Executive Summary Proposed Planning Code Amendments to Articles 10 and 11

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 2, 2011 (Continued from the December 8, 2011 Public Hearing)

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Project Name: Planning Code Amendments: Articles 10 & 11

410.000.0403

Case Number: 2011.0167<u>T</u>

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org Tim Frye, Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Recommend Approval

Please Note: The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) will consider the same item at their February 1, 2012 hearing. Any action taken by the HPC will be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors, and will be relayed to this commission on the date of the February 2, 2012 hearing.

PLANNING CODE AMENDMENT

Reviewed by:

This case concerns the Planning Code Amendments to Articles 10 and 11.

On July 8, 2010, the Planning Commission initiated a text change to the Planning Code as part of the regular "Code Clean-Up" legislation. Included in this initiation were Planning Code changes intended to make the Code consistent with Charter Section 4.135, which establishes the Historic Preservation Commission. As noted in the July 8, 2010 initiation packet:

The Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") was created in the fall of 2008. Articles 10 and 11 are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings and have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. At the request of the Planning Commission and the HPC, the Department is proposing amendments to these two Articles. These revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135. There will not be any substantive changes to the Planning Code; the amendments will only remove references to the former Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and where appropriate, the Planning Commission, to reflect the Charter.¹

¹ "Case No. 2010.0080T Executive Summary for Initiation of Planning Code Changes," available online at: http://sf-planning.org/ftp/files/Commission/CPCPackets/2010.0080t.pdf (October 18, 2011)

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

In order to provide more time for discussion regarding proposed changes to Articles 10 and 11, the Planning Commission severed Articles 10 and 11 from the so-called "Code Clean Up" legislation. The Code Clean-Up legislation moved on to the Board of Supervisors without addressing proposed changes to Articles 10 and 11.

A parallel review process was initiated by the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) in July, 2010. During a series of public hearings between July and December, 2010, the HPC drafted revisions to Planning Code Articles 10 and 11. The City Attorney's office has reviewed the amendments to both Articles 10 and 11 as drafted by the HPC and has made suggested revisions on the drafts in order to approve them as-to-form. At its October 19, 2011 hearing, the HPC passed Resolution Number 666 recommending approval of Article 10 as amended. At its November 2, 2011 hearing, the HPC passed Resolution Number 667 recommending approval of Article 11 as amended. In addition, Supervisor Wiener has proposed additional amendments - not all of which have been reviewed by the HPC at this time - to Articles 10 and 11.

The Way It Is Now:

The proposed Ordinance would significantly amend Articles 10 and 11 of the Planning Code (hereafter referred to as "Code") in order to conform to Charter Section 4.135, which established the Historic Preservation Commission. The proposed Ordinance would replace all references to the former Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board (LPAB) with the Historic Preservation Commission, would amend procedures such as noticing, recommendations to the Board of Supervisors, and landmark and landmark district designation processes, as well as re-classification of buildings subject to Article 11. Below is a summary of the primary topics proposed for amendment, which includes:

- Designations, review of applications, scheduling and notice, appeals, and applicability;
- Economic hardship and fee waivers for Certificates of Appropriateness;
- Community input for historic district designations;
- Local interpretations of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

The full extent of the proposed changes is included in the attached redlined draft Ordinances for Articles 10 and 11. The attached draft Ordinances show both the amendments proposed by the HPC, and the additional amendments proposed by Supervisor Wiener. Please note that for the most part, when changes have been made to Article 10 that are also applicable to Article 11,

Section 1004.1 - Initiation of Designation, Section 1004.2 Referral Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, Section 1004.3 - Hearing by the City Planning Commission, Section 1004.4 -Designation by the Board of Supervisors.

The existing Article 10 allows for the initiation of an individual landmark by five bodies: the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission, the Arts Commission, the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, or the individual property owner. Historic districts may be initiated by a similar list of sponsors: the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission, the Arts Commission, the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, or 66% of property owners in the proposed district. Any initiation is forwarded to the LPAB for their recommendation, which is

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

then forwarded to the Planning Commission for its recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors may approve or modify and approve the designation.

Section 1006.1 – Applications for Certificate of Appropriateness

The existing Section 1006.1(e) allows the Department to combine applications, notices, and hearings for projects that require both Conditional Use Authorization and a Certificate of Appropriateness. These projects are to be heard by the Planning Commission.

• Section 1006.2 – Review by Department of City Planning and City Planning Commission

Under the current Article 10, the Department reviews with the LPAB applications for alterations to individual landmarks or to buildings within historic districts. If the LPAB finds that the proposal would be a significant impact, it refers the permit to the Planning Commission for its review. For applications for demolition or new construction, the permit is referred to the Planning Commission.

• Section 1006.3 – Scheduling and Notice of Hearing

Currently, no notice is required, except for applications for Certificates of Appropriateness that are referred to the Planning Commission. In those cases, a 20-day newspaper ad is required, as is a mailed notice to owners 10-days prior to the hearing.

Section 1006.7 – Standards for Review of Applications

The current version of Article 10 requires that the Planning Commission and the Department, in their consideration of applications for Certificates of Appropriateness, be guided by standards that are outlined in this section, that focus on compatibility. There is no explicit reference to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

• Section 1006.8 – Appeals from Planning Commission Decision

Decisions made by the Planning Commission regarding Certificates of Appropriateness may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days of the date of action.

• Section 1014 – Applicability

In the existing Article 10, no application for a permit to construct, alter, or demolish any structure on a proposed landmark site may be approved once an application has been filed to designated the site or district in which it is located.

• Section 1111.7 – Permits for Signs

In the existing Article 11, this Section relates to permits for new signs. The HPC has proposed modifications that would re-write this Section so that it addresses applications for demolition.

The Way It Would Be:

Below is a summary of how the proposed Ordinance would amend the following major Sections within the Code:

 Section 1004.1 – Nomination and Initiation of Designation Landmark and Historic District Designation, 1004.2 – Decision by the Historic Preservation Commission, and 1004.3 – Designation by the Board of Supervisors.

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

The HPC-proposed amendment would allow the Planning Department, property owner, or any member of the public to request that the HPC vote to initiate landmark designation. Supervisor Wiener's proposed amendment would retain the requirement outlined in the existing Article 10, which requires, in the case of a proposed historic district designation, that the nomination be subscribed by 66% of the property owners in the proposed historic district. As outlined in the HPC-proposed amendment, the initiation of a designation may be made by resolution of the Board of Supervisors or by resolution of the HPC.

If the HPC, at its initiation hearing, recommends approval of an individual landmark designation, that recommendation will be forwarded directly to the Board of Supervisors for its consideration, and will not be forwarded to the Planning Commission. If the HPC, at its initiation hearing, recommends approval of an historic district designation, that recommendation will be forwarded first to the Planning Commission for its recommendation, and then on to the Board of Supervisors for its consideration.

Supervisor Wiener has proposed an additional modification, which would require that in its review of an historic district designation, the Planning Commission's recommendation will include findings regarding the district's consistency with the General Plan, and specifically policies that encourage the production of housing and transit-oriented development.

If the HPC, at its initiation hearing, disapproves designation of an individual landmark or historic district, that decision is final unless it is appealed.

The Board of Supervisors will consider any initiated designation of an individual landmark or historic district, and may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove the designation. Supervisor Wiener has recommended a modification that would require, in the case of proposed historic districts that the Planning Department conduct outreach to invite all property owners to express their opinion on the nomination, with a goal of obtaining the participation of at least 50% of property owners within the proposed district.

Section 1005(e)(4)

This is a new subsection proposed by Supervisor Wiener, which states that when an application is made for a permit for work on a sidewalk or street within a designated historic district, the processes outlined in Article 10 do not apply unless the streets and sidewalks of the district have been explicitly called out as character-defining features in the designating ordinance.

Section 1006.1 – Applications for Certificate of Appropriateness

As amended by the HPC, Section 1006.1(e) would require that for projects that require multiple approvals in addition to the Certificate of Appropriateness, the HPC would first review and act on the Certificate of Appropriateness prior to any other planning approval. For projects that require Conditional Use Authorization or permit review under Section 309, and that do not concern individually designated structures (i.e., for projects that are located within historic districts), the Planning Commission may modify the decision of the HPC on the Certificate of Appropriateness with a 2/3 vote.

Supervisor Wiener has proposed a further amendment that would require that, when the Planning Commission modifies decisions by the HPC in the cases outlined above, the Planning

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

Commission takes into account all relevant General Plan and Planning Code policies in addition to all applicable historic resource provisions of the Code.

In addition, Supervisor Wiener has proposed a new subsection 1006.1(f) that would establish Permit and Application Fee Waivers to waive all or part of fees associated with Certificates of Appropriateness in cases of economic hardship. In addition, fees for Certificates of Appropriateness would be waived for permit applications for City-owned properties.

• Section 1006.2 – Review by Planning Department

The revised Article 10 outlines a process by which the HPC may delegate to the Department specific scopes of work to the Planning Department for its review and approval. These "Administrative" Certificates of Appropriateness do not require notification or a public hearing before the HPC. This function is currently not allowed under the existing Article 10 but is allowed under Article 11.

• Section 1006.3 – Scheduling and Notice of Hearing

The revised Article 10, as outlined above, eliminates the requirement that Certificates of Appropriateness for alteration permits be referred to the Planning Commission. In addition, the revised Article 10 consolidates the notification procedures and timeline for HPC hearings for Certificates of Appropriateness, and eliminates the requirement for notice in the newspaper.

The HPC-proposed amendments would provide mailed notice for applications within historic districts to owners and occupants within 300 feet of the subject property. Supervisor Wiener's proposed amendment would reduce that radius to within 150 feet of the subject property.

• Section 1006.6 Standards for Review of Applications.

This section has been re-numbered from 1006.7 to 1006.6. The HPC-proposed amendments require that the HPC, the Department, and in the case of multiple approvals, the Planning Commission, shall be ensure that applications for proposed work are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

Supervisor Wiener has recommended alternative language that would require that the HPC or Planning Commission shall consider whether the proposed work is consistent with the *Standards*, as interpreted by the Department in Guidelines, Interpretations, or Bulletins adopted by the HPC and the Planning Commission. Development of these local interpretations of the *Standards* would be a public process led by the Planning Department.

In addition, Supervisor Wiener has proposed the addition of new subsections 1006.6(g) and (h), which would further address economic hardship. The proposed new subsection 1006.6(g) would require that, for projects proposed by public agencies or for City-owned properties, the Department and the HPC shall consider the relevant public agency's mission and constraints in considering the application. The new subsection 1006.6(h) would apply to applications for permits win RH, RM, RTO and NC districts, and would allow an exemption from the requirements of Section 1006.6 (conformance with the *Standards*) when conformance would create a significant economic hardship, provided that the scope of the project does not include demolition, fees have been waived pursuant to Section 1006.1, and the Zoning Administrator has determined that all other aspects of the project are Code-complying. Finally, for undeveloped or

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

vacant lots, or non-contributors within historic districts, an exemption from the requirements of 1006.6 (conformance with the *Standards*) is also available.

• Section 1006.7 – Appeals of a Certificate of Appropriateness

This section has been renumbered from 1006.8 to 1006.7. The HPC has proposed modifying this section such that decisions on Certificates of Appropriateness may be appealed to the Board of Appeals rather than the Board of Supervisors. In cases that include Conditional Use Authorizations or approval by the Board of Supervisors, the decision may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote.

• Section 1014 – Applicability

As revised by the HPC, no permit may be approved for one year after a resolution is passed initiating designation or confirming nomination of a proposed landmark or district. The HPC or the Board of Supervisors may further extend this time period for up to 180 days. However, work may be approved on such sites with pending designations, provided a Certificate of Appropriateness is granted for the work.

Supervisor Wiener has proposed an amendment to the changes recommended by the HPC, which would prohibit work on sites with pending designations for 180 days, rather than one year. His amendments would allow the Board of Supervisors to extend this period for up to 90 days.

• Section 1111.7 – Standards and Requirements for Review of Applications for Demolition

The existing Article 11 outlines a higher level of review for the demolition of Significant Buildings (Categories I and II buildings within the C-3 zoning districts). However, for Contributory Buildings that **have not sold TDR** (Categories III and IV buildings within the C-3 zoning districts), the criteria were less stringent. Under the existing Article 11 if a Contributory Building **has sold its TDR**, it is reviewed with the same criteria as if it were a Significant Building (since the property owner has already received a financial gain through the sale of their TDR).

The HPC has proposed modifications that would change the criteria for evaluation of permits to demolish. For Significant Buildings (Categories I and II) and for Contributory Buildings (Categories III and IV) that have sold their TDR, the HPC may approve the demolition provided it makes findings that the property retains no substantial market or reasonable use, or if an imminent safety hazard has been identified with demolition as the only feasible means to secure public safety. For Contributory Buildings (Categories III and IV) from which no TDR has been transferred, a demolition may be approved using the same findings as those listed above, or findings that because of the physical condition of the structure, rehabilitation and reuse will not meet the goals and objectives of the project, that the replacement building is compatible with the district in which the structure is located, and that specific economic, social, and other benefits of the replacement building outweigh the benefit conferred through the historic preservation of the structure. Finally, for any Category V (Not Rated) building within a conservation district, demolition may be approved if the building has not gained historic significance since the time of its rating and that the proposed replacement building is compatible with the district.

