

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Conditional Use

HEARING DATE: MAY 9, 2013

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date: May 2, 2013

Case No.: **2012.1341C**

Project Address: 2320 SUTTER STREET

Zoning: NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 1051/034

Project Sponsors: 2320 Sutter LLC

c/o Bruce McCormack 2320 Sutter Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94115

Project Architect: David Sternberg

1331 Harrison Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

Staff Contact: Glenn Cabreros – (415) 558-6169

glenn.cabreros@sfgov.org

Recommendation: Approval with Conditions

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project proposes construction of a three-story horizontal addition at the rear of the existing two-story medical office building. The subject lot currently contains 10 unenclosed parking spaces at the rear of the building. The project would retain 9 parking spaces to allow for a reconfigured rear entry. Eight new bicycle parking spaces are proposed within the vehicular parking area.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The project site is located on the north side of Sutter Street between Divisadero and Scott Streets on Lot 034 in Assessor's Block 1051. The property contains an approximately 9,200 square-foot, two-story medical office building within the NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale) District. The subject lot currently contains 10 unenclosed parking spaces at the rear of the building.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The project site is located less than one block from Divisadero Street to the west, which contains an active neighborhood commercial corridor. The buildings within the immediate vicinity of the project site are medical related uses. Directly to the west and across the street from the project site are medical buildings related to UCSF Mount Zion Hospital Campus. Directly to the east and wrapping the rear portion of the project site is an L-shaped medical office building.

Executive Summary Hearing Date: May 5, 2011

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 1 categorical exemption.

HEARING NOTIFICATION

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Classified News Ad	20 days	April 19, 2013	April 19, 2013	20 days
Posted Notice	20 days	April 19, 2013	April 19, 2013	20 days
Mailed Notice	10 days	April 19, 2013	April 18, 2011	21 days

The proposal requires a Section 312 neighborhood notification, which was conducted in conjunction with the conditional use authorization process.

PUBLIC COMMENT

 As provided by the project sponsor, the Department has received four letters in support of the project. The Department has not received public comment in opposition to the project.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

In order for the project to proceed, the Commission must grant conditional use authorization to allow a non-residential use size greater than 5,999 square feet in the NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale) District pursuant to Planning Code Section 712.21.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- The project promotes the continued operation and expansion of an existing medical office use.
- The project is in keeping with the uses in the neighborhood, particularly as the project is located on a block dominated by medical uses and the UCSF Mount Zion Medical Campus.
- The project meets all applicable requirements of the Planning Code.
- The project is desirable for, and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

RECOMMENDATION: Approval with Conditions

Attachments:

Block Book Map Sanborn Map Aerial Photographs Project Sponsor Submittal, including: Reduced Plans

CASE NO. 2011.0097C 1423 Polk Street

Executive Summary Hearing Date: May 5, 2011

Attachment Checklist

Executive Summary		Project sponsor submittal
Draft Motion		Drawings: Existing Conditions
Environmental Determination		Check for legibility
Zoning District Map		Drawings: <u>Proposed Project</u>
Height & Bulk Map		Check for legibility
Parcel Map		3-D Renderings (new construction or significant addition)
Sanborn Map		Check for legibility
Aerial Photo		Wireless Telecommunications Materials
Context Photos		Health Dept. review of RF levels
Site Photos		RF Report
		Community Meeting Notice
		Housing Documents
		Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Affidavit for Compliance
		Residential Pipeline
Exhibits above marked with an "X" are inc	clude	d in this packetgc Planner's Initials

GC G:\Documents\2012\CU\2012.1341C - 2320 Sutter\2012.1341C - 2320 Sutter - Exec Summary.doc



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)	
☐ Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)	☐ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
☐ Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)	☐ Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)
□ Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)	☐ Other

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Planning Commission Draft Motion

HEARING DATE: MAY 9, 2013

Date:May 2, 2013Case No.:2012.1341C

Project Address: 2320 SUTTER STREET

Zoning: NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 1051/034

Project Sponsors: 2320 Sutter LLC

c/o Bruce McCormack 2320 Sutter Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94115

Project Architect: David Sternberg

1331 Harrison Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Staff Contact: Glenn Cabreros – (415) 558-6169

glenn.cabreros@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 121.2, 303 AND 712.21 TO ALLOW A USE SIZE GREATER THAN 5,999 SQUARE FEET THROUGH THE ENLARGEMENT OF AN EXISTING 9,200 SQUARE-FOOT MEDICAL OFFICE USE TO APPROXIMATELY 14,700 SQUARE FEET WITHIN THE NC-3 (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL, MODERATE SCALE) ZONING DISTRICT AND A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On December 18, 2012, David Sternberg (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed an application with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 121.2, 303 and 712.21 to expand the existing 9,200 square foot medical office building to approximately 14,700 square feet within the NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

Draft Motion CASE NO. 2012.1341C Hearing Date: May 9, 2013 2320 Sutter Street

On May 9, 2013, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Application No. 2012.1341C.

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 1 categorical exemption.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use requested in Application No. 2012.1341C, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. **Project Description.** The project proposes construction of a three-story horizontal addition at the rear of the existing two-story medical office building. The subject lot currently contains 10 unenclosed parking spaces at the rear of the building. The project would retain 9 parking spaces to allow for a reconfigured rear entry. Eight new bicycle parking spaces are proposed within the vehicular parking area.
- 3. Site Description and Present Use. The project site is located on the north side of Sutter Street between Divisadero and Scott Streets on Lot 034 in Assessor's Block 1051. The property contains an approximately 9,200 square-foot, two-story medical office building within the NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale) District. The subject lot currently contains 10 unenclosed parking spaces at the rear of the building.
- 4. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The project site is located less than one block from Divisadero Street to the west, which contains an active neighborhood commercial corridor. The buildings within the immediate vicinity of the project site are medical related uses. Directly to the west and across the street from the project site are medical buildings related to UCSF Mount Zion Hospital Campus. Directly to the east and wrapping the rear portion of the project site is an L-shaped medical office building.
- 5. **Public Comment.** The Department has received four letters in support of the project. The Department has not received public comment in opposition to the project.

