Filing Date:* September 12, 2012  
*Case No.:* **2012.1169A**  
*Project Address:* **Washington Square (corner of Filbert and Powell Streets)**  
*Landmark:* No. 226 – Washington Square  
*Zoning:* P (Public)  
OS (Open Space) Height and Bulk District  
*Block/Lot:* 0102/001  
*Applicant:* Mary Hobson  
San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department  
30 Van Ness Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
*Staff Contact* Gretchen Hilyard - (415) 575-9109  
gretchen.hilyard@sfgov.org  
*Reviewed By* Tim Frye - (415) 558-6625  
[tim.frye@sfgov.org](mailto:tim.frye@sfgov.org)

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
**415.558.6378**

Fax:  
**415.558.6409**

Planning  
Information:  
**415.558.6377**

**ADOPTING FINDINGS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR PROPOSED WORK DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR AND CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF ARTICLE 10, TO MEET THE STANDARDS OF ARTICLE 10 AND TO MEET THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION, FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOT 001 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 0102, WITHIN A P (PUBLIC) ZONING DISTRICT AND AN OS (OPEN SPACE) HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.**

### PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on September 12, 2012, Mary Hobson of the San Francisco Recreation & Parks Department (Project Sponsor) filed an application with the San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace the convenience station located on the subject property at lot 001 in Assessor's Block 0102. The work includes the demolition of the existing convenience station, construction of a new convenience station and work to adjacent pathways and landscaping. Specifically, the work includes:

- Demolition of the existing 291 square-foot convenience station;
- Construction of a new approximately 625 square-foot convenience station (bathroom and storage building); and
- Alteration of adjacent pathways and landscaping to accommodate the new building and ADA accessibility.

WHEREAS, the current project, Case No. 2012.1169A ("Project") was determined by the Department to be categorically exempt from environmental review. The Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") has reviewed and concurs with said determination.

WHEREAS, A General Plan Referral was completed for the Project on March 25, 2013 and the Department determined that the Project is in conformance with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan and meets the criteria set forth in Section 101.1 of the Planning Code.

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the Project, Case No. 2012.1169A, for its appropriateness.

WHEREAS, in reviewing the Application, the Commission has had available for its review and consideration case reports, plans, and other materials pertaining to the Project contained in the Department's case files, has reviewed and heard testimony and received materials from interested parties during the public hearing on the Project.

**MOVED**, that the Commission hereby grants the Certificate of Appropriateness, in conformance with the architectural plans dated March 21, 2013 and labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2012.1169A based on the following findings:

### **CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

- That prior to issuance of Building permits, samples of the final exterior materials will be forwarded for review and approval by Planning Department Preservation Staff.

### **FINDINGS**

Having reviewed all the materials identified in the recitals above and having heard oral testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and also constitute findings of the Commission.
2. Findings pursuant to Article 10:

The Historical Preservation Commission has determined that the proposed work is compatible with the character of Washington Square as described in Article 10 and the designation report dated April 1999.

- That the proposal is compatible with and respects the character-defining features of Washington Square.
- That the subject property will continue its use as a public open space and maintain the area's historic character.

- That the new convenience station will be constructed in the same location as the existing convenience station and will require minimal change to the materials, features, spaces and spatial relationships that characterize the property.
- That the convenience station is one of many character-defining features within the larger park and the removal of this single feature will not impair the significance of Washington Square. The new building is designed to fit within the existing context of the landmark site, draws upon the historic characteristics of the existing building, and is compatible infill construction.
- That the new convenience station will be constructed of materials that match the color, texture and scale of existing materials within the park, such as earth tone concrete and wood, as well as similar planting material around the base of the building to screen the Filbert Street façade.
- That the construction of a new convenience station in the same location as the existing building will not alter the overall form and integrity of the landmark.
- That the new convenience station will be constructed of compatible materials and detailed to match the proportion and detailing of the existing building and the surrounding park.
- That the proposed project meets the following *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*:

**Standard 1.**

*A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.*

**Standard 2.**

*The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.*

**Standard 3.**

*Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.*

**Standard 4.**

*Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.*

**Standard 5.**

*Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.*

**Standard 9.**

*New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and*

*massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.*

**Standard 10.**

*New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.*

3. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Certificate of Appropriateness is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

*The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.*

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

*Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.*

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

*Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.*

POLICY 2.5

*Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.*

POLICY 2.7

*Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.*

II. RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A DIVERSIFIED AND BALANCED CITYWIDE SYSTEM OF HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

POLICY 2.2

*Preserve existing public open space.*

OBJECTIVE 4

PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR RECREATION AND THE ENJOYMENT OF OPEN SPACE IN EVERY SAN FRANCISCO NEIGHBORHOOD.

POLICY 4.1

*Make better use of existing facilities.*

POLICY 4.3

*Renovate and renew the City's parks and recreation facilities.*

*The goal of a Certificate of Appropriateness is to provide additional oversight for buildings and districts that are architecturally or culturally significant to the City in order to protect the qualities that are associated with that significance.*

*The proposed project would replace the public restroom, which is in poor condition, with a new and improved restroom facility. The project, including the restroom facility, and improvements to the adjacent landscaping and pathways would comply with ADA standards and allow for increased use of the park's recreational facilities, consistent with the General Plan.*

*The proposed project qualifies for a Certificate of Appropriateness and therefore furthers these policies and objectives by maintaining and preserving the character-defining features of the landmark for the future enjoyment and education of San Francisco residents and visitors.*

4. The proposed project is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:

- A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:

*The proposed project is for the renovation of a civic property and will not have any impact on neighborhood serving retail uses.*

- B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:

*The proposed project will strengthen neighborhood character by respecting the character-defining features of the landmark in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.*

- C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:

*The project will not have any impact on the City's supply of affordable housing.*

- D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

*The proposed project will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.*

- E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

*The proposed will not have any impact on industrial and service sector jobs.*

- F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

*Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is improved by the proposed work. The work will eliminate unsafe conditions at the site and all construction will be executed in compliance with all applicable construction and safety measures.*

- G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

*The proposed project is in conformance with Article 10 of the Planning Code and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.*

- H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

*The proposed project will not impact the access to sunlight or vistas for the parks and open space.*

5. For these reasons, the proposal overall, is appropriate for and consistent with the purposes of Article 10, meets the standards of Article 10, and the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, General Plan and Prop M findings of the Planning Code.

**DECISION**

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **GRANTS a Certificate of Appropriateness** for the property located at Lot 001 in Assessor's Block 0102 for proposed work in conformance with the renderings and architectural sketches dated March 21, 2013 and labeled Exhibit A on file in the docket for Case No. 2012.1169A.

**APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION:** The Commission's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed within thirty (30) days. Any appeal shall be made to the Board of Appeals, unless the proposed project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use, in which case any appeal shall be made to the Board of Supervisors (see Charter Section 4.135).

**Duration of this Certificate of Appropriateness:** This Certificate of Appropriateness is issued pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code and is valid for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of approval by the Historic Preservation Commission. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action shall be deemed void and canceled if, within 3 years of the date of this Motion, a site permit or building permit for the Project has not been secured by Project Sponsor.

**THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY UNLESS NO BUILDING PERMIT IS REQUIRED. PERMITS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION (and any other appropriate agencies) MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.**

I hereby certify that the Historical Preservation Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on April 3, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin  
Acting Commission Secretary

AYES: Commissioners Hasz, Hyland, Johnck, Johns, Matsuda, Wolfram

NAYS: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Pearlman

ADOPTED: April 3, 2013