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Proposed Planning Code Amendments Relating to Articles 10 and 11

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTIONS

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may approve or disapprove the proposed Planning Code Amendments, and forward its recommendation on to the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission recommend *approval* of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed amendment is exempt from environmental review under Section 15060(c)(2) of the CEQA Guidelines.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Since the distribution of correspondence with the October 27, 2011 informational hearing packets, the Department has received two additional letters, one from San Francisco Architectural Heritage, and one from SPUR.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation of Approval to forward to the Board of Supervisors

Attachments:

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinances for Articles 10 and 11

Exhibit B: Draft Planning Commission Resolutions: Recommending Approval of Amendments to

the Planning Code Articles 10 and 11

1	[Planning C	ode—Article	10]			
2						
3		Ordinance amending Article 10 of the San Francisco Planning Code in its entirety;				
4	making env	vironmental f	indings and findings	of consistency with	the General Plan and	
5	Planning C	ode Section	101.1(b).			
6		NOTE:	deletions are strike th	underline italics Times New Prough italics Times New Additions are double-ur	v Roman .	
7				eletions are strikethro		
8	Be it	ordained by t	he People of the City a	nd County of San Fra	ncisco:	
9	Secti	on 1. Finding	s. The Board of Super	visors of the City and	County of San Francisco	
10	hereby finds	s and determi	nes that:			
11	(a)	General Pla	ın and Planning Code F	- indings.		
12	(1)	On	at a duly notic	ed public hearing, the	Planning Commission	
13	in Resolutio	n No	found that	the proposed Plannin	g Code amendments	
14	contained in	this ordinand	ce were consistent with	the City's General Pl	an and with Planning	
15	Code Section 101.1(b). In addition, the Planning Commission recommended that the Boar				mended that the Board	
of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning Code amendments. A copy of said Ro			opy of said Resolution is			
17 18	on file with t	the Clerk of th	e Board of Supervisors	s in File No	and is	
	incorporated	d herein by re	ference. The Board fin	ds that the proposed	Planning Code	
19	amendment	ts contained ir	n this ordinance are on	balance consistent w	ith the City's General	
20	Plan and wi	th Planning C	ode Section 101.1(b) fo	or the reasons set fort	h in said Resolution.	
21	(2)	Pursuant to	Planning Code Section	n 302, the Board finds	that the proposed	
22	ordinance w	vill serve the p	oublic necessity, conver	nience and welfare for	the reasons set forth in	
23	Planning Co	ommission Re	solution No	, which reaso	ons are incorporated	
24	herein by reference as though fully set forth.					
25						

1	(b) Historic Preservation Commission Findings. On at a duly
2	noticed public hearing, the Historic Preservation Commission in Resolution No.
3	recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning
4	Code amendments. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
5	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference.
6	(c) Environmental Findings. The Planning Department has determined that the
7	actions contemplated in this Ordinance are in compliance with the California Environmental
8	Quality Act (California Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.). Said determination is
9	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No and is
10	incorporated herein by reference.
11	
12	Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Article
13	10, to read as follows:
14	ARTICLE 10: PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURAL AND
15	AESTHETIC LANDMARKS
16	Sec. 1001. Purposes.
17	Sec. 1002. Powers and Duties of <u>Planning</u> Department of <u>City Planning</u> and <u>City</u>
18	Planning Historic Preservation Commission.
19	Sec. 1003. Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Historic Preservation Commission.
20	Sec. 1004. Designation of Landmarks and Historic Districts.
21	Sec. 1004.1. Nomination and Initiation of Landmark and Historic District Designation.
22	Sec. 1004.2. Referral to Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board. Decision by the Historic
23	Preservation Commission.
24	Sec. 1004.3. Hearing by City Planning Commission. Sec. 1004.4. Designation by Board of
25	Supervisors.

1	Sec. 1004.5-1004.4. Appeal to Board of Supervisors.
2	Sec. 1004.6 1004.5. Notice of Designation by Board of Supervisors.
3	Sec. 1004.7 1004.6. Notice of Amendment or Rescission of Designation.
4	Sec. 1005. Conformity and Permits.
5	Sec. 1006. Certificate of Appropriateness Required.
6	Sec. 1006.1. Applications for Certificate of Appropriateness.
7	Sec. 1006.2. Review by Planning Department of City Planning and City Planning
8	Commission.
9	Sec. 1006.3. Scheduling and Notice of Hearing.
10	Sec. 1006.4. Referral to Advisory Board Prior to Hearing. Sec. 1006.5. Conduct of Hearing;
11	Decision.
12	Sec. 1006.6 1006.5. Nature of Planning Historic Preservation Commission Decision.
13	Sec. 1006.7 1006.6. Standards for Review of Applications.
14	Sec. 1006.81006.7. Appeals from Planning Commission Decision of a Certificate of
15	<u>Appropriateness</u> .
16	Sec. 1007. Unsafe or Dangerous Conditions.
17	Sec. 1008. Compliance with Maintenance Requirements.
18	Sec. 1009. Advice and Guidance to Property Owners.
19	Sec. 1010. Property Owned by Public Agencies.
20	Sec. 1011. Recognition of Structures of Merit.
21	Sec. 1012. Referral of Certain Matters.
22	Sec. 1013. Enforcement and Penalties.
23	Sec. 1014. Applicability.
24	Sec. 1015. Severability.
25	Appendix A List of Designated Landmarks.

1	Appendix B Jackson Square Historic District.
2	Appendix C Webster Street Historic District.
3	Appendix D Northeast Waterfront Historic District.
4	Appendix E Alamo Square Historic District.
5	Appendix F Liberty-Hill Historic District.
6	Appendix G Telegraph Hill Historic District.
7	Appendix H Blackstone Court Historic District.
8	Appendix I South End Historic District.
9	Appendix J Civic Center Historic District.
10	Appendix K Bush Street-Cottage Row Historic District.
11	Appendix L Dogpatch Historic District.
12	
13	SEC. 1001. PURPOSES.
14	It is hereby found that structures, sites and areas of special character or special
15	historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value have been and continue to be
16	unnecessarily destroyed or impaired, despite the feasibility of preserving them. It is further
17	found that the prevention of such needless destruction and impairment is essential to the
18	health, safety and general welfare of the public. The purpose of this legislation is to promote
19	the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:
20	(a) The protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of structures, sites and
21	areas that are reminders of past eras, events and persons important in local, State or national

history, or which provide significant examples of architectural styles of the past or are

landmarks in the history of architecture, or which are unique and irreplaceable assets to the

City and its neighborhoods, or which provide for this and future generations examples of the

physical surroundings in which past generations lived;

22

23

24

1	(b)	The development and maintenance of appropriate settings and environment for
2	such structu	ures, and in such sites and areas;
3	(c)	The enhancement of property values, the stabilization of neighborhoods and
4	areas of the	e City, the increase of economic and financial benefits to the City and its
5	inhabitants,	and the promotion of tourist trade and interest;
6	(d)	The preservation and encouragement of a City of varied architectural styles,
7	reflecting th	e distinct phases of its history: cultural, social, economic, political and architectural
8	and	
9	(e)	The enrichment of human life in its educational and cultural dimensions in order
10	to serve spi	ritual as well as material needs, by fostering knowledge of the living heritage of the
11	past.	
12		
13	SEC	. 1002. POWERS AND DUTIES OF <u>PLANNING</u> DEPARTMENT OF CITY
14	PLANNING	AND CITY PLANNING HISTORIC PRESERVATION-COMMISSION.
15	The <u>.</u>	Planning Department of City Planning (hereinafter referred to as the "Department")
16	and the <i>Plai</i>	nning Commission Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") shall have and exercise
17	the powers	and shall perform the duties set forth in this Section and elsewhere in this Article
18	10 with resp	pect to historical preservation. The Department and the Planning Commission shall be
19	advised in th	e exercise and performance of their powers and duties by the Landmarks Preservation
20	Advisory Boo	ard hereinafter created.
21	(a)	The <i>Planning Commission HPC</i> :
22	(1)	Shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors, after public hearing, on the
23	designation	of landmarks and historic districts, as more fully set forth in Section 1004.3 below in

this Article 10;

24

1	(2)	Shall in appropriate cases, after public hearing, review and decide on applications
2	for construc	tion, alteration, demolition and other applications pertaining to landmark sites and
3	historic dist	ricts, as more fully set forth below in this Article 10;
4	(3)	May take steps to encourage or bring about preservation of structures or other
5	features wh	ere the <i>Planning Commission HPC</i> has decided to suspend action on an application,
6	as more full	y set forth in Section 1006.6 below; <i>and</i>
7	(4)	May establish and maintain a list of structures and other features deemed
8	deserving o	f official recognition although not designated as landmarks or historic districts, and
9	take approp	riate measures of recognition, as more fully set forth in Section 1011 below;
10	<u>(5)</u>	Shall have the authority to review and comment upon environmental documents under
11	the Californi	a Environmental Quality Act and the National Environmental Policy Act for proposed
12	projects that	may have an impact on historic or cultural resources;
13	<u>(6)</u>	Shall act as the City's local historic preservation review commission for the purposes of
14	the Certified	Local Government Program, may recommend properties for inclusion in the National
15	Register of H	listoric Places, and may review and comment on federal undertakings where authorized
16	under the Na	tional Historic Preservation Act;
17	<u>(7)</u>	Shall review and comment upon any agreements proposed under the National Historic
18	Preservation	Act where the City is a signatory prior to any approval action on such agreement;
19	<u>(8)</u>	Shall have the authority to oversee and direct the survey and inventory of historic
20	<u>properties W</u>	hich surveys shall be carried out with robust community engagement and pursuan
21	to clearly se	et out, broadly available published procedures:
22	<u>(9)</u>	Shall review and provide written reports to the Planning Commission and Board of
23	Supervisors of	on ordinances and resolutions concerning historic preservation issues and historic
24	resources, re	development project plans, waterfront land use and project plans, and such other matters

as may be prescribed by ordinance;

1	(10)	Shall have the authority to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of		
2	historical pro	operty contracts pursuant to the state Mills Act to the Board of Supervisors, without		
3	<u>referral or re</u>	commendation of the Planning Commission; and		
4	<u>(11)</u>	Shall recommend to the Planning Commission a Preservation Element of the General		
5	Plan, shall pe	eriodically recommend to the Planning Commission proposed amendments to such		
6	<u>Preservation</u>	Element of the General Plan, and shall comment and provide recommendations to the		
7	Planning Con	mmission and the Board of Supervisors on other objectives, policies and provisions of the		
8	General Plan and special area, neighborhood, and other plans designed to carry out the General Plan,			
9	and proposed amendments thereto, that are not contained within such Preservation Element but			
10	concern histo	pric preservation.		
11	(b)	The Department and the <i>Planning Commission HPC</i> :		
12	(1)	May carry out, assist and collaborate in studies and programs designed to		
13	identify and	evaluate structures, sites and areas worthy of preservation;		
14	(2)	May consult with and consider the ideas and recommendations of civic groups,		
15	public agen	cies, and citizens interested in historical preservation;		
16	(3)	May inspect and investigate structures, sites and areas which they have reason		
17	to believe w	orthy of preservation;		
18	(4)	May disseminate information to the public concerning those structures, sites and		
19	areas deem	ed worthy of preservation, and may encourage and advise property owners in the		
20	protection, e	enhancement, perpetuation and use of landmarks, property in historic districts, and		
21	other officia	lly recognized property of historical interest;		
22	(5)	May consider methods other than those provided for in this Article 10 for		

encouraging and achieving historical preservation, and make appropriate recommendations to

the Board of Supervisors and to other bodies and agencies, both public and private; and

23

24

1	(6) May establish such policies, rules and regulations as they deem necessary to
2	administer and enforce this Article 10 and Charter Section 4.135 establishing the HPC.
3	
4	SEC. 1003. LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD HISTORIC
5	<u>PRESERVATION COMMISSION</u> .
6	There is hereby created a Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board (hereinafter referred to as
7	the "Advisory Board"), which shall advise the Department and the Planning Commission on historical
8	preservation matters. The Advisory Board shall consist of nine voting members appointed by the Mayor
9	and serving at his pleasure, without salary. Of the original appointments, five shall be for a four-year
10	term and four for a two-year term; after the expiration of the said original terms, all appointments shall
11	be for four-year terms. In addition, the Art Commission shall choose one of its members to be an ex
12	officio member of the Advisory Board, without vote.
13	(a) In making appointments, the Mayor may consult persons and organizations interested in
14	historical preservation. Appointees to the Advisory board shall be persons specially qualified by reason
15	of training or experience in the historic and cultural traditions of the City, and interested in the
16	preservation of its historic structures, sites and areas. The voting members shall be residents of the
17	City.
18	In November of 2008, the electorate approved Charter Section 4.135, creating the HPC to
19	advise the City on historic preservation matters, participate in processes involving historic and cultura
20	resources, and take such other actions concerning historic preservation as may be prescribed by this
21	Code and other ordinances. Charter Section 4.135 sets forth the requirements for membership to the
22	HPC, as well as applicable nomination procedures and term limits for Commissioners. Additionally,
23	Charter Section 4.135 establishes staffing for the HPC and sets forth the HPC's role in the Planning
24	Department's budget process and establishment of rates, fees, and similar charges. Additional

1	requirements, including those related to the establishment of rules and regulations for the HPC's
2	organization and procedure, are set forth in Charter Sections 4.100 through 4.104.
3	(b) The Director of City Planning, or his delegate, shall serve as Secretary of the Advisory
4	Board, without vote. The Department shall render staff assistance to the Advisory Board.
5	(c) The Advisory Board shall elect a Chairman from among its voting members, and shall
6	establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure.
7	
8	SEC. 1004. DESIGNATION OF LANDMARKS AND HISTORIC DISTRICTS.
9	(a) The HPC shall have the authority to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification
10	of landmark designations and historic district designations under this Code to the Board of
11	<u>Supervisors.</u> Pursuant to the procedures set forth hereinafter:
12	(1) The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, designate an individual structure
13	or other feature or an integrated group of structures and features on a single lot or site, having
14	a special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value, as a
15	landmark, and shall designate a landmark site for each landmark; and
16	(2) The Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, designate an area containing a
17	number of structures having a special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic
18	interest or value, and constituting a distinct section of the City, as a historic district.
19	(b) Each such designating ordinance shall include, or shall incorporate by reference
20	to the pertinent resolution of the <i>Planning Commission</i> HPC then on file with the Clerk of the
21	Board of Supervisors, as though fully set forth in such designating ordinance, the location and
22	boundaries of the landmark site or historic district, a description of the characteristics of the

landmark or historic district that justify its designation, and a description of the particular

features that should be preserved. Any such designation shall be in furtherance of and in

conformance with the purposes of this Article 10 and the standards set forth herein.