Draft Motion CASE NO. 2012.1341C Hearing Date: May 9, 2013 2320 Sutter Street

6. **Planning Code Compliance:** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:

- A. **Rear Yard Requirement in the NC-3 District.** Planning Code Section 134 states that at all residential levels the minimum rear yard depth shall be equal to 25 percent of the total depth.
 - The proposal does not include any residential uses; therefore a rear yard area is not required for the project.
- B. **Parking**. Per Planning Code Section 151, a medical office use requires one parking space for each 300 square feet of occupied floor area, where the occupied floor area exceeds 5,000 square feet.

Based on an occupied floor area of approximately 8,300 square feet for the existing medical office use, 28 parking spaces are required. As only 10 parking spaces exist at the existing use, a parking of credit of 18 spaces may be applied to the proposed project. The total occupied floor area including the addition is proposed to be approximately 13,300 square feet, which would require a total of 44 parking spaces. However, as a parking credit may be applied, a total of 27 parking spaces are required per the Planning Code (44 required spaces minus the 18 space credit plus the 1 existing parking space proposed to be removed: 44-18+1= 27 spaces required). As nine existing spaces are proposed to be retained, a reduction of 18 parking spaces is proposed (27-9 = 18 space reduction).

- C. Parking Reduction. Per Planning Code Section 161, the Zoning Administrator may reduce the off-street parking requirements in NC (neighborhood commercial) Districts pursuant to the procedures and criteria of Planning Code Section 307(i). On April 24, 2013, the Zoning Administrator reviewed the criteria set forth under Planning Code Section 307(i) and has authorized administrative approval given that the proposed project meets the criteria for the reduction of 18 off-street parking spaces:
 - i. The reduction in the parking requirement is justified by the reasonable anticipated auto usage by residents of and visitors to the Project.
 - The proposal is for expansion of the existing medical office building. The parking provided by the project is not residential in nature and is used during the business hours of the medical office building. The existing building is currently occupied by three doctors, a blood lab, two medical office device companies and an UCSF administrative oncology office. The doctors and UCSF tenants are interested in additional office space. The medical office building is privately owned and not affiliated with the nearby UCSF Mount Zion Medical Center. Reduction of the parking requirement is justified by the availability of nearby public parking garages and access to public transit, both within two blocks of the project site.
 - ii. The reduction in the parking requirement will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity.

The reduction of the parking requirement would not be detrimental to the neighborhood since the project would not affect the number of on-street parking spaces on the subject and opposite blocks. Existing curb cuts and vehicular access points are to be retained. In addition, the reduction of the required parking spaces will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity since the area is well-served by public parking garages and public transit.

iii. The minimization of conflict of vehicular and pedestrian movements.

Pedestrian and vehicular movement conflicts will be minimized as the project will maintain the existing curb cuts to access the existing parking spaces, and the reduction of required parking spaces will not cause additional vehicular access to the project site. As the current ten spaces will be reduced to nine parking spaces, the vehicular movements associated with the project's use are anticipated to be the same as the existing conditions.

iv. The availability of transportation modes other than the automobile.

The project proposes 8 new bicycle parking spaces. The project is also well served by public transit, particularly along the Divisadero Street and Geary Boulevard corridors. Muni lines nos. 2, 24 and 38 are within two blocks of the project site.

v. The pattern of land use and character of development in the vicinity.

The neighborhood features a mix of medical institutional, residential and commercial uses, many of which include no off-street parking. Most of the commercial district along the nearby Divisadero Street corridor located west of the project does not provide parking for individual commercial uses. The land uses in the immediate vicinity of the project are medical uses operated by UCSF Mount Zion Medical Center or private medical offices. Directly to the west of the project is the UCSF Cancer Research Center at 2340 Sutter Street. Directly to the west and north of the project is 2300 Sutter Street containing medical office uses. Numerous public parking garages in the immediate vicinity provide parking to those users travelling by car.

vi. Such other criteria as the Zoning Administrator deems appropriate in the circumstances of the particular case.

The Zoning Administrator determined that no additional criteria are required for consideration in the circumstances of the particular case.

D. **Non-Residential Use Size Requirement in the NC-3 District.** Planning Code Section 712.21 states that non-residential uses greater than 5,999 square feet may be allow with Conditional Use authorization.

CASE NO. 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street

Draft Motion Hearing Date: May 9, 2013

The project sponsor has filed a conditional use application (Case No. 2012.1341C) for the project to request Conditional Use authorization from the Planning Commission to allow expansion of the existing medical office building to approximately 14,700 square feet in area.

E. Medical Offices Use Requirement in the NC-3 District. Planning Code Section 712.51 states that medical services are permitted as-of-right on the first story and above in the NC-3 Zoning District.

The project proposes to retain and expand the existing medical office use.

- 7. **Conditional Use Criteria.** Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
 - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The size of the proposed use is in keeping with the size and scale of the immediate neighborhood character, particularly as the proposed project is complimentary to the uses of the surrounding buildings, many of which are related to the UCSF Mount Zion Medical Campus.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
 - i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The height and bulk of the existing building will remain the same and will not alter the existing appearance or character of the project vicinity as viewed from the street. The project would create a three-story building mass that is adjacent to blank walls of taller buildings.

ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The project proposes a reduction in the amount of required parking. The reduction of the parking requirement would not be detrimental to the neighborhood since the project would not affect the number of on-street parking spaces on the subject and opposite blocks. Existing curb cuts and vehicular access points are to be retained. In addition, the reduction of the required parking spaces will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity since the area is well-served by public parking garages and public transit. (Also see aforementioned "Parking Reduction" findings.)

CASE NO. 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

Noxious or offensive emission such as noise, glare, dust and odor are not typically associated with medical office uses.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

Two additional street trees are proposed to be planted. The existing two-story building and curb cuts toward the front of the lot are proposed to be retained.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District.

The proposed project is consistent with the stated purposed of NC-3 District, which allows medical service uses at the first story and above, and will provide a compatible service for the immediately surrounding neighborhoods during daytime hours.

- 8. **Development of Large Lots in Neighborhood Commercial Districts.** Planning Code Section 121.2(a) states that in addition to the criteria of Section 303, the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria are met:
 - A. The mass and facade of the proposed structure are compatible with the existing scale of the district.