23

24

- (c) The property included in any such designation shall upon designation be subject to the controls and standards set forth in this Article 10. In addition, the said property shall be subject to the following further controls and standards if imposed by the designating ordinance:
 - (1) For a publicly-owned landmark, review of proposed changes to significant interior architectural features.
 - (2) For a privately-owned landmark, review of proposed changes requiring a permit to significant interior architectural features in those areas of the landmark that are or historically have been accessible to members of the public. The designating ordinance must clearly describe each significant interior architectural feature subject to this restriction.
 - (3) For a historic district, such further controls and standards as *the Board of Supervisors* deem*sed* necessary or desirable, including but not limited to facade, setback and height controls.
 - (4) For a City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site, review of alterations as identified in the designating ordinance.
 - (d) The Board of Supervisors may amend or rescind a designation at any time, subject to all of the procedures set forth in this Article 10 for an original designation; provided, however, that in the event that a landmark is accidentally destroyed or is demolished or removed in conformity with the provisions of Section 1007, or is legally demolished or relocated after compliance has been had with the provisions of Section 1006.2this Article 10, the Director of Planning Director may request the Planning Commission HPC to recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the designation be amended or rescinded, and in such case the procedures for an original designation set forth in Sections 1004.1; and 1004.2 and 1004.3 hereof shall not apply.

1	SEC. 1004.1. NOMINATION AND INITIATION OF LANDMARK AND HISTORIC DISTRICT
2	DESIGNATION.
3	(a) Nomination. The Department, or property owner(s), or member(s) of the public may
4	request that the HPC initiate designation of a landmark site or historic district. When a nomination is
5	submitted by property owners for designation of a historic district, the nomination must be
6	subscribed by or on behalf of at least 66 percent of the property owners in the proposed
7	district. A nomination for initiation shall be in the form prescribed by the HPC and shall contain
8	supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation, as well as any additional information
9	the HPC may require. The HPC shall hold a hearing to consider the nomination no later than 45 days
10	from the receipt of the nomination request.
11	(b) Initiation. Initiation of designation of a landmark site or historic district shall be by
12	the Board of Supervisors or by a resolution of intention by the Planning Commission, the Art
13	Commission or the Advisory Board, HPC or on the verified application of owners of the property to be
14	designated or their authorized agents. made by one of the following methods:
15	(1) by resolution of the Board of Supervisors;
16	(2) by resolution of the HPC; or
17	(3) upon adoption of a resolution by the HPC to confirm a nomination made pursuant to
18	subsection (a) above, provided that the HPC may disapprove the nomination or may request further
19	information and continue the matter as appropriate.
20	The Board of Supervisors and the HPC shall make findings in support of any initiation of
21	designation of a landmark site or historic district. The Board of Supervisors shall promptly refer any
22	initiation of designation to the HPC for its review and recommendation. Any such application shall be
23	filed with the Department upon forms prescribed by the Planning Commission, and shall be
24	accompanied by all data required by the Planning Commission. Where such an application is submitted
25	

for designation of a historic	district the application	must be subscribe	d by or o	n bahalf of a	t loast 66
jor designation of a mistoric	aisirici, ine application	musi de sudscride	u oy or o	п бениіј ој и	i ieusi oo
percent of the property owne	ers in the proposed distr	ict.			

SEC. 1004.2.- REFERRAL TO LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD.

The proposed designation, resolution or application shall be promptly referred to the Advisory

Board for review and report to the Planning Commission as to conformance with the purposes and

standards of this Article 10. The Advisory Board shall recommend approval, disapproval or

modification of the proposal, or shall report its failure to reach a decision thereon, within 60 days after

such referral. If no recommendation is rendered within 60 days, the Planning Commission may

consider the proposed designation as provided in Section 1004.3 below notwithstanding the lack of

such a recommendation.

SEC. 1004.3. HEARING BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION BY THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

After receiving a report from the Advisory Board or after the expiration of 60 days from the date of referral to the Advisory Board, whichever is sooner, the Planning Commission Upon intiation of designation, $\mp the HPC$ shall hold a public hearing on the proposal proposed designation.; the Department shall set a time and place for such hearing. A record of pertinent information presented at the hearing shall be made and maintained as a permanent record.

(a) Notice of Hearing. Notice of the time, place and purpose of such hearing shall be given by at least one publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the City not less than 20 days prior to the date of hearing. Notice shall also be mailed not less than 10 days prior to the date of hearing to the owners of all property included in the proposed designation, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the last known owners as shown on the records of the *Assessor Tax Collector and to the applicant, if any*. Failure to send notice by mail to

any such property owner where the address of such owner is not a matter of public record
shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with the proposed designation. The
Department may also give such other notice as it may deem desirable and practicable.

- (b) Time Limitation. The *Planning Commission HPC* shall *consider the report and recommendation of the Advisory Board, if any, and shall* consider the conformance or lack of conformance of the proposed designation with the purposes and standards of this Article 10. *Where the Board of Supervisors has referred an initiation of designation to the HPC, The Planning Commission* the HPC shall hold a public hearing and shall approve, disapprove or modify the proposal within 90 days from the date of referral of the proposed designation to the *Advisory Board HPC*. Failure to act within said time shall constitute approval. The Board of Supervisors may, by resolution, extend the time within which the *Planning Commission HPC* is to render its decision.
- (c) Notice of Action Taken. The Planning Commission shall promptly notify the applicant of action taken. If the Planning Commission approves or modifies the proposed designation in whole or in part, it shall transmit the proposal together with a copy of the resolution of approval, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
- the Planning Commission has failed to act upon such proposed designation as of the effective date of this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors may act on the proposed designation notwithstanding the Planning Commission failure to act on the proposed designation. Referral of Proposed Designation. If the HPC recommends approval of a landmark designation, it shall send its recommendation to the Board of Supervisors, without referral to the Planning Commission. If the HPC recommends approval of a historic district designation, it shall refer its recommendation to the Planning Commission, which shall have 45 days to review and comment on the proposed designation, which comments, if any, shall be sent by the Department to the Board of Supervisors with the HPC's recommendation. Such

comments shall be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors as a resolution and shall (i)
address the consistency of the proposed designation with the policies embodied in the
General Plan and the priority policies of Section 101.1, particularly the provision of housing to
meet the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and the provision of housing near transit
corridors; (ii) identify any amendments to the General Plan necessary to facilitate adoption of
the proposed designation; and (iii) evaluate whether the district would conflict with the
Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area. If the HPC disapproves designation of a
landmark or historic district, that decision shall be final and shall not require referral unless appealed
as set forth below. If the HPC disapproves designation of a landmark or historic district, that
decision shall be final and shall not require referral unless appealed as set forth below.

SEC. 1004.41004.3. DESIGNATION BY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it, after due notice to the owners of the property included in the proposal, and such other notice as the satist Board may deem necessary. The Board of Supervisors may approve, or modify and approve, or disapprove the designation by a majority vote of all its members. Prior to the Board of Supervisors' vote on a proposed historic district, the Planning Department shall conduct thorough outreach to affected property owners. The Planning Department shall invite all property owners in the proposed district area to express their opinion in writing on the proposed designation, be it in the form of a vote or a survey. Such invitation shall advise owners of the practical consequences of the adoption of the district, including the availability of preservation incentives, the types of work requiring a Certificate of Appropriateness, the process and fees for obtaining a Certificate of Appropriateness, and the types of work that is generally ineligible to receive a Certificate of Appropriateness. The Department's goal shall be to obtain the participation of at least half of all property owners in the proposed district.

The property owners' vote shall be considered by the Board of Supervisors when taking a	ction
on the proposed district	
on the proposed district.	

SEC. 1004.51004.4. APPEAL TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

If the *Planning Commission HPC* disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by a protest subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property proposed to be designated, or by any governmental body or agency, or by an organization with a recognized interest in historical preservation; provided, however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the said Board shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.

- (a) Hearing. The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or initiated by it, after due notice to the owners of the property included in the proposal <u>and any applicant(s)</u>, and such other notice as the said Board may deem necessary.
- (b) Decision. The Board of Supervisors may overrule the *Planning Commission HPC* and approve, or modify and approve, the designation by a majority vote of all its members.
- (c) Resubmission, Reconsideration. If a proposal initiated by application has been disapproved by the *Planning Commission HPC* or by the Board of Supervisors *on appeal*, no subsequent application that is the same or substantially the same may be submitted or reconsidered for at least one year from the effective date of final action of the original proposal.

SEC. 1004.61004.5. NOTICE OF DESIGNATION BY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

When a landmark or historic district has been designated by the Board of Supervisors as provided above, the Department shall promptly notify the owners of the property included therein. The Department shall cause a copy of the designating ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder.

SEC. 1004.71004.6. NOTICE OF AMENDMENT OR RESCISSION OF DESIGNATION.

When a landmark or historic district designation has been amended or rescinded, the Department shall promptly notify the owners of the property included therein, and shall cause a copy of the appropriate ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder.

SEC. 1005. CONFORMITY AND PERMITS.

- (a) No person shall carry out or cause to be carried out on a designated landmark site or in a designated historic district any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage, for which a City permit is required, except in conformity with the provisions of this Article 10. In addition, no such work shall take place unless all other applicable laws and regulations have been complied with, and any required permit has been issued for said work.
- (b) (1) Installation of a new general advertising sign is prohibited in any \underline{Hh} istoric \underline{Dd} istrict or on any historic property regulated by this Article 10.
- (2) The Central Permit Bureau shall not issue, and no other City department or agency shall issue, any permit for construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any permit for work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage on a landmark site or in a *Historic District* historic district, except in conformity with the

- (c) (1) Where so provided in the designating ordinance for a historic district, any or all exterior changes visible from a public street or other public place shall require approval in accordance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for such exterior changes. Such exterior changes may include, but shall not be limited to, painting and repainting; landscaping; fencing; and installation of lighting fixtures and other building appendages.
- (2) The addition of a mural to any landmark or contributory structure in a historic district shall require compliance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for the mural.
- (3) Alterations to City-owned parks, squares, plazas or gardens on a landmark site, where the designating ordinance identifies such alterations, shall require approval in accordance with the provisions of this Article 10, regardless of whether or not a City permit is required.
- (d) The Department shall maintain with the Central Permit Bureau a current record of designated landmarks and historic districts. Upon receipt of any application for a permit to carry out any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage, on a landmark site or in a historic district, the Central Permit Bureau shall, unless the structure or feature concerned has been declared unsafe or dangerous pursuant to Section 1007 of this Article 10, promptly forward such permit application to the Department.
- (e) After receiving a permit application from the Central Permit Bureau in accordance with the preceding subsection, the Department shall ascertain whether *Section*1006 requires a Certificate of Appropriateness is required or has been approved for the work

proposed in such permit application. If <u>such a</u> Certificate <u>of Appropriateness</u> is required and has
been issued, and if the permit application conforms to such the work approved in the Certificate
of Appropriateness, the permit application shall be processed without further reference to this
Article 10. If such a Certificate of Appropriateness is required and has not been issued, or if in
the sole judgment of the Department the permit application does not so conform to what was
approved, the permit application shall be disapproved or held by the Department until such
time as conformity does exist either through modifications to the proposed work or through the
issuance of an amended or new Certificate of Appropriateness; the decision and action of the
Department shall be final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the following cases the Department
shall process the permit application without further reference to this Article 10:

- (1) When the application is for a permit to construct on a landmark site where the landmark has been lawfully demolished and the site is not within a designated historic district;
- (2) When the application is for a permit to make interior alterations only on a privately-owned structure or on a publicly-owned structure, unless the designating ordinance requires review of such alterations to the privately- or publicly-owned structure pursuant to Section 1004(c) hereof. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any proposed interior alteration requiring a permit would result in any Significant visual or material impact to the exterior of the subject building, a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required to address such exterior effects.
- (3) When the application is for a permit to do ordinary maintenance and repairs only. For the purpose of this Article 10, "ordinary maintenance and repairs" shall mean any work, the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay or damage <u>of</u> <u>existing materials</u>, including repair of damage caused by fire or other disaster.
- (4) When the application is for a permit to maintain, repair, rehabilitate, or improve streets and sidewalks, including sidewalk widening, accessibility, and bulbouts, unless such

1	streets and s	sidewalks have been explicitly called out in a landmark or district's designating
2	ordinance as	s character defining features of the landmark or district;
3	(4)	When the application is for a permit to comply with the UMB Seismic Retrofit
4	Ordinances ar	nd the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed work complies with the UMB
5	Retrofit Archi	tectural Design Guidelines, which guidelines shall be adopted by the Planning
6	Commission.	
7	(f)	For purposes of this Article 10, demolition shall be defined as any one of the
8	following:	
9	(1)	Removal of more than 25 percent of the surface of all external walls facing a
10	public street((s); or
11	(2)	Removal of more than 50 percent of all external walls from their function as all
12	external wall	s; or
13	(3)	Removal of more than 25 percent of external walls from function as either
14	external or in	nternal walls; or
15	(4)	Removal of more than 75 percent of the building's existing internal structural
16	framework o	r floor plates unless the City determines that such removal is the only feasible
17	means to me	eet the standards for seismic load and forces of the latest adopted version of the
18	San Francisc	co Building Code and the State Historical Building Code.
19	(g)	The following procedures shall govern review of the addition of murals to any
20	landmark or	contributory structure in a historic district:
21	(1)	Where the mural is proposed to be added to a landmark or contributory structure
22	in a historic	district, located on property owned by the City, no Certificate of Appropriateness
23	shall be requ	ired. On such structures, the Art Commission shall not approve the mural until

the Advisory Board HPC has provided advice to the Art Commission on the impact of the mural

on the historical structure. The Advisory Board HPC shall provide advice to the Art Commission

24

within 50-45 days of receipt of a written request for advice and information regarding the
placement, size and location of the proposed mural;

(2) Where the mural is proposed to be added to a landmark or contributory structure in a historic district, located on property that is not owned by the City, a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required. The *Advisory Board HPC* shall not act on the Certificate of Appropriateness until the Art Commission has provided advice to the *Advisory Board HPC* on the mural. The Art Commission shall provide advice to the *Advisory Board HPC* within 50 days of receipt of a written request for advice and information regarding the proposed mural.

SEC. 1006. CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS REQUIRED.

A Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required and shall govern review of permit applications as provided in Sections 1005(e) and 1005(g), except in the specific cases set forth in Section 1005(e), for the following types of work affecting the character-defining features as listed pursuant to Section 1004(b) of this Code: In the case of:

- (1) Any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural (as set forth in Planning Code Section 1005(g), or other appendage, for which a City permit is required, on a landmark site or in a historic district:
- (2) Exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public street or other public place, where the designating ordinance requires approval of such changes pursuant to the provisions of this Article 10; *and*
- (3) The addition of a mural to any landmark or contributory structure in a historic district, which is not owned by the City or located on property owned by the City, as set forth in Planning Code Section 1005(g), regardless of whether or not a City permit is required for the mural; *and or*

	(4)	Alterations to City-owned parks, squares, plazas or gardens on a landmark site
where	the de	signating ordinance identifies the alterations that require approval under this
Article	10.	

A Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required and shall govern review of permit applications as provided in Sections 1005(e) and 1005(g), except in the specific cases set forth in Section 1005(e). The procedures, requirements, controls and standards in Sections 1006 through 1006.8 shall apply to all applications for Certificates of Appropriateness; provided, however, that the designating ordinance for a historic district, or for a City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site, may modify or add to these procedures, requirements, controls and standards.

SEC. 1006.1.APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.

- (a) Who May Apply. An application for a Certificate of Appropriateness may be filed by the owner, or authorized agent for the owner, of the property for which the Certificate is sought.
- (b) Where to File. Applications shall be filed in the office of the *Planning* Department *of City Planning*.
- (c) Content of Applications. The content of applications shall be in accordance with the policies, rules and regulations of the Department and the *City Planning Commission HPC*. All applications shall be upon forms prescribed therefore, and shall contain or be accompanied by all information required to assure the presentation of pertinent facts for proper consideration of the case and for the permanent record. In general, the application shall be accompanied by plans and specifications showing the proposed exterior appearance, including but not limited to color, texture of materials, and architectural design and detail; drawings or photographs showing the property in the context of its surroundings may also be

- required. The applicant may be required to file with his the application the additional information 2 needed for the preparation and mailing of notices as specified in Section 1006.3.
 - (d) Verification. Each application filed by or on behalf of one or more property owners shall be verified by at least one such owner or his authorized agent attesting to the truth and correctness of all facts, statements and information presented.
 - Conditional Uses. In the case of any proposal for which the City Planning Code requires a conditional use authorization in addition to a Certificate of Appropriateness, the Department may combine the required applications, notices and hearings for administrative convenience and in the interests of the applicant and the public, to the extent deemed feasible and desirable by the Department. Multiple Planning Approvals. For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the HPC shall review and act on any Certificate of Appropriateness before any other planning approval action. For projects that (1) require a conditional use authorization or permit review under Section 309, et. seq. of the Code, and (2) do not concern an individually landmarked property, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Code, and take into account all relevant General Plan and Planning Code policies, in addition to all applicable historic preservation provisions. For projects located on vacant lots, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code, and take into account all relevant General Plan and Planning Code policies, in addition to all applicable historic preservation provisions.
 - Permit and Application Fee Waivers. In cases of economic hardship an (f) applicant may be partially or fully exempt from paying fees pursuant to Section 350(e)(2) of the Planning Code.

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	SEC. 1006.2.REVIEW BY <u>PLANNING</u> DEPARTMENT-OF CITY PLANNING AND CITY
2	PLANNING COMMISSION.
3	(a) Cases Other Than Construction, Removal or Demolition.
4	(1) In the case of any alteration of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning,
5	marquee, canopy or other appendage, or exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public
6	street or other public place, or alterations to a City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a
7	landmark site, where a Certificate of Appropriateness is required, the application for said Certificate
8	shall be reviewed by the Department with the advice of the Advisory Board. The department, with the
9	advice of the Advisory Board, shall determine within 20 days after the application is accepted for filing
10	whether or not the proposal would have a significant impact upon, or is potentially detrimental to, the
11	landmark site or historic district; and the Department shall notify the applicant of the determination
12	made. If it is determined that there would be no such significant impact or potential detriment, the
13	Department shall issue a Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant.
14	(2) If it is determined that the proposal would have a significant impact upon, or is
15	potentially detrimental to, the landmark site or historic district, or upon request of the Planning
16	Commission, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the application.
17	(b) Construction, Removal or Demolition. The Planning Commission shall hold a public
18	hearing on the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for any construction, removal or
19	demolition of a structure, except as may be otherwise provided in the designating ordinance for a
20	historic district or for City-owned park, square, plaza or garden on a landmark site.
21	The Department shall review an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness and determine
22	within 30 days of submittal whether the application is complete or whether additional information is
23	<u>required.</u>
24	(a) Minor Alterations. The HPC may define certain categories of work as Minor Alterations
25	and delegate approval of an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness for such Minor Alterations

1	to Department staff. If the HPC delegates such approvals to Department staff, Minor Alterations shall
2	include the following categories of work:
3	(1) Work the sole purpose and effect of which is to comply with the Unreinforced Masonry
4	Building (UMB) Seismic Retrofit Ordinance and where the proposed work complies with the UMB
5	Retrofit Architectural Design Guidelines adopted by the HPC; or
6	(2) Any other work so delegated to the Department by the HPC.
7	(b) Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness. Upon receipt of a building permit
8	application, an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness for Minor Alteration work may be
9	approved by the Department without a hearing before the HPC. The Department shall mail the
10	Department's written decision on an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant and
11	to any individuals or organizations who so request. Any Departmental decision on an Administrative
12	Certificate of Appropriateness may be appealed to the HPC within 15 days of the date of the written
13	decision. The HPC may also request review of any Departmental decision on an Administrative
14	Certificate of Appropriateness by its own motion within 20 days of the written decision.
15	(c) Applications for a Certificate of Appropriateness that are not Minor Alterations
16	delegated to Department staff shall be scheduled for hearing by the HPC pursuant to Sections 1006.3
17	<u>and 1006.4 below.</u>
18	SEC. 1006.3.SCHEDULING AND NOTICE OF HEARING.
19	(a) When an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness has been filed and Section
20	1006.2 provides that the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing thereon, If a public hearing
21	before the HPC on a Certificate of Appropriateness is required, a timely appeal has been made of an
22	Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness, or the HPC has timely requested review of an
23	Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness, the Department shall set a time and place for said
24	hearing within a reasonable period. Notice of the time, place and purpose of the hearing shall
25	be given by the Department as follows:

1	(a)(1) By mail to the applicant <u>not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing</u> ;
2	(b) By mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owners of all real
3	property that is the subject of the application and, if said property is in a historic district, to the owners
4	of all real property within the historic district, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the
5	owners as shown on the latest citywide assessment roll in the office of the Tax Collector. Failure to
6	send notice by mail to any such property owner where the address of such owner is not shown on such
7	assessment roll shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with such action;
8	(c) By publication at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the City not less
9	than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing;
10	(2) By mail to any interested parties who so request in writing to the Department;
11	(3) For landmark sites: by mail not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing to all
12	owners and occupants of the subject property and owners and occupants of properties within 150 feet
13	of the subject property;
14	(4) For buildings located in historic districts: by mail not less than 20 days prior to the date
15	of the hearing to all owners and occupants of the subject property, and all owners and occupants of
16	properties within 300 feet of the subject property and all occupants of properties within 150 feet of
17	the subject property.
18	(5) By posting notice on the site not less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing; and
19	$\frac{(d)}{(6)}$ Such other notice as the Department $\frac{shall}{(6)}$ deems appropriate.
20	(b) For the purposes of mailed notice, the latest citywide assessment roll for names and
21	addresses of owners shall be used, and all efforts shall be made to the extent practical, to notify
22	occupants of properties in the notification area. Failure to send notice by mail to any such property
23	owner where the address of such owner is not shown on such assessment roll shall not invalidate any
24	proceedings in connection with such action;

SEC	1006 /	REFERRAL TO ADVISORY BOARD PRIOR TO HEARING
\mathcal{I}	111111111111	

Where a public hearing before the Planning Commission has been scheduled thereon, the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be promptly referred to the Advisory Board and shall be considered by the Advisory Board at its next regular meeting, or at a special meeting called for that specific purpose. The Advisory Board shall render a report to the Planning Commission at or prior to the scheduled public hearing; failure of the Advisory Board to consider the application or to render a report shall not constitute grounds for continuation of the public hearing.

- SEC. 1006.51006.4. CONDUCT OF HEARING; DECISION.
- 10 Where a public hearing before the *Planning Commission HPC* has been scheduled:
 - (a) Report and Recommendation. The Department shall make necessary investigations and studies prior to the hearing of the *Planning CommissionHPC*. The *Department shall provide its* report and recommendation *of the Director of Planning shall be submitted at the hearing to the HPC*.
 - (b) Record. A record shall be kept of the pertinent information presented at the hearing, and such record shall be maintained as a part of the permanent public records of the Department. A verbatim record may be made if permitted or ordered by the *Planning Commission HPC*.
 - (c) Continuations. The *Planning Commission HPC* shall determine the instances in which cases scheduled for hearing may be continued or taken under advisement. In such cases, new notice need not be given of the further hearing date, provided such date is announced at the scheduled hearing.
 - (d) Decision. <u>The HPC shall approve, disapprove, or approve with modifications</u>

 <u>Certificates of Appropriateness for work to designated landmarks or within historic districts, except</u>

 where it delegates such decisions to Departmental staff under the provisions of Section 1006.2 above.

1	The decision of the <i>Planning Commission HPC</i> shall be rendered within 30 days from the date of
2	conclusion of the hearing; failure of the $\frac{Commission}{MPC}$ to act within the prescribed time shall
3	be deemed to constitute disapproval of the application. The decision of the $\frac{Planning}{dt}$
4	Commission HPC, in either approving or, disapproving the application pursuant to Section 1006.6,
5	shall be final except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Appeals or Board of
6	Supervisors as provided in Section 1006.8 1006.7. The decision of the Planning Commission, in
7	suspending action on an application pursuant to Section 1006.6, shall be final. If the Planning
8	Commission HPC, or the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors on appeal, approves the
9	application, or after the expiration of any suspension period imposed by the Commission, the
10	Department shall issue a Certificate of Appropriateness to the applicant.