The existing two-story façade will be retained, and the façade is not proposed to be altered. The mass of the proposed three-story addition at the rear of the existing building is compatible with the existing scale of the district as the immediately adjacent buildings are of a similar or larger scale than the project.

B. The facade of the proposed structure is compatible with design features of adjacent facades that contribute to the positive visual quality of the district.

The existing façade is not proposed to be altered, and the façade is in keeping with the varied architectural styles of various medical buildings found in the immediate area. The proposed planting

of additional required street trees adjacent to the front façade will contribute to the positive visual quality of the district.

9. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCE

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1:

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKINIG ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.1:

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development that has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 1.2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

Policy 1.3:

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

The proposed development will provide desirable services to the neighborhood and additional office space for the surrounding medical community. The expanded scope of the existing use should not result in undesirable consequences. Further, the project is located within a Neighborhood Commercial District and is consistent with activities envisioned for the NC-3 District.

OBJECTIVE 2:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the City.

The project will retain and enlarge an existing medical office use activity, which will enhance the diverse economic base of the City.

OBJECTIVE 6:

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

Policy 6.1:

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

No commercial tenant would be displaced and the project would not prevent the district from achieving optimal diversity in the types of goods and services available in the neighborhood.

- 10. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:
 - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The proposal would enhance the district by retaining and expanding upon an existing medical office use. Existing neighborhood serving uses would be preserved.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The existing building is a medical office building surrounded by other medical buildings. Existing housing and neighborhood character would be conserved.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

No housing is removed for this project.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The surrounding neighborhood is well served by transit. Nearby MUNI bus lines include No. 1AX, 2, 24, 31 and 38AX/BX/NX.

CASE NO. 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The project will not displace any service or industry establishment. The project will not affect industrial or service sector uses or related employment opportunities.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The project is designed and will be constructed to conform to the structural and seismic safety requirements of the City Building Code.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

A landmark or historic building does not occupy the project site.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The project does not have an effect on open spaces.

- 11. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 12. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

Draft Motion CASE NO. 2012.1341C Hearing Date: May 9, 2013 2320 Sutter Street

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. 2012.1341C** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated May 9, 2013, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. _______. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on May 9, 2013.

Jonas P. Ionin Acting Commission Secretary

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: May 9, 2013

CASE NO. 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street

EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a conditional use to allow a use size greater than 5,999 square feet in the NC-3 (Neighborhood Commercial, Moderate Scale) District located at 2320 Sutter Street; Lot 034 in Assessor's Block 1051 within the NC-3 District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated ______, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Case No. 2012.1341C and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on May 9, 2013 under Motion No ______. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **May 9, 2013** under Motion No _______.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. _____shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the site or building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

Conditions of approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting

PERFORMANCE

Validity and Expiration. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three years from the effective date of the Motion. A building permit from the Department of Building Inspection to construct the project and/or commence the approved use must be issued as this Conditional

Use authorization is only an approval of the proposed project and conveys no independent right to construct the project or to commence the approved use. The Planning Commission may, in a public hearing, consider the revocation of the approvals granted if a site or building permit has not been obtained within three (3) years of the date of the Motion approving the Project. Once a site or building permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. The Commission may also consider revoking the approvals if a permit for the Project has been issued but is allowed to expire and more than three (3) years have passed since the Motion was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

Extension. This authorization may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator only where failure to issue a permit by the Department of Building Inspection to perform said tenant improvements is caused by a delay by a local, State or Federal agency or by any appeal of the issuance of such permit(s). For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

DESIGN - COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE

Street Trees. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 138.1 (formerly 143), the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the building permit application indicating that street trees, at a ratio of one street tree of an approved species for every 20 feet of street frontage along public or private streets bounding the Project, with any remaining fraction of 10 feet or more of frontage requiring an extra tree, shall be provided. The street trees shall be evenly spaced along the street frontage except where proposed driveways or other street obstructions do not permit. The exact location, size and species of tree shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works (DPW). In any case in which DPW cannot grant approval for installation of a tree in the public right-of-way, on the basis of inadequate sidewalk width, interference with utilities or other reasons regarding the public welfare, and where installation of such tree on the lot itself is also impractical, the requirements of this Section 428 may be modified or waived by the Zoning Administrator to the extent necessary.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sfplanning.org

OPERATION

Sidewalk Maintenance. The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards.

For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works, 415-695-2017, http://sfdpw.org


Lighting. All Project lighting shall be directed onto the Project site and immediately surrounding sidewalk area only, and designed and managed so as not to be a nuisance to adjacent residents.

Draft Motion CASE NO. 2012.1341C Hearing Date: May 9, 2013 2320 Sutter Street

Nighttime lighting shall be the minimum necessary to ensure safety, but shall in no case be directed so as to constitute a nuisance to any surrounding property.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>


Parcel Map





Conditional Use Hearing Case Number 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street Hearing Date: May 9, 2013


Sanborn Map*



^{*}The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.




Zoning Map





Conditional Use Hearing Case Number 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street Hearing Date: May 9, 2013





SUBJECT PROPERTY



Conditional Use Hearing Case Number 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street Hearing Date: May 9, 2013


SUBJECT PROPERTY





SUBJECT PROPERTY






SUBJECT PROPERTY

Site Photo

SUBJECT PROPERTY



Conditional Use Hearing Case Number 2012.1341C 2320 Sutter Street Hearing Date: May 9, 2013



March 18, 2013

Glenn Cabreros, LEED AP San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

RE: 2320 Sutter St

1051/034 2012.1341C

Dear Mr. Cabreros;

We have reviewed your plan check comments dated February 14, 2013 for our Conditional Use Application No.2012.1341C and have made the necessary corrections per your request. Following is a written description of the items we addressed on our drawings.