- (e) Time Limit for Exercise. When approving an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness as provided herein, the *Planning Commission HPC* may impose a time limit for submission of a permit application conforming to the Certificate; otherwise, such permit application must be submitted within a reasonable time.
- (f) Delegation of Hearing. The *Planning Commission HPC* may delegate to a committee of one or more of its members, or to the Director of Planning or his *or her* designee, *or to the Advisory Board*, or to any combination of the foregoing, the holding of the hearing required by this Article 10 for a Certificate of Appropriateness. The delegate or delegates shall submit to the *Planning Commission HPC* a record of the hearing, together with a report of findings and recommendations relative thereto, for the consideration of the *Commission HPC* in reaching its decision in the case.
- (g) Reconsideration. Whenever an application has been disapproved by the *Planning CommissionHPC*, or by the *Board of Appeals or* Board of Supervisors on appeal as described in Section *1006.81006.7*, no application, the same or substantially the same as that which was disapproved, shall be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the *Planning*

Commission HPC within a period of one year from the effective date of final action upon the
earlier application.

SEC. <u>1006.61006.5</u>. NATURE OF <u>PLANNINGHISTORIC PRESERVATION</u> COMMISSION DECISION.

The decision of the *Planning Commission* <u>HPC</u> after its public hearing shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

- (a) If the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness proposes construction or alteration of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural or other appendage, or exterior changes in a historic district visible from a public street or other public place, the *Planning Commission HPC* shall approve *or*, disapprove, *or modify* the application in whole or in part.
- (b) If the application proposes removal or demolition of a structure on a designated landmark site, the *Planning CommissionHPC* may disapprove or approve the application, or may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 180 days; provided that the Board of Supervisors by resolution may, for good cause shown, extend the suspension for an additional period not to exceed 180 days, if the said Board acts not more than 90 days and not less than 30 days prior to the expiration of the original 180-day period.
- (c) If the application proposes removal or demolition of a structure in a designated historic district, other than on a designated landmark site, the *Planning CommissionHPC* may disapprove or approve the application, or may suspend action on it for a period not to exceed 90 days, subject to extension by the Board of Supervisors as provided in the preceding subsection; provided, however, that the designating ordinance for the historic district may authorize the suspension of action for an alternate period which shall in no event exceed 90

days, without extension, and in such event the provision of the designating ordinance shall
govern.

(d) In the event action on an application to remove or demolish a structure is suspended as provided in this Section, the *Planning CommissionHPC*, with the advice and assistance of the Advisory Board, may take such steps as it determines are necessary to preserve the structure concerned, in accordance with the purposes of this Article 10. Such steps may include, but shall not be limited to, consultations with civic groups, public agencies, and interested citizens, recommendations for acquisition of property by public or private bodies or agencies, and exploration of the possibility of moving one or more structures or other features.

SEC. <u>1006.71006.6</u>. STANDARDS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.

The *Planning Commission*, *HPC*, the Department, *and the Advisory Board*, *and, in the case of multiple approvals under Section 1006.1(f), the Planning Commission, and any other decisionmaking body* shall be guided by the standards in this Section in their review of applications for Certificates of Appropriateness for proposed work on a landmark site or in a historic district. In appraising the effects and relationships mentioned herein, the *Planning Commission, the Department and the Advisory Board decisionmaking body* shall in all cases consider the factors of architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials, color, and any other pertinent factors.

- (a) The proposed work shall be appropriate for and consistent with the effectuation of the purposes of this Article 10.
- (b) The proposed work shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. The proposed work's compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for specific application in San Francisco pursuant to Guidelines.

Interpretations, Bulletins, or other policies (collectivelly, "San Francisco Interpretation of the Secretary's Standards") shall be considered. Development of the San Francisco Interpretation of the Secretary's Standards shall be led by the Planning Department, through a public participation process, determination of conformance with the General Plan and Planning Code by the Planning Commission, and adoption by both the HPC and the Planning Commission. (b)(c) For applications pertaining to landmark sites, the proposed work shall preserve.

enhance or restore, and shall not damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the landmark and, where specified in the designating ordinance pursuant to Section 1004(c), its major interior architectural features. The proposed work shall not adversely affect the special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value of the landmark and its site, as viewed both in themselves and in their setting, nor of the historic district in applicable cases.

designated landmark site, any new construction, addition or exterior change shall be compatible with the character of the historic district as described in the designating ordinance; and, in any exterior change, reasonable efforts shall be made to preserve, enhance or restore, and not to damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic district. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for any exterior change where the subject property is not already compatible with the character of the historic district, reasonable efforts shall be made to produce compatibility, and in no event shall there be a greater deviation from compatibility. Where the required compatibility exists, the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be approved.

1	$\frac{(d)(e)}{(e)}$ For applications pertaining to all property in historic districts, the proposed work
2	shall also conform to such further standards as may be embodied in the ordinance
3	designating the historic district.
4	$\frac{(e)(f)}{f}$ For applications pertaining to the addition of murals on a landmark or
5	contributory structure in a historic district, the Advisory Board and the Planning Commission HPC
6	shall consider only the placement, size and location of the mural, to determine whether the
7	mural covers or obscures significant architectural features of the landmark or contributory
8	structure. For purposes of review under this Article 10, the City shall not consider the content
9	or artistic merit of the mural.
10	(g) For applications pertaining work to City-owned properties, the Department and
11	the HPC shall consider the relevant public agency's mission and operational needs.
12	(h) Applications for permits in historic districts (i) within RH, RM, RTO, and NC
13	districts, and (ii) for residential projects where 80% or more of the units are designated for
14	households with an income of 150% or less than the area median income, shall be exempt
15	from the requirements of Section 1006.6 when compliance with said requirements would
16	create a significant economic hardship for the applicant, provided that:
17	1. The scope of the work does not constitute a demolition pursuant to Section
18	1005(f) of this Code:
19	2. The Planning Department has determined that the applicant meets the
20	requirement for economic hardship, such that the fees have been fully or partially waived
21	pursuant to Section 1006.1 of this Code;
22	3. The Zoning Administrator has determined that in all other aspects the work
23	associated with the application is in conformance with Planning Code requirements; and
24	4. The HPC has confirmed that all requirements listed herein have been met, and
25	has determined, pursuant to Section 1006.4 of this Code, that the requirements of Section

1	1006.6 may be fully or partially waived due to economic hardship and that completion of the
2	scope of work will not be detrimental to the integrity of the district or the individual landmark.
3	When this exemption applies, the applicant shall work with Planning Department staff
4	to use materials and construction techniques that would best achieve the goal of protecting
5	the integrity of the district or landmark, while reducing costs to the applicant.

SEC. <u>1006.81006.7</u>. APPEALS <u>FROM PLANNING COMMISSION DECISIONOF A</u> <u>CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS</u>.

- Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Appeals, which may modify the decision by a 4/5 vote; provided however, that if the project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use authorization, the decision shall not be appealed to the Board of Appeals but rather to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote. The action of the Planning Commission in approving or disapproving in whole or in part an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be subject to appeal to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with this Section. An action of the Commission Any Certificate of Appropriateness so appealed from shall not become effective unless and until approved by the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors in accordance with this Section. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to authorize the appeal of any decision under Section 1006.61006.5 of this Article 10 to suspend action on an application.
- (b) Notice of Appeal. Any appeal under this Section shall be taken by filing written notice of appeal with the <u>Board of Appeals or Clerk of the</u> Board of Supervisors, <u>whichever entity</u> <u>is appropriate under the requirements of subsection (a)</u>, within 30 days after the date of action by the <u>Planning Commission HPC or Planning Commission</u>. <u>In the case of a historic district, the notice of appeal shall be subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property affected by the proposed appeal shall be subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property affected by the proposed appeal shall be subscribed by the owners of at least 20 percent of the property affected by the proposed</u>

1	Certificate of Appropriateness; for the purposes of this calculation, the property affected shall be
2	deemed to be all property within the historic district. In the case of a landmark not in a historic district,
3	the notice of appeal shall be subscribed by the property owner, or by any governmental body or
4	agency, or by an organization with a recognized interest in historical preservation.

- (c) Hearing. *Upon the filing of such written notice of appeal so subscribed*, tThe Board of Supervisors, the Board of Appeals or the Clerk(s) thereof shall set a time and place for hearing such appeal, which shall be not less than 10 nor more than 30 days after such filing. The Board of Appeals or the Board of Supervisors must decide such appeal within 30 days of the time set for the hearing thereon; provided that, if the full membership of the *Boardboard* is not present on the last day on which said the appeal is set or continued for hearing within said period, the *Board* board may postpone said the hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership of the *Boardboard* is present; provided, further, that the latest date to which said hearing and decision may be so postponed shall be not more than 90 days from the date of filing of the appeal. Failure of the *Board of Appeals or the Board of Supervisors to* act within such time limit shall be deemed to constitute approval by the Board of the action decision of the HPC or Planning Commission.
- (d) Decision. In acting upon any such appeal, the Board of Supervisors may disapprove the action of the Planning Commission only by a vote of not less than of all members of the Board.
- -Decisions Affecting City Hall. The provisions of this Subsection shall govern decisions by the *City Planning CommissionHPC* on a Certificate of Appropriateness for alteration work to be done at City Hall, in lieu of any other provision set forth above. Upon the approval or disapproval by the *City Planning CommissionHPC* of a Certificate of Appropriateness for alteration of City Hall, the Secretary of the City Planning Commission HPC shall transmit to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors written notification of the Commission HPC's decision. The Clerk shall set a time and place for hearing on the decision,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

which shall be not less than 10 nor more than 30 days after receipt of such notification. The Board of Supervisors may *either* approve, disapprove, or modify the *Commission's HPC's* decision by majority vote. The Board of Supervisors must take this action within 30 days of the time set for the hearing thereon, provided that, if the full membership of the Board is not present on the last day on which said hearing is set or continued within said period, the Board may postpone said hearing and decision thereon until, but not later than, the full membership of the Board is present; provided further, that the latest date to which said hearing and decision may be so postponed shall be not more than 90 days from the date of the receipt of written notification. Failure of the Board of Supervisors to act within such time limit shall be deemed to constitute approval by the Board of the action of the *City Planning CommissionHPC*.

SEC. 1007. UNSAFE OR DANGEROUS CONDITIONS.

None of the provisions of this Article 10 shall be construed to prevent any measures of construction, alteration, or demolition necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition of any structure, other feature, or part thereof, where such condition has been declared unsafe or dangerous by the *Superintendent Director* of the *Bureau Department* of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety, and where the proposed measures have been declared necessary, by such official, to correct the *said* condition; provided, however, that only such work as is absolutely necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition may be performed pursuant to this Section. In the event any structure or other feature shall be damaged by fire, or other calamity, or by Act of God or by the public enemy, to such an extent that in the opinion of the aforesaid officials it cannot reasonably be repaired and restored, it may be removed in conformity with normal permit procedures and applicable laws.

SEC. 1008. COMPLIANCE WITH MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS.

The owner, lessee or other person in actual charge of a landmark, or of a structure in a# historic district, shall comply with all applicable codes, laws and regulations governing the maintenance of the property. It is the intent of this Section to preserve from deliberate or inadvertent neglect the exterior portions of such landmark or structure, the interior portions thereof when subject to control as specified in the designating ordinance, and all interior portions thereof whose maintenance is necessary to prevent deterioration and decay of any exterior portion. *Failure to comply with this Section shall be subject to enforcement and penalties pursuant to Section 1013 below.*

SEC. 1009. ADVICE AND GUIDANCE TO PROPERTY OWNERS.

The *Advisory Board HPC* may, upon request of the property owner, render advice and guidance with respect to any proposed work for which a Certificate of Appropriateness is not required, on a designated landmark site or in a designated historic district. In rendering such advice and guidance, the *Advisory Board HPC* shall be guided by the purposes and standards in this Article 10. This Section shall not be construed to impose any regulations or controls upon any property.

SEC. 1010. PROPERTY OWNED BY PUBLIC AGENCIES.

(a) The Department shall take appropriate steps to notify all public agencies whichthat own or may acquire property in the City, about the existence and character of designated landmarks and historic districts; if possible, the Department shall cause a current record of such landmarks and historic districts to be maintained in each such public agency. In the case of any publicly owned property on a landmark site or in a historic district which is not subject to the permit review procedures of the City, the agency owning the said property shall

- seek the advice of the *Planning CommissionHPC* prior to approval or authorization of any construction, alteration or demolition thereon; and the *Planning Commission, with the aid of the Advisory Board andHPC*, in consultation with the Art Commission in appropriate cases, shall render a report to the owner as expeditiously as possible, based on the purposes and standards in this Article 10. *If Planning Commission review of a public project involving In the case of any publicly owned property on a landmark site or in a historic district that is subject to the permit review procedures of the City under any other law or under the Charter, the agency owning the property shall be subject to the provisions of this Article 10, and if the project involves construction, alteration or demolition on a landmark site or in a historic district <i>is required under any other law, or under the Charter, the Planning Commission shall render the report referred to in this Section to such public agency without specific request therefor a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required subject to the procedures set forth in this Article 10.*
- (b) All officers, boards, commissions and departments of the City shall cooperate with the *Advisory Board and the Planning Commission* HPC in carrying out the spirit and intent of this Article 10.
- (c) Nothing in this Article 10 shall be construed to imposed any regulations or controls upon designated landmarks owned or controlled by the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District.

SEC. 1011. RECOGNITION OF STRUCTURES OF MERIT.

(a) The Advisory Board may recommend, and the Planning Commission HPC may approve, a list of structures of historical, architectural or aesthetic merit which that have not been designated as landmarks and are not situated in designated historic districts. The said This list may be added to from time to time. The purpose of this list shall be to recognize and encourage the protection, enhancement, perpetuation and use of such structures. The

1	Advisory Board and the Planning Commission HPC shall maintain a record of historic structures in		
2	the City whichthat have been officially designated by agencies of the State or federal		
3	government, and shall cause such structures to be added to the aforesaid list.		
4	(b)	Nothing in this Article 10 shall be construed to impose any regulations or	
5	controls upon such structures of merit included on $\frac{1}{2}$ described as $\frac{1}{2}$ list and neither designated as		
6	landmarks nor situated in historic districts.		
7	(c)	The Planning Commission, with the advice of the Advisory Board, HPC may authorize	
8	such steps	as it deems desirable to recognize the merit of, and to encourage the protection,	
9	enhancement, perpetuation and use of any such listed structure, or of any designated		
10	landmark or any structure in a designated historic district, including but not limited to the		
11	issuance of a certificate of recognition and the authorization of a plaque to be affixed to the		
12	exterior of the structure; and the Planning Commission HPC shall cooperate with appropriate		
13	State and federal agencies in such efforts.		
14	(d)	The Planning Commission, with the advice of the Advisory Board, HPC may make	
15	recommend	ations to the Board of Supervisors and to any other body or agency responsible,	
16	to encourage giving names pertaining to San Francisco history to streets, squares, walks,		
17	plazas and other public places.		
18			
19	SEC.	1012. Referral of Certain Matters.	
20	<u>Prior</u>	to passage by the Board of Supervisors, the following matters shall be submitted to the	
21	HPC for its v	vritten report regarding effects upon historic or cultural resources: ordinances and	

resolutions concerning historic preservation issues and historic resources; redevelopment project

Time Period for Review. The HPC shall submit any written report to the Board of

Supervisors within 90 days of the date of referral. Failure of the HPC to act within the prescribed time

plans; and waterfront land use and project plans.

22

23

24

1	shall be deemed to constitute a recommendation of disapproval, except that the Board of Supervisors
2	may, by resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the HPC is to render its report.
3	(b) Report to Planning Commission. If the Planning Commission is required to take action
4	on the matter, the HPC shall submit any report to the Planning Commission as well as to the Board of
5	<u>Supervisors.</u>
6	(c) Referral Back of Proposed Amendments to the Municipal Code. In acting upon any
7	proposed amendment to the Municipal Code concerning historic preservation issues and historic
8	resources, the Board of Supervisors may modify said amendment but shall not take final action upon
9	any material modification that has not been referred to the HPC for its written report. Should the
10	Board of Supervisors adopt a motion proposing to modify the amendment while it is before the Board,
11	the amendment and the motion proposing modification shall be referred back to the HPC for its written
12	report. In all such cases of referral back, the amendment and the proposed modification shall be heard
13	by the HPC according to the requirement for a new proposal.
14	
15	SEC. 1013. ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES.
16	Enforcement and Penalties shall be as provided in Sections 176 and 176.1 of this
17	Code.
18	
19	SEC. 1014. APPLICABILITY.
20	(a) No application for a permit to construct, alter or demolish any structure or other
21	feature on a <u>proposed</u> landmark site or in a <u>proposed</u> historic district, filed subsequent to the
22	day that an application has been filed or a resolution adopted to initiate designation or a
23	<u>resolution adopted to confirm initiation of designation</u> of the <u>said proposed</u> landmark site or historic
24	district, shall be approved by the Department while proceedings are pending on such
25	designation; provided however, that after 180 days have elapsed from the date of initiation of said

1	designation, if final action on such designation has not been completed, the permit application may be			
2	approved. for the following time periods:			
3	(1) For proposed landmark sites: for 180 days after a resolution is passed initiating			
4	designation or confirming nomination of designation; or			
5	(2) For historic districts: for one year 180 days after a resolution is passed initiating			
6	designation or confirming nomination of designation.			
7	The HPC or the Board of Supervisors may approve by resolution a one-time extension of up to			
8	180 90 days of either of the above-time periods. The Board of Supervisors may approve by			
9	resolution one further extension of up to 90 days of either of the above time periods. If final			
10	action on such designation has not been completed before the end of the relevant time period, the			
11	permit application may be approved.			
12	Notwithstanding the above, the Department may approve a permit to construct, alter, or			
13	demolish a structure or other feature on a proposed landmark site or in a proposed historic district			
14	while proceedings are pending on a proposed designation if the property owner or authorized agent of			
15	the property owner applies for and is granted approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness for such			
16	work pursuant to the requirements of this Article 10.			
17	(b) The provisions of this Article 10 shall be inapplicable to the construction,			
18	alteration or demolition of any structure or other feature on a landmark site or in a historic			
19	district, where a permit for the performance of such work was issued prior to the effective date			
20	of the designation of the said landmark site or historic district, and where such permit has not			
21	expired or been cancelled or revoked, provided that construction is started and diligently			
22	prosecuted to completion in accordance with the Building Code.			
23				
24	SEC. 1015 SEVERABILITY.			

If any Section, Subsection, Subdivision, Paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Article 10 or any part thereof, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article 10 or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each Section, Subsection, Subdivision, Paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, <u>and any amendments</u> <u>thereto</u>, irrespective of the fact that any one or more Sections, Subsections, Subdivisions, Paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 3. The Appendices to Article 10 are not amended by this ordinance and thus have not been included here for brevity.

Section 4. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams or any other constituent part of the Planning Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation. This Ordinance shall not be construed to effectuate any unintended amendments. Any additions or deletions not explicitly shown as described above, omissions, or other technical and non-substantive differences between this Ordinance and the Planning Code that are contained in this legislation are purely accidental and shall not effectuate an amendment to the Planning Code. The Board hereby authorizes the City Attorney, in consultation with affected City departments, to make those necessary adjustments to the published Planning Code, including non-substantive changes such as renumbering or relettering, to ensure that the published version of the Planning Code is consistent with the laws that this Board enacts.

1	Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date
2	of passage.
3	
4	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the
5	date of passage.
6	
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
8	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
9	By:
10	Andrea Ruiz-Esquide Deputy City Attorney
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	[Planning Code—Article 11]					
2						_
3	Ordinance amending Article 11 of the San Francisco Planning Code in its entirety;					
4	making env	making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and				
5	Planning Code Section 101.1(b).					
6 7		NOTE:	Additions are <u>single</u> deletions are <u>strike</u> Board amendment Board amendment	<i>through italics Tin</i> additions are <u>dou</u>	ies New Roman . ible-underlined;	
8	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:					
9	Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco					
10	hereby finds and determines that:					
11	(a)	General Pla	an and Planning Code	Findings.		
12 13	(1)	On	at a duly not	iced public hearir	າg, the Planning	g Commission
	in Resolutio	n No	found tha	t the proposed P	lanning Code a	mendments
14 15	contained in this ordinance were consistent with the City's General Plan and with Planning					
16	Code Section	on 101.1(b). I	n addition, the Planni	ng Commission r	ecommended th	nat the Board
17	of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning Code amendments. A copy of said Resolution is					
18	on file with t	the Clerk of th	e Board of Superviso	rs in File No		and is
19	incorporated herein by reference. The Board finds that the proposed Planning Code					
20	amendments contained in this ordinance are on balance consistent with the City's General					
21	Plan and wi	th Planning C	ode Section 101.1(b)	for the reasons s	set forth in said	Resolution.
22	(2)	Pursuant to	Planning Code Section	on 302, the Board	d finds that the p	proposed
23	ordinance w	vill serve the p	oublic necessity, conv	enience and welf	are for the reas	ons set forth in
24	Planning Co	ommission Re	solution No	, which	reasons are in	corporated
25	herein by reference as though fully set forth.					

	(b)	Historic Preservation C	ommission Findings. On	at a duly
1	noticed public hearing, the Historic Preservation Commission in Resolution No.			
2	recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed Planning			
3	Code amen	ndments. A copy of said F	Resolution is on file with the Cl	erk of the Board of
4	Supervisors	s in File No	and is incorporated here	ein by reference.
5	(c)	Environmental Findings	s. The Planning Department h	as determined that the
6	actions con	templated in this Ordinan	ce are in compliance with the	California Environmental
7	Quality Act	(California Public Resour	ces Code section 21000 et sec	q.). Said determination is
8	on file with	the Clerk of the Board of	Supervisors in File No	and is
9	incorporate	d herein by reference.		
11				
12	Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Article			
13	11, to read			
14	ART	ICLE 11: PRESERVATION	ON OF BUILDINGS AND DIST	RICTS OF
15	ARCHITEC	TURAL, HISTORICAL, A	ND AESTHETIC IMPORTANC	CE IN THE C-3 DISTRICTS
16	Sec.	1101. Findings and Purp	ooses.	
17	Sec.	1102. Standards for Des	signation of Buildings.	
18	Sec.	1102.1. Designation of E	Buildings.	
19	Sec.	1103. Standards for Des	signation of Conservation Distr	icts.
20	Sec.	1103.1. Conservation Di	strict Designations.	
21	Sec.	1104. Notice of Designation	on.Intentionally Left Blank.	
22	Sec.	1105. Reconsideration of	Designation. <u>Intentionally Left Bl</u>	l <u>ank.</u>
23	Sec.	1106. <u>Procedures for Characters of Characte</u>	ange of Designation÷ and Designation	gnation of Additional
24	Significant a	and Contributory Buildings.		

	Sec. 1107. Procedures for Designation of Additional Conservation Districts or			
1	Boundary Change of Conservation Districts.			
2	Sec. 1108. Notice of Designation.			
3	Sec. 1109. Preservation Lots: Eligibility for Transfer of Development Rights.			
4	Sec. 1110. <u>Construction</u> , Alteration <u>or Demolition</u> of Significant or Contributory Buildings			
5	or Buildings in Conservation Districts.			
6	Sec. 1111. Applications for Permits to Alter, Permits to Demolish, and Permits for New			
7	Construction in Conservation Districts.			
8	Sec. 1111.1. Determination of <u>Minor and Major Alterations</u> .			
9	Sec. 1111.2. Referral of Applications for Major Alterations to Landmarks Preservation			
10	Advisory Board: Review by the Department of City Planning Sign Permits.			
11	Sec. 1111.3. Recommendation by the Director of Planning Review by the Planning			
12	Department.			
13	Sec. 1111.4. Consideration and Decision by the City Planning CommissionScheduling and			
14	Notice of Historic Preservation Commission Hearings.			
15	Sec. 1111.5. Decision by the City Planning Historic Preservation Commission.			
16	Sec. 1111.6. Standards and Requirements for Review of Applications for Alterations.			
17	Sec. 1111.7. Permits for Signs Standards and Requirements for Review of Applications for			
18 19	Permits to Demolish.			
	Sec. 1112. Demolition of Significant and Contributory Buildings and Buildings in			
20	Conservation Districts Intentionally Left Blank.			
21	Sec. 1112.1. Applications for a Permit to Demolish.			
22	Sec. 1112.2. Disposition of Applications to Demolish Contributory Buildings and Unrated			
23	Buildings in Conservation Districts.			
24				

4	Sec. 1112.3. Applications to Demolish Significant Buildings or Contributory Buildings from			
1	which TDR Have Been Transferred; Acceptance and Notice.			
2	Sec. 1112.4. Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Prior to Hearing; Review			
3	by the Director of Planning.			
4	Sec. 1112.5. Planning Commission Hearing and Decision.			
5	Sec. 1112.6. Decision of the Planning Commission.			
6	Sec. 1112.7. Standards and Review of Applications to Demolish.			
7 8	Sec. 1113. Standards of Review for New and Replacement Construction in			
9	Conservation.			
10	Sec. 1114. Modification of a Decision of the Historic Preservation Commission.			
11	<u>Sec. 1115. Appeal.</u>			
12	Sec 1116. Unlawful Alteration or Demolition.			
13	Sec. <u>1115</u> 1117. Conformity with Other City Permit Processes.			
14	Sec. <u>1116</u> 1118. Unsafe or Dangerous Conditions.			
15	Sec. <u>1117</u> 1119. Maintenance Requirements and Enforcement Thereof.			
16	Sec. <u>1119</u> 1120. Enforcement and Penalties.			
17	Sec. <u>1120</u> . <u>1121</u> Relationship to Article 10.			
18	Sec. <u>11211122</u> . Notice of Amendment.			
19	Sec. <u>11221123</u> . Notice Procedure.			
20	Sec. <u>41231124</u> . Time Provisions.			
21	Sec. <u>11241125</u> . Severability.			
22	Appendix A Category I Buildings.			
23	Appendix B Category II Buildings.			
24	Appendix C Category III Buildings.			
25	Appendix D Category IV Buildings.			
~~				

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

2

Appendix E Kearny-Market-Mason-Sutter Conservation District.