- 1. Separate Site Plans showing the existing and proposed conditions have been provided and include:
 - a. Adjacent lots and buildings labeled and illustrated to show the number of stories and the change in levels as they occur.
 - b. The existing and proposed building are drawn as Roof Plans and show the number and changes of stories.
 - c. Overall building depths are shown on the drawings.
 - d. The street trees are shown and labeled to indicate existing and proposed. A completed Tree Planning and Protection Checklist is included with this letter and shows the need for two new trees to meet the requirement to five street trees total, for the 100ft. lot
 - e. The existing curb cuts are shown and labeled.
 - f. A table showing the amount of existing and proposed floor area can be found on the Cover Sheet A0 in the Planning Department Notes section.
- 2. On the existing and proposed floor plans all existing rooms have been labeled to show their use. Please note the proposed additions to the building will be built as a completed shell, the buildout of the space will be under a separate tenant improvement permit. The intended use of the space will be medical office space.
- 3. For clarity the set back dimensions have been shown on the proposed site plan sheet A0.4, as well as A2.1
- 4. Diaper Changing Station will be provided in the restrooms to meet the requirements of Section 168, see Plans A2.1 & A2.2.
- 5. Notification materials have been provided to meet the requirements of Section 312.

- 6. Profiles of the adjacent buildings have been provided on the proposed elevations. Existing and proposed materials have also been called out on the elevations.
- 7. The height of the building taken from the top of the curb at the centerline of the building is shown on the front elevations.
- 8. Sheet A0.2 has been added to show 3-D renderings of the proposed building
- 9. The bicycle parking has been revised to show six consolidated Class 1 bicycle parking spaces at the rear of the building adjacent to parking stalls 8 & 9, and two Class 1 spaces adjacent to parking stall 1, see A2.1.

Thank you,

David Sternberg, Sternberg Benjamin Architects

415 882 9783, ext. 11

dsternberg@sternbergbenjamin.com

CC: Ellie Samimi, Building Manager, 2320 Sutter LLC

GENERAL CONDITIONS

CONTRACTORS RESPONSIBILITIES:
-CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL WORK AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2010 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE, WITH 2010 SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS: 2010 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING CODES: 2010 SAN FRANCISCO FIRE CODE 2010 FRANCISCO FIRE CODE 2010 ENTON, SAN FRANCISCO FIGURING CODE 2010 ENTON, AND CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. TITLE 24 ENERGY CODE 2010 EDITION, SAN FRANCISCO FIGURING CODE 2010 EDITION, AND CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. TITLE 24, DISABLED ACCESS COMPLIANCE REGULATIONS

-CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE SITE INSPECTIONS AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL NEW AND DEMOLITION WORK, WHETHER DETAILED BY THE SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS, OR IMPLIED BY EXISTING CONDITIONS.

-ANY DISCREPANCIES IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS, AS CONFLICTS WITH ACTUAL SITE CONDITIONS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK.

-CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY SHORING AS NECESSARY.

CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO COORDINATE AND PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY TEMPORARY UTILITY HOOK-UPS FOR ALL EQUIPMENT DURING CONSTRUCTION.

-CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DISCONNECTION / CAPPING OFF OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND RE-CONNECTION WHERE RE-USE IS POSSIBLE.

-SLOPE ALL FLOORS / ROOFS TO DRAIN A MINIMUM OF 1/4" PER 11-0", UNLESS SPECIFICALLY, NOTED OTHERWISE -MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL WORK SHOWN ON DRAWINGS IS SCHEMATIC IN NATURE: CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM FINAL LAYOUT WITH ARCHITECT, PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK

-CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE UTILITY HOOK-UPS FOR ALL HEATERS, APPLIANCES, SPRINKLERS AND ALL OTHER EQUIPMENT WHETHER OR NOT SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED ON THE DRAWINGS.

DRAWINGS: -DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS! ALL WRITTEN DIMENSIONS SUPERSEDE SCALED DIMENSIONS.

-ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO "FACE OF STUD" UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE. EXISTING DIMENSIONS DENOTED BY YEJ" ARE TO "FACE OF EXISTING FINISH" UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS SHALL BE FIELD VERHIELD PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK.

-LARGE SCALE DRAWINGS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SMALL SCALE DRAWINGS. WRITTEN SPECIFICATIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER ALL DRAWINGS.

GENERAL:
-GUARDHAILS TO COMPLY WITH THE CODE; OPEN GUARDRAILS SHALL HAVE OPENINGS SUCH THAT A 4" SPHERE CANNOT PASS THRU".

PROVIDE IDENTIFICATION FOR ALL PUBLIC STAIRWAYS PER UBC SECTION 1006.16.

-COMPLY WITH UNIT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS PER SFBC SECTION 1005A

ASSEMBLIES (SEE COVER SHEET LEGEND FOR RATED WALL DESIGNATIONS AND OTHER WALL TYPES):

PROVIDE MINIMUM 1-HOUR WALL AND FLOOR / CEILING ASSEMBLY BETWEEN ALL RESIDENTIAL UNITS. SEE PLANS AND BUILDING SECTIONS FOR DESIGNATIONS; AND STANDARD DETAILS FOR COMPLETE ASSEMBLY DESCRIPTIONS.

PROVIDE MINIMUM 50 STC AND IIC REQUIREMENT AT ALL UNITS AT FLOORS, CEILINGS, AND WALLS. SEE PLANS AND BUILDING SECTIONS FOR DESIGNATIONS; AND STANDARD DETAILS FOR ASSEMBLY DESCRIPTIONS.

-INSULATE ALL ASSEMBLIES BETWEEN HEATED AND UNHEATED AREAS. R-30 AT ROOFS, R-13 AT WALLS, R-19 AT FLOORS MINIMUM, UNLESS PEOCHECALLY NOTED OTHERWISE: SEE TITLE 24, ENERGY COMPLIANCE STATEMENT MANDATORY MEASURES CHECKLIST FOR SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.

-PROVIDE VENTILATION OF ALL JOIST, STUD AND RAFTER SPACES ENCLOSED BY BUILDING ASSEMBLIES BETWEEN HEATED AND UNHEATED AREAS INCLUDING ATTICS, BASEMENTS, ROOFS, SOFFITS, PARAPET AND RAILING WALLS, ETC.

-PROVIDE MOISTURE RESISTANT GYPSUM WALL BOARD (MR GWB) ON ALL BATHROOM WALLS. DO NOT USE A CONTINUOUS VAPOR BARRIER BEHIND MR GWB. PROVIDE 30 POUND ROOFING FELT BEHIND RINSH SURFACE OF ALL TUB / SHOWER SURROUNDS, LAPPING ALL SEAMS. DO NOT USE MR GWB ON BATHROOM CEILINGS, USE 58° TYPE "X" GWB.

-SHAFT ENCLOSURES SHALL COMPLY WITH SFBC SECT. 711 &713. PROVIDE SMOKE DAMPERS WHERE REQUIRED.

DOORS AND WINDOWS:
-MAXIMUM EFFORT TO OPERATE DOORS SHALL NOT EXCEED 8-1/2 POUNDS FOR EXTERIOR DOORS AND 5 POUNDS FOR INTERIOR DOORS.

-IF A DOOR HAS A CLOSER, DOOR SHALL BE ADJUSTED SUCH THAT FROM AN OPEN POSITION OF 70 DEGREES THE DOOR WILL TAKE 3 SECONDS TO MOVE TO A POINT 3" FROM THE LATCH.

-HAND ACTIVATED DOOR LATCHING, LOCKING AND OPENING HARDWARE SHALL BE CENTERED BETWEEN 30" AND 44" ABOVE FLOOR.

- THE BOTTOM 10" OF DOOR SHALL HAVE A SMOOTH UNINTERRUPTED SURFACE TO ALLOW THE DOOR TO BE OPENED BY A WHEEL CHAIR WITHOUT CREATING A TRAP OR HAZARDOUS CONDITION.

-CONFIRM ALL DOOR AND WINDOW SIZES WITH ACTUAL / EXISTING ROUGH OPENING DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO ORDERING DOORS AND WINDOWS

-ALL REQUIRED SLEEPING AREA ESCAPE / RESCUE WINDOWS BELOW 4TH FLOOR ARE DESIGNATED 'RESCUE' ON FLOOR PLANS ADJACENT TO WINDOW. RESCUE WINDOWS TO COMPLY W/ CBC SECTION 3104 AND SHALL HAVE A MIN. NET CLR. AREA 0F.57 SO FT. MIN. CLR. HT. DIM. 24", MIN. CLR. WIDTH DIM. 20", MAY SILL HT. DIM. 44" AFF.

-REFER TO EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS FOR INDICATIONS OF WINDOW OPERATION AND HANDING.

-ALL DOORS AND WINDOWS BETWEEN HEATED AND UNHEATED AREAS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH WEATHER-STRIPPING AND THRESHOLDS FOR DOORS.

-ALL PROPERTY LINE WINDOWS (INDICATED ON DRAWINGS BY "PL") SHALL BE STEEL SASH WITH FIXED WIRE GLASS, WITH SPRINKLER HEAD PROTECTION PER S.F. BUILDING CODE SECTION 503.5

-ALL GLAZING SHALL COMPLY WITH CODE SECTION 2406; SAFETY GLASS, AND SHALL BE LOW-E WITH A U FACTOR OF .31. -ALL INTERIOR DOORS SHALL BE SOLID CORE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED OTHERWISE, OR ARE REQUIRED TO BE OF OTHER MATERIALS BECAUSE OF FIRE RATING OR ANY OTHER REQUIREMENTS.

-ALL EXTERIOR DOORS OR DOORS IN NON-HEATED AREAS SHALL BE METAL DOORS WITH METAL FRAME, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED OTHERWISE.

MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING (MEP): -PARKING GARAGE(S), CORRIDORS AND STAIRS SHALL BE VENTILATED AS REQUIRED PER CODE.

-PROVIDE EMERGENCY / EXIT LIGHTING AT ALL EXIT PATHS OF TRAVEL AS REQUIRED PER CODE

-PARKING GARAGE(S), COMMON HALLWAYS AND ALL OTHER COMMON AREAS, NOT WELL SERVED BY DAY LIGHTING WINDOWS, SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH ELECTRIC LIGHTING 24 HOURS PER DAY, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY NOTED OTHERWISE ALL OTHER EXTERIOR OR EXPOSED COMMON AREAS TO DAY LIGHTING SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH SWITCHING VIA CENTRAL PHOTO-ELECTRIC SENSOR WITH TIMER CLOCK SWITCH OVERRIDE, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

STAGGER ALL ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL ITEMS IN ALL DEMISING WALLS AND FLOORS BETWEEN UNITS TO MAINTAIN ASSEMBLY'S ACOUSTICAL RATINGS. SEE SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAILS FOR SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.

-ALL ELECTRICAL RECEPTACLES IN DAMP LOCATIONS TO BE GROUND FAUL INTÉRRUPTER (GFI) AS REQUIRED PER CODE. -PROVIDE UNIT SMOKE DETECTORS PER SFBC SEC. 310.9.

-PROVIDE LIGHTED EXIT SIGNAGE WITH EMERGENCY BACK-UP PER SECTION 1003.2.6

ALL KITCHEN LIGHTING: HALF THE INSTALLED WATTAGE OF LUMINAIRES SHALL BE FLUORESCENT

-ALL BATHROOM, LAUNDRY ROOM, AND UTILITY ROOM LIGHTING SHALL BE EITHER FLUORESCENT OR CONTROLLED BY AN

-ALL OTHER ROOM LIGHTING SHALL BE EITHER FLUORESCENT OR CONTROLLED BY AN OCCUPANCY SENSOR OR DIMMER SWITCH.

ALL EXTERIOR LIGHTING SHALL BE EITHER FLUORESCENT OR CONTROLLED BY A PHOTO-TIMER OR MOTION SENSOR

-DOMESTIC DRYER MOISTURE EXHAUST DUCT LENGTH SHALL BE LIMITED TO 14 FEET PER CODE (SFMC 504.3.2.2) UNLESS PERMITTED OTHERWISE BY USTED DRYER MANUACTURER. PLEASE REFER TO SHEET A0.2 FOR WHIRLPOOL DRYER VENT SPECIFICATIONS (WHICH EXCEEDS 14 FEET) FOR THIS PROJECT.

Green Building: Site Permit Checklist

pe of Project Proposed (Indicate at right)

Specific Requirements: fair accesses a mossure is not required.