Appendix F New Montgomery-Second Street Conservation District.

Appendix G Commercial-Leidesdorff Conservation District.

Appendix H Front-California Conservation District.

Appendix I Kearny-Belden Conservation District.

Appendix J Pine-Sansome Conservation District.

SEC. 1101. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

- (a) It is hereby found that a substantial number of the buildings in the C-3 District have a special architectural, historical, and aesthetic value. These buildings contribute substantially to San Francisco's reputation throughout the United States as a City of outstanding beauty and physical harmony. A substantial number of these special buildings have been and continue to be unnecessarily destroyed or impaired, despite the feasibility of preserving and continuing their use, and without adequate consideration for the irreplaceable loss to the people of the City of their aesthetic, cultural, historic and economic value.
- (b) It is further found that distinct and definable subareas within the C-3 District possess concentrations of buildings that together create a unique historic, architectural, and aesthetic character which contributes to the beauty and attractiveness of the City. The quality of these geographic areas has been and continues to be degraded by the unnecessary demolition of buildings of substantial architectural and aesthetic merit, by their replacement with buildings which conflict with the character and scale of the area, and by alteration of buildings in a manner which conflicts with the character and scale of the area.
- (c) It is therefore declared that the protection, enhancement, and perpetuation of buildings and definable subareas of special architectural, historical, and aesthetic interest is

necessary to promote the health, safety, prosperity and welfare of the people of the City. Accordingly, the purposes of this Article are:

- (1) The protection, enhancement, and perpetuation of structures and subareas of special architectural, historical, and aesthetic character which contribute to the urban environment;
- (2) The maintenance and improvement of a healthy economy for the City by enhancing both property values and the City's attractiveness as a place to do business;
- (3) The protection and improvement of the City's attractiveness to tourists and other visitors, and the stimulus to business provided thereby;
- (4) The enrichment of the educational, cultural, aesthetic and spiritual life of the inhabitants of the City by fostering knowledge of the heritage of the City's past and retaining the quality of the City's urban environment.
- (d) It is further found that the use of Transferable Development Rights ("TDR") as provided herein is necessary to promote the urban planning and design goals of the Master General Plan by (1) maintaining appropriate overall development capacities in each zoning district within the C-3 area, as defined by applicable floor area, height, bulk and other parameters; (2) encouraging and directing development into the Special Development District in order to maintain a compact downtown financial district; and (3) facilitating the retention of Significant Buildings, and encouraging the retention of Contributory Buildings, and the compatible replacement or alteration of Unrated buildings in Conservation Districts, as defined herein.

SEC. 1102. STANDARDS FOR DESIGNATION OF BUILDINGS.

The buildings in the C-3 Districts are divided into five categories according to the Building Rating methodology as set forth and explained in the Preservation of the Past section

	of the Downtown Plan, a component of the Master General Plan. Those categories are as		
1	follows:		
2	(a)	Significant Buildings - Category I. Buildings which that:	
3	(1)	Are at least 40 years old; and	
4	(2)	Are judged to be Buildings of Individual Importance; and	
5	(3)	Are rated Excellent in Architectural Design or are rated Very Good in both	
6	Architectural Design and Relationship to the Environment.		
7	(b)	Significant Buildings - Category II. Buildings:	
8	(1)	Which That meet the standards in Section 1102(a) above; and	
9	(2)	To which, because of their depth and relationship to other structures, it is	
10	feasible to add different and higher replacement structures or additions to height at the rear of		
11	the structure, even if visible when viewing the principal facades, without affecting their		
12	architectural quality or relationship to the environment and without affecting the appearance of		
13	the retained portions as separate structures when viewing the principal facades. The		
14	designation of Category II Buildings shall identify for each building the portion of the building		
15	beyond which such additions may be permitted.		
16	(c)	Contributory Buildings - Category III. Buildings which that:	
17	(1)	Are located outside a designated Conservation District; and	
18	(2)	Are at least 40 years old; and	
19	(3)	Are judged to be Buildings of Individual Importance; and	
20	(4)	Are rated either Very Good in Architectural Design or Excellent or Very Good in	
21	Relationship to the Environment.		
22	(d)	Contributory Buildings - Category IV. Buildings which that:	
23	(1)	Are located in a designated Conservation District; and	
24	(2)	Are at least 40 years old; and	

publication pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code Section 6064. The notice shall state that the owner of every building so designated has the right to request a change of designation and the time permitted for making such a request.

(c) The Zoning Administrator shall cause a copy of this ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder for properties designated as Significant or Contributory, and for properties designated within a conservation district, by this ordinance.

SEC. 1105. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. RECONSIDERATION OF DESIGNATION.

- (a) Request for Reconsideration. Within 45 days of the effective date of this ordinance, a request for reconsideration and change of a designation may be filed by any affected property owner, by any organization or group which has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or the application of at least 50 registered voters of the City, based on the grounds that under the standards contained in Section 1102 the designation set forth in this ordinance is incorrect. Such a request shall be filed with the Department of City Planning on forms provided for that purpose. The Department of City Planning shall not accept or act upon any application filed after 45 days have passed. Once a request for reconsideration has been made as to any building, no additional requests shall be accepted as to that building; however, another applicant may seek a change of designation different from that sought in the original reconsideration request. Any property owner who contends that the designation applicable to its property deprives the owner of a constitutionally protected property right, or that, by reason of such application, the property owner is entitled to compensation, shall assert such argument in connection with and in aid of the application filed under this Section and provide all evidence in the property owner's possession in support of such contention.
- (b) Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board; Review by the Department of City Planning. Upon determination by the Zoning Administrator that an application is complete, the

4	2
1	Ĵ
2	1
3	i
4	1
5	1
6	i
7	
8	ť
9	1
10	ť
11	1
12	
13	t
14	Ĵ
15	ź.
	ť
16	ť
17	
18	ť
19	

21

22

23

24

25

- (c) Submittal to the Planning Commission. Upon completion of the study by the Department of City Planning and recommendation by the Landmarks Advisory Board, the matter shall be scheduled for public hearing before the Planning Commission; provided, however, that in no event shall it be scheduled later than 30 days after the Advisory Board has made its recommendation unless the applicant consents to an extension of this time limit. Notice of the hearing shall be given by mail to the applicant and to any other persons requesting notice.
- (d) City Planning Commission Decision. The Planning Commission may approve, disapprove, or approve with modifications the reconsideration application. The building shall be deemed to be designated according to the decision of the Planning Commission and the provisions of this Article 11 applicable to that designation shall apply to the building notwithstanding another designation of the building in Appendices A, B, C or D to this Article.

SEC. 1106. <u>PROCEDURES FOR</u> CHANGE OF DESIGNATION: <u>AND</u> DESIGNATION OF ADDITIONAL <u>SIGNIFICANT AND CONTRIBUTORY</u> BUILDINGS.

1

Buildings may be designated <u>as Significant or Contributory</u> or their designation may be changed through amendment of Appendices A, B, C and D of this Article. Such designation or change of designation shall be governed by the following provisions in lieu of the provisions of Section 302:

- Initiation. The designation or change of designation of a *Significant or* (a) Contributory building may be initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, by resolution of the Planning Commission or the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Historic Preservation Commission, by the verified application of the owner or authorized agent of the affected property, by the application of any organization or group which that has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or by the application of at least 50 registered voters of the City. Except in the case of initiation by governmental bodies, any such application shall *contain historic*, *architectural*, *and/or cultural documentation to support* the initiation or change of designation as well as any additional information that may be required by the application procedures and policies established by the Historic Preservation Commission. be filed with the Department of City Planning upon forms prescribed by the Department of City Planning, and shall be accompanied by all data required by the Department. If initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall refer the matter to the Historic Preservation Commission for its review and recommendation prior to passage by the Board of Supervisors, without referral to the Planning Commission.
- (b) Notice; Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board; Referral to the Historic Preservation Commission; Review by the Planning Department of City Planning. Upon determination by the Zoning Administrator Department that a verified application is complete and contains all necessary information or upon receipt of the motion or resolution of one of the governmental bodies set forth in Subsection (a) above, the Zoning Administrator Department shall: (1) promptly schedule a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission on the proposed

- designation or change of designation; and (2) send notice of the proposed designation or change of designation hearing by mail no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owner(s) of the affected property, unless the application is that of the owner,; the applicant(s), if any, for the designation or change in designation; to the owners of all properties within 150 feet of the affected property; and to any interested parties who so request in writing to the Department. and (2) promptly refer the matter to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for review and the submittal of a recommendation. The Department of City Planning shall also undertake a study of the proposed designation or change of designation.
- (c) Action by the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission. *Upon completion of the review of the The* proposed designation or change of designation by the Department of City

 *Planning and the submittal of the report by the Landmarks Board, the matter shall be placed on the agenda of the *PlanningHistoric Preservation* Commission for public hearing. The *Planning*

 Historic Preservation Commission shall determine the appropriate designation or change in designation of the building. If the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission approves or modifies the *proposed* designation* or change of designation in whole or in part, it shall transmit *the proposal its recommendation*, together with a copy of the resolution *of approval*, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors *without referral to the Planning Commission*.
- (d) Designation by Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it. The Board of Supervisors may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove the designation or change of designation by a majority vote of all its members.
- (e) Appeal to Board of Supervisors. If the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission disapproves the proposed designation or change of designation, such action shall be final except upon the filing of a notice of appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by the applicant or any of the persons, organizations or groups listed in Section 1106(a); provided,

however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the *said*Board shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.

- (f) Hearing and Decision <u>By the Board of Supervisors</u>. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or initiated by it. The Board of Supervisors may uphold the <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission, overrule the <u>Planning Historic Preservation</u> Commission and approve, or modify and approve, the designation or change of designation by a majority vote of all its members.
- (g) Notice of <u>Board of Supervisors</u> Proceedings. Notice of the hearing scheduled before the <u>Planning Commission and</u> Board of Supervisors, and of the availability of applicable reports, shall be given by mail <u>no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing</u> to the initiators of the designation or change of designation, to the <u>owners owner(s)</u> of any affected building, to <u>any</u> appellants, and to any other interested person or organization <u>who so requests in writing to the Department requesting notice</u>.
- (h) Grounds for Designation or Change of Designation. The designation of a building may be changed if (1) changes in the area in the vicinity of a building located outside a Conservation District warrant a change in the rating of the building with respect to its relationship to the environment and therefore place it in a different category, pursuant to Section 1102; or (2) changes in Conservation District boundaries make a building of Contextual Importance fall outside a Conservation District and therefore no longer eligible for designation as a Contributory building, or, conversely, make a building of Contextual Importance fall within a Conservation District and therefore eligible for designation as a Contributory Building; or (3) changes in the physical features of the building due to circumstances beyond the control of the owner, or otherwise permitted by this Article, warrant placing the building in a different category pursuant to the standards set forth in Section 1102; or (4) restoration of the building to its original quality and character warrants placing the

building in a different category pursuant to the standards set forth in Section 1102; or (5) by the passage of time, the building has become at least 40 years old, making it eligible to be considered for designation as a Significant or Contributory building, pursuant to Section 1102; or (6) the discovery of new factual information (for example, information about the history of the building) makes the building eligible for rating as a Building of Individual or Contextual Importance and, therefore, eligible to be designated as a Significant or Contributory Building.

SEC. 1107. PROCEDURES FOR DESIGNATION OF ADDITIONAL CONSERVATION DISTRICTS OR BOUNDARY CHANGE OF CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

A Conservation District may be designated or its boundary changed through amendment of Section 1103.1 of this Article 11. *The Historic Preservation Commission may* recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of Conservation District designations or boundary changes to the Board of Supervisors. Such designation or boundary change shall be governed by the following provisions in lieu of the provisions of Section 302.