Cherry Reduction cond to few 24 2008 or ASHAG 50 1 (2007) onewable Snergy or Snivanced Snergy Efficienc Pagina \$192915:

General review one a region des 1950 in Bell (althour et englieben 1964), de Demonstrate de destrone 1916 dengy une technique 1955, de 205 demonstrate de 1960 (althour 1916), dengy une technique 1955, de 205 destrone Cerval (autofest destrone destrone de 1955, de 1955, de State describer, une 1955 d'Audit.

Entherical Contribution by at Estimon Greetry Systems (EEC TA 1

Erchanosid Refrigerant Management : EES ER 4

Bidgotto Serving: Propos and familiaring membione groups of the discount of the service of the s

Designated parking: No. AN. of the period state to be providing that of the discount and despetitives past will use (530.5 title.)

rsume more than 1,000 peritary or mor iding over \$0,000 tig it (190 supplie)

Water Makery: Provide purmosers for spaces projected in consume 4 on their 1,000 periods of once their 100 periods of

All Filtration: Provide Scientisches in produmis busings in an ausgebiede 19 and in the Scientisches 1

Acoustical Control and activationing \$10,00, seeing windows STC 75, say with antiferroratings \$10,40,130,577,6

indoor Air Quality Management Plantenping : Low-Emitting Materials 358019041, 48,48,55414

Assistes / building: Final number of required points (besse (subbo) 4/- adjustant

ALL PROJECTS, AS APPLICABLE

Stormwister Control Plant Projects disturbing to 5,000 appare feat must implement a Stormwaler Control Plan meeting STPUC Stormwater Design Bullockings

Water Efficient Projects and Include 2 1,000 square feet of new or months than describe assume comply with the SEPLE Visiter Efficient angation Ordinance.

Construction Waste Management — Event at sours 89% of construction and demolifion deems by complying with the Say Francisco Construction & Demolition Debris Ordination)

ave number of required Greenpoints

Adjustment for retention / demolition of historia features / sudding

Final member of required points (base number +

GreenPoint Stated if a meets oil premissistess.

Title 24, Pos 6. Meet ell California Grann Guilding Standards Code requirements (ClaiGreen measures for residentia, projects have foon apayated into the CreenPoint Pated system)

Requirements for additions or attenuous applicy to aspirius coal
 sociood on or alter doly 1 2012.

Notes

GREENPOINT RATED PROJECTS

2320 Sutter St-Expansion	BLOCK 1501, LOT 034	2320 Sutter St
15,147 SF	Business NC-3	DAVID STERNBERG
# of EwsReig Globs	Respiration magazinar exceptions decor	Number of economic doors

LEED PROJECTS

As part of application for site permit, this form acknowledges the specific green building requirements that apply to a project under San Francisco Building Code Chapter 13C, Celifernia Title 24 Part 11, and related local codes. Attachment C3, C4, or C5 will be due with the applicable addendum. To use the form:

(b) indicate in one of the columns below which type of project is proposed. If applicable, fill in the blank lines below to identify the number of points the project must meet or exceed. A LGED or GreenPoint check is not required to be submitted with the size permit application, but such tools are strongly recommended to be used

Solid circles in the column indicate mandatory measures required by state and local codes. For projects applying LEED or GreenPoint Rated, procequisites of those systems are mandatory. This form is a summary: see San Francisco Building Code

uilding: Submittal C-2 ı B. Gr. Site F

TS	3	1,000			OTHER APPLICABLE NON-RESIDENT
	New ssidertial ligh-Rise	Communical Interior	Commercial Alteration	Residential Alteration	Department before not apply when the services applicance in the project, Consideration of the victor of applicable in health in residential buildings, Continuous and applicable in health of the continuous and applications on the Access of the Park Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions and addition can be about the Park Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions or about the Park Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions or about the Park Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions or about the Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions or about the Park III Collection 2. Registrooms for editions of the Park III Collection 2. Registro
	1		1		Type of Project Proposed (Check box & spp(cable)
T	SEVER	SIMER	SALVER	SEVER	Recyclifting try Occasionaries: Provide source for allowing a paterior, and exacting of engage, members and trick (TOC 5,412, 1, or b). See Administrative Substitution files to
+	50	50 5/a	50	50	Energy Efficiency: Demonstrate a 19% onergy use and other compares to 2008. Cathoria Energy Care. Too Sc Part 6 (1906-2011-1)
\dagger		50	 		Bit yelle parking: Proces are three and ting her beyon parking for Palasi for microsolips incorporatedly each, or these Table Plantists Processing Order Sec. 155, with a section passers on 1655 and 555,45, 1555,55,556,56.
	······································	4 3 obs	Steet OSO		Prusi efficient vehicle and carpeol parting: Practs sal model to the entiring be efficient and carpeoling to which; appropriate the characteristics of the learns (Table 1982). Water Meters: Protect recommend to space projected to provide 10 (1885 golder) or 100 golders in busings one 10 (1885).
1	•		getiaanoe sisty	• [Entirer Water Efficiency: Pages word as a point acts when to being 19 for Indicated and among those faces, which other wide sizes, not unall full 5.50
-	•	• *	1.5 steros		Commit is along the read and may greated than 20,000 separal feet, consequences and be according to the consequence of the project for each best from the consequence of the project for each best fine. See that consequence of the project for each fine for each and the project for each fine for each fine for each and see the project for each fine fine for each fine for each fine fine fine fine fine fine fine fine
	në	sá	så	5.0	Printed that openings and mechanical equipment during constructions six a page six a Adhesives, adalants, and could be copy very not base a scales over the following about 100 could be set to compare the set of the resign about 100 could be set to compare the set of the resign about 100 could be set of the set of about 100 could be set of the set of about 100 could be set of the set of about 100 could be set of the set of about 100 could be set of the set of the set of about 100 could be set of the s
	Moe:	LEED prevenya	is tos		Paints and coaffings: Const, en NOC \$10 is twice Resurces book Arthoused Desires Sovership Control shapen and Satirma Cose of Registration 1881 The Servation (IRL 1885) \$564 to Competitive Congression and Constitution States 1, Competition (IRL 1885) \$1,000 to Satir States 1, Competition (IRL 1885) \$1,000 to Satir States States 1, Competition (IRL 1885) \$1,000 to Satir States States 1, Competition (IRL 1885) \$1,000 to Satir States States \$1,000 to Satir States States \$1,000 to Satir States \$1
d.			S DOTTED TO A CO.	2/43	 Cliff Willia Dispartment of Public Hearth Standard Practice the this leaving of VOICE (Specification of US);
į.	100	TANK	2.7	196	2. NOW Cardo CAC & the Open asso: 4. Specifies Confidence in Septembril Suprapple Chapte
		ak •	e*e		4N/J Gospet purchion must creal CRI Cheen Cates. AND Cooped attitudes must be exceed 50 of LACS, profession DRI 5 ROLA As Companies for which there can be transfer to the purchase the cates Cates And Takes Companies to the purchase the cates Cates And Takes Companies to the purchase the cates Cates And Takes Companies to the Cat
	•	•	•	•	Enablished flooring systems: For 50% of four user anothing soften four injuries and soften some soften four injuries and soften four injuries and soften four injuries and soften four four four four four four four four
	ia Familing	•	Ser.	eir	Environmental Telecucio Smoke, Protest sociale selle 25 feet of fail darg vides coloro di Intelio, anti proteite selecula (150 à 2043) Air Filtration; Persite at least 46,30% dises et repularly accument second
de T		•	24	par	Appulational Controls beginning (120.5.694.5 %) Appulational Controls Verilland surpless during \$10.56, patentin motions \$10.35 parents and toxic reference \$10.40 (1.50.5.50).
	na l	eć:	*	5ir	OF Os and Hallons: The detirated in judgment that portions of the or Hallons (1901), or
-					Additional Requirements for New A, B. F, OR M Occupancy Project
İ	32	•	45	r.>	Generalization Washe Management in Deat 1996 or electrons a sea normal or 35 fee 10% may fair required by the San Journal of Completion 1 Dentation Debter Ordered.
	•	rál	u)	169	Renowable Energy or Entranced Energy Efficiency Effective Industry (, 2012, Generals are not energy arrans about a city of sold arrans sees; cont \$1500 GMC, GR
a	202	_		*** 1	derivatives a restrictive ICS carry uso no state just of 25% compared to 55% 2

TIAL PROJECTS Other New >2,000 sq n
Non- OR
Residential Alteration
>5500,000 her . Titleblock Name . . Project Rest (Stocker esu • • ts 5,070 - 25,000 Square Feet Mere CSD He

ALL DRYER AND RATHROOM VENTS SHALL BE ROLLTED HORIZONTALLY WITH OUTLIETS AT LIGHTWELL

-ENVIRONMENTAL EXHAUSTS TERMINATE TYPICALLY MINIMUM OF 3'-0" FROM OPENINGS OR PROPERTY LINE

WATERPROOFING:
-ALL SHEET METAL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT EDITION OF S.M.A.C.N.A. STANDARDS.

-PROVIDE GALYANIZED SHEET METAL FLASHING AT ALL WINDOW AND DOOR HEADS. INSTALL UNDER EXTERIOR SIDING OR CRIENT PLASTER AND BUILDING PAPER, AND OVER HEAD FRAME OF A LL NEW DOORS AND WINDOWS PROVIDE ADDITIONAL FLASHING MEMBRIANE PER STANDARD WINDOW FLASHING DETAIL AROUND ALL WINDOW AND DOOR OPENINGS.

-PROVIDE GALVANIZED SHEET METAL FLASHING AT ALL ROOF CONDITIONS INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: PERIMETER EDGES, VALLEYS, PARAPET CAPS, WALL / ROOF INTERSECTIONS, ROOF PENETRATIONS, ETC.

-ALL NEW EXTERIOR FINISHES TO BE INSTALLED OVER A MINIMUM MOISTURE BARRIER OF OF TWO LAYERS OF 15 POUND (GRADE D) BUILDING PAPER.

FIRE EXTINGUISHING / FIRE ALARM SYSTEM (FIRE SPRINKLERS):
-CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE COMPLETE AUTOMATIC FIRE SPINKLER SYSTEM TO COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE
BUILDING AND FIRE CODES THE SYSTEM SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO: ALL SPRINKLER HEADS, PIPING,
CONNECTIONS, FIRE DEPARTMENT PANELS, ALARMS, PULL STATIONS, VISUAL WARNING DEVICES, AUTOMATIC SYSTEM
MONITORING CONNECTION (AS REQUIRED) AND ALL ELSE AS REQUIRED.

-THIS WORK SHALL BE UNDER A SERABATE PERMIT. THE SYSTEM SHALL BE DESIGN-BUILD, REQUIRING A COMPLETE SET OF CALCULATIONS AND DRAWINGS. AS NECESSARY FOR BUILDING AND FIRE DEPARTMENT REVIEW / APPROVAL.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT NOTES

PROJECT LOCATION: 2320 SUTTER STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA, BLOCK 1501-LOT 034.

ZONING DISTRICT: NC-3 DISTRICT

HEIGHT / BULK LIMIT: 40-X PROPOSED: 40'-0" BUILDING

SETBACKS: FULL COVERAGE ALLOWED.

USABLE OPEN SPACE: 80 SF PER DWELLING UNIT REQUIRED: NO DWELLING UNITS PROVIDED

FLOOR AREA RATIO (F.A.R.): BASIC FLOOR AREA RATIO FOR NC-3 IS $3.6\,\mathrm{TO}$ 1 LOT AREA IS $10,000\,\mathrm{SF}$ ($10,000\,\mathrm{X}$ 3.6=36,000)

ALLOWABLE F.A.R: 36,000 SF

GROSS BUILDING AREA

FIRST FLO4OR:
PARKING:
EXTERIOR CIRCULATION:
EXISTING BUILDING AREA:
NEW COMMON AREA: 4,859 SF EXCLUDED FROM GROSS AREA 305 SF EXCLUDED FROM GROSS AREA 4,155 SF NEW COMMON AREA: GROSS FLOOR AREA: SECOND FLOOR: EXISTING BUILDING AREA: NEW OFFICE AREA: NEW COMMON AREA: 296 SF 4,460 SF

290 SF 7,600 SF **GROSS FLOOR AREA:** THIRD FLOOR:
NEW BUILDING AREA:
NEW COMMON AREA:
GROSS FLOOR AREA: 2,430 SF 657 SF 3,087 SF

TOTALGROSS FLOOR AREA: 15,147 SF 15,147 SF < 346,000 SF BUILDING COMPLIES

GROSS FLOOR AREA & OCCUPIED FLOOR AREA

EXISTING:
FIRST FLOOR 4,164 SF
SECOND FLOOR: 5,075 SF
TOTAL 9,239 SF

BLOCK AND LOT: BLOCK 1501 LOT 034

BUILDING DEPARTMENT NOTES

APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES: 2010 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE W/SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS, 2010 MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND FIRE CODES.

OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION: GROUP B, THREE THREE FLOOR OF


SPRINKLER WORK: SPRINKLER WORK SHALL BE UNDER SEPARATE

BUSINESS OFFICE, WITH GROUND FLOOR PARKING GROUP

CONSTRUCTION TYPE: TYPE V-A, 1 HOUR, WOOD FRAME

PARCEL MAP BLOCK 1501 LOT 034

VICINITY MAP



SCOPE OF WORK

REMODEL OF EXISTING OFFICE BUILDING EXPANSION OF SECOND FLOOR OFFICE SPACE OVER EXISTING PARKING AREA. ADDITION OF THIRD FLOOR OFFICE SPACE AND RESTROOM. RELOCATON OF EXISTING STAIR FOR NEW ELEVATOR AND ADDITION OF TWO NEW STAIRS FOR EGRESS.

DRAWING INDEX

COVER SHEET
SITE PHOTOS
RENDERINGS
EXISTING SITE PLAN
PROPOSED SITE PLAN
PROPOSED SITE PLAN
EXISTING FLOOR PLANS
EXISTING FRONT & REAR ELEVATIONS
EXISTING FRONT & REAR ELEVATIONS
EXISTING STREET ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS
EXISTING STREET ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS
EXISTING REAR ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS
PROPOSED FIRST & SECOND FLOOR PLANS
PROPOSED SOUTH (FRONT) ELEVATION
PROPOSED SOUTH (FRONT) ELEVATION
PROPOSED AST (SIDE) ELEVATIONBUILDING
PROPOSED WEST (SIDE) ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS
BUILDING SECTION

Rev./Issue.

 \overline{S} α

Ш

8

ш

REMODEL 2320 SUTTER STR SAN FRANCISCO, (

Ä. S








LOOKING NORTH



LOOKING SOUTHEAST




LOOKING WEST






LOOKING SOUTH




LOOKING NORTHWEST




LOOKING EAST



LOOKING SOUTHWEST




RENDERING NORTH/EAST VIEW




REMODEL 2320 SUTTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA


















Rev./Issue. Date 09/11/12






REMODEL 2320 SUTTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA


EXISTING SUTTER ST ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS



























PROPOSED EAST (SIDE) ELEVATION

REMODEL 2320 SUTTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA

			١
			┙
Rev./Issu	18.	Dat	е
CU		03/15/	13
			٦
			1
			7
			7
			╛
			┪
		-	┪
Date:	09/	11/12	┪
Scale:	1/4"=1'-0"		┑
Orawn:	DS		╛
Sheet:			┑
•	7	1	1






PROPOSED SUTTER STREET ELEVATION


Date: 09/11/12
Scale: 1/4"=1'-0"
Drawn: DS
Sheet:

REMODEL 2320 SUTTER STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA PROPOSED SUTTER ST ELEVATION WITH ADJACENT BUILDINGS









VICTOR LYAPIS, M.D.

2320 Sutter St, Suite #101 San Francisco, CA. 94115
Tel: (415) 771-4072 Fax: (415)928-1311
License # A054424

April 22, 2013

2320 Sutter LLC 2320 Sutter Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94115

Attn: Ellie Samimi, Building Manager

Dear Ms. Samimi:

We are interested in leasing additional space at your new addition at this building. Please consider us for this proposal. As you know my practice is expanding and we need additional room for our patients and staff but we do not need any extra parking space.

Sincerely,

Victor Lyapis MD

Mark J. Sontag, M.D. Sports, Spine and Electrodiagnostic Medicine Diplomate, Board of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Diplomate, American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine Qualified Medical Evaluator

Susan G. Kritzik, M.D. Physical and Occupational Medicine Diplomate, Board of Internal Medicine

Carol Corley, N.P.



David F. Smolins, M.D. Interventional Pain Medicine

Diplomate, American Board of Anesthesiology with added certification in Pain Medicine Qualified Medical Evaluator

Elaine S. Date, M.D.

Musculoskeletal, Spine and Electrodiagnostic Medicine Diplomate, Board of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Diplomate, American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine Qualified Medical Evaluator

Team Spine & Pain Consultants for the Oakland Raiders, San Jose Sharks, and San Jose Earthquakes

April 23, 2013

Dear Ellie Samimi/2320 Sutter LLC

I would like to discuss the options available to using the space located at 2320 Sutter Street, Ste.202.

My San Francisco practice is growing and was hoping to utilize Sutter Street location accordingly.

I would not require additional parking and satisfied with my current arrangement. Please advise my Operations Manager John Camacho at your convenience.

Sincerely.

David Smolins M.D.

Inessa Svidler, MD 2320 Sutter Street, Suite #203 San Francisco, CA 94115

appil 23, 2013 to 2320 suffer the

PE: Hem Lease

my tease is expressing in account to worder line to repotiate new tease with one parking spot.

n neeself

Inc



RAYSHAD OSHTORY, M.D., M.B.A.

PACIFIC HEIGHTS SPINE CENTER

ORTHOPÆDICS & SPINE SURGERY

T: 415.737.0555 F: 415.737.0595

April 24, 2013

Ellie Samimi 2320 Sutter Street, LLC 2320 Sutter Street, Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94115

RE: Proposed addition to 2320 Sutter Street

Dear Ellie:

Thank you for your excellent work as a property manager over the last 2 years that I have been a tenant in this building. My medical practice is expanding, and I will soon be in need of additional office space. The proposed addition to the building would likely suit my needs quite well.

Sincerely,

Rayshad Oshtory, MD, MBA