(a) Initiation of Designation or Boundary Change. The designation of an area of the C-3 District as a Conservation District or the change of District boundaries may be initiated by motion of the Board of Supervisors, by resolution of the *PlanningHistoric Preservation*Commission *er the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board*, upon the verified application of the owners or other authorized agents of greater than 25 percent of the structures in the area proposed for designation (or, as to an alteration, 25 percent of the structures of the proposed new district unless it would be an area smaller than the existing district, in which case it shall be 25 percent of the structures of the existing district), upon the verified application of any organization or group *whichthat* has historic preservation stated as one of its goals in its bylaws or articles of incorporation, or upon the verified application of at least 150 registered voters of the City. Except in case of an initiation by governmental bodies, any such application

1	5
1	<u>l</u>
2	I
3	1
4	ť
5	
6	<u>(</u>
7	<u> </u>
8	
9	i
10	<u>l</u>
11	<u>(</u>
12	<u>c</u>
13	<u>r</u>
14	<u>(</u>
15	<u>1</u>
16	<u>1</u>
	<u>t</u>
17	<u>r</u>
18	<u>t</u>
19	
20	•
21	<u>(</u>
22	

24

25

shall <u>contain historic</u>, <u>architectural</u>, <u>and/or cultural documentation to support the designation or boundary change as well as any additional information that may be required by the application procedures and policies established by the Historic Preservation Commission be filed with the Department of City Planning upon forms prescribed by the Department of City Planning, and shall be accompanied by all data required by said Department.</u>

- (b) Notice; Referral to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board Historic Preservation

 Commission; Review by the Planning Department of City Planning. Notice, referral to the

 Landmarks Board and review by the Department of City Planning shall be as provided in Section

 H06(b) of this Article. If a proposed Conservation District designation or boundary change is initiated
 by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the Board shall refer the matter to the Historic Preservation

 Commission for its review and recommendation. Upon determination by the Planning Department that
 a verified application is complete and contains all necessary information or upon receipt of a motion or
 resolution by the Board of Supervisors or the Historic Preservation Commission initiating designation
 or a change in designation, the Department shall (1) promptly schedule a hearing before the Historic
 Preservation Commission on the proposed district or boundary change; and (2) send notice of the
 Historic Preservation Commission hearing by mail no less than 20 days prior to the date of the hearing
 to the initiators of the designation or boundary change, to the owners of all lots within the proposed
 new district or the district being modified, and to any interested parties who make a request in writing
 to the Department.
- (c) Submittal to the Planning Commission. Submittal to and action by the Planning

 Commission shall be as set forth in Section 1106(c) of this Article. Action by the Historic Preservation

 Commission. The proposed designation or boundary change shall be placed on the agenda of the

 Historic Preservation Commission for public hearing. If the Historic Preservation Commission

 approves or modifies the proposed designation or boundary change in whole or in part, the

 Department shall transmit the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation together with a

4	copy of the Historic Preservation Commission's resolution and with any comments of the Planning
1	Commission, as set forth in subsection (d) below, to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
3	(d) <u>Review by the Planning Commission.</u> <u>Following action by the Historic Preservation</u>
4	Commission, the Department shall promptly refer the Historic Preservation Commission's
5	recommendation on the proposed Conservation District designation or boundary change to the
6	Planning Commission, which shall have 45 days to review and comment on the proposed designation
7	or boundary change. The Planning Commission's comments, if any, shall be forwarded to the Board of
8	Supervisors together with the Historic Preservation Commission 's recommendation. Notice of the
9	Planning Commission hearing shall be given as provided in Section 1107(b) of this Article. The
10	Planning Commission's comments shall be transmitted to the Board of Supervisors as a
11	resolution and shall (i) address the consistency of the proposed boundary change with the
12	policies embodied in the General Plan and the priority policies of Section 101.1, particularly
13	the provision of housing to meet the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and the
14	provision of housing near transit corridors; (ii) identify any amendments to the General Plan
15	necessary to facilitate adoption of the proposed boundary change; and (iii) evaluate whether
16	the proposed boundary change would conflict with the Sustainable Communities Strategy for
17	the Bay Area.
18	(e) Designation by Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee
19	thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it. The Board of
20	Supervisors may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove the designation or boundary
21	change by a majority vote of all its members.
22	boundary change, the Planning Department shall conduct thorough outreach to affected
23	property owners. The Planning Department shall invite all property owners in the area
23	covered by the proposed boundary change to express their opinion in writing on the proposed
	boundary change, be it in the form of a vote or a survey, with the goal of obtaining the

participation of at least half of all property owners in the area. Such invitation shall advise owners of the practical consequences of the adoption of the proposed boundary change, including the availability of preservation incentives, the types of work requiring a Permit to Alter, the process and fees for obtaining a Permit to Alter, and the types of work that is generally ineligible to receive a Permit to Alter. The property owners' vote shall be considered by the Board of Supervisors when taking action on the proposed boundary change.

(e)(f) Appeal to Board of Supervisors. If the *Planning Historic Preservation* Commission disapproves the proposed designation or boundary change, such action shall be final except upon the filing of a notice of appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days by the applicant or any of the persons, organizations, or groups listed in Section 1107(a); provided, however, that if the proposal was initiated by the Board of Supervisors, the Clerk of the *said bB* oard shall be notified immediately of the disapproval without the necessity for an appeal.

(f)(g) Hearing and Decision by the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors, or a committee thereof, shall hold a public hearing on any such proposal appealed to it or initiated by it. The Board of Supervisors may uphold the Planning Historic Preservation Commission, overrule the Planning Historic Preservation Commission and approve, or modify and approve, the designation or boundary change by a majority vote of all its members.

(g)(h) Notice of <u>Board of Supervisors</u> Proceedings. Notice of the hearing scheduled <u>pursuant to this Section before the Planning Commission</u> shall be given by mail <u>no less than 20 days</u> <u>prior to the date of the hearing to:</u> the <u>initiators of applicants for</u> the designation or alteration, <u>if any:</u> the owners of all lots within <u>300 feet of</u> the proposed new district or <u>of that portion of</u> the district being altered; <u>appellants, if any; as well as and</u> to interested individuals or organizations who request such notice <u>in writing to the Department</u>.

 $\frac{(h)(i)}{(i)}$ Standards Applicable to Designation or Boundary Change. The standards governing the designation and change of District boundaries are those set forth in Section

1103. Areas may be removed from Conservation Districts if the character of the area has changed such that the area no longer qualifies under the standards set forth in Section 1103.

SEC. 1108. NOTICE OF DESIGNATION.

When a building has been designated Significant or Contributory or its designation is changed pursuant to Section 1106, or when a new Conservation District is established or the boundary of a Conservation District changed pursuant to Section 1107, the *Zoning** Administrator**Planning Department** shall notify each affected property owner by mail and shall cause a copy of the ordinance, or notice thereof, to be recorded *in the office of with** the County Recorder. *The Department shall file in its permanent records any new designation or change of *designation of a Significant or Contributory Building or a new Conservation District or change of a *Conservation District boundary and shall notify the Central Permit Bureau pursuant to Section 1117 of this Article.

SEC. 1109. PRESERVATION LOTS: ELIGIBILITY FOR TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS.

For the purpose of transfer of development rights (TDR) as provided in Section 128 of this

Code, IL ots on which are located Significant or Contributory Buildings, or Category V Buildings in those certain Conservation Districts and portions thereof as indicated in Section 8 of the Appendix relating to that District are eligible preservation lots as provided in Section 128 of this

Code for the purposes of Transferable Development Rights ("TDR"), as provided in this Section:

(a) Significant Buildings. Lots on which are located buildings designated as Significant Buildings - Category I or Category II - are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot by Section 124 of this Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth

1

in Section 128 are met. Lots on which are located Significant Buildings which have been altered in conformance with the provisions of this Article retain eligibility for the transfer of TDR.

- (b) Contributory Buildings. Lots on which are located buildings designated as Contributory Buildings - Category III or Category IV - are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot by Section 124 of the this Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met. Alteration or demolition of such a building in violation of Section 1110 or Section 1112, or alterations or demolitions made without a permit issued pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.67, eliminates eligibility for the transfer of TDR; provided, however, that such eligibility may nonetheless be retained or acquired again if, pursuant to Section $\frac{1114(b)}{1116(b)}$: the property owner demonstrates as to any alteration that it was *not* major, or if the property owner restores the demolished or altered building a Minor Alteration as defined herein and has applied for a Permit for Minor Alteration pursuant to Section 1111.1; or that the property owner has obtained a Permit to Alter to restore the original distinguishing qualities and character-defining features that were altered. Once any TDR have been transferred from a Contributory Building, the building is subject to the same restrictions on demolition and alteration as a Significant Building. These restrictions may not be removed by the transfer of TDR back to the building.
- (c) Category V Buildings in Conservation Districts. Where explicitly permitted in Section 8 of the Appendix establishing a Conservation District, lots located in such a District on which are located Category V Buildings (designated as neither Significant nor Contributory) are eligible to transfer the difference between the allowable gross floor area permitted on the lot under Section 124 of the Code and the gross floor area of the development on the lot, if all the requirements for transfer set forth in Section 128 are met; provided, however, that a lot is

10 11

12

13

14

151617

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

substantially altered so as to make it compatible with the scale and character of the Significant and Contributory Buildings in the district, including those features described in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix to Article 11 describing the relevant district, and has thus been determined by the Historic Preservation Commission to be a Compatible Rehabilitation, and the building meets or has been reinforced to meet the standards for seismic loads and forces of the 1975 Building Code; or (2) the building on the lot is new, having replaced a Category V Building, and has received approval by the Historic Preservation Commission as a Compatible Replacement Building, pursuant to Section 1113. The procedures governing these determinations are set forth in Section 309.

eligible as a Preservation Lot pursuant to this Section only if: (1) the exterior of the building is

SEC. 1110. <u>CONSTRUCTION</u>, ALTERATION <u>OR DEMOLITION</u> OF SIGNIFICANT OR CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS OR BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

With respect to a designated Significant or Contributory Building or any Category V Building in a Conservation District, no person shall carry out or cause to be carried out any alteration to the exterior of a building for which a permit is required pursuant to the Building Code unless the permit is approved pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1111 through 1111.6 of this Article; provided, however, that this approval is not required with respect to the owner of a Contributory Building of Category III who has not transferred any TDR and who elects to proceed with a major alteration without reference to Sections 1111 through 1111.6. Election to proceed without a permit pursuant to this Section may be made at the time that the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed alteration is major pursuant to Section 1111.1. If no election is made at the time of the Zoning Administrator's determination that an alteration is major, the applicant may make such election at any time thereafter. Review under Sections 1111 through 1111.6 shall cease after such election has been made and the permit shall be processed without regard to the requirements of that Section. Election

1	shall be made in writing on a form provided by the Zoning Administrator. Where an owner elects not to
2	proceed pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.6, the proposed alteration for which the application is
3	filed shall be deemed not to meet the requirements of Section 1111.6, and if the alteration permit is
	issued and work commenced thereunder, the Zoning Administrator shall not issue a Statement of
4	Eligibility for the lot on which the building is located.
5	(a) No person shall carry out or cause to be carried out any construction, alteration,
6	removal or demolition of a structure or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural, or
7	other appendage, or any new or replacement construction for which a permit is required pursuant to
8	the Building Code, on any designated Significant or Contributory Building or any building in a
9	Conservation District unless a permit for such work has been approved pursuant to the provisions of
10	this Article 11. Notwithstanding the foregoing, when the application is for a permit to maintain,
11	repair, rehabilitate, or improve streets and sidewalks, including sidewalk widening,
12	accessibility, and bulbouts, the Department shall process the permit application without further
13	reference to this Article 11, unless such streets and sidewalks have been explicitly called out
14	in a conservation district's designating ordinance as character-defining features of the district.
15	(b) The Historic Preservation Commission shall approve, disapprove, or modify all
16	applications for permits to alter or demolish any Significant or Contributory Buildings or buildings
17	within Conservation Districts, and permits for any new and replacement construction within
18	Conservation Districts, subject to appeal as provided in Section 1115 of this Article 11. The Historic
19	Preservation Commission shall review and act on such permits prior to any other Planning approval
20	action(s). Buildings or areas within the C-3 District designated pursuant to the provisions of both
21	Article 10 and Article 11 shall be regulated pursuant to the procedures of both Articles. In case of
22	conflict, the more restrictive provisions shall apply.
23	(c) If the proposed work would constitute a demolition as defined in Section 1005(f) of this
24	Code, such work shall, in addition to any other requirements, be subject to the provisions of this Article

	11 governing demolitions and shall require a "Permit to Demolish." All other proposed construction
1	or alteration of a structure, including any new or replacement construction, or any work involving a
2	sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural, or other appendage work, but excepting ordinary maintenance
3	and repairs, shall require a "Permit to Alter."
4	(d) No person shall demolish or cause to be demolished a Significant or Contributory
5	Building or any building in a Conservation District without obtaining a Permit to Demolish and, if
6	
7	located within a Conservation District, a permit for a Compatible Replacement Building.
8	(e) If at any time following the approval of a Permit to Alter, changes are proposed to the
9	scope of work such that the proposed new scope of work, if approved, would constitute a demolition as
10	defined herein, the owner shall file a new application for a Permit to Demolish and shall obtain such
	approval prior to proceeding with the proposed new scope of work.
11	(f) A building permit application or amendment for any work that exceeds the scope of
12	work of an approved Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish shall be referred to the Planning
13	Department by the Central Permit Bureau for Historic Preservation Commission review and approval
14	pursuant to this Article 11 before the permit may be approved or issued.
15	(g) Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the following cases the Department may process the
16	permit application without further reference to this Article 11:
17	(1) When the application is for a permit for ordinary maintenance and repairs only. For
18	the purpose of this Article 11, "ordinary maintenance and repairs" shall mean any work, the sole
19	purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay or damage of existing materials,
20	including repair of damage caused by fire or other disaster.
21	(2) When the application is for a permit to construct any new or replacement structures on a
22	site where a Significant or Contributory Building has been lawfully demolished pursuant to this Code
23	
24	and the site is not within a designated Conservation District; or

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

2

3

(3) When the application is for a permit to make interior alterations only and does not constitute a demolition as defined herein, unless the Department has determined that the proposed interior alterations may result in any visual or material impact to the exterior of the building or when the designating ordinance or applicable Appendix in this Article requires review of such interior alterations.

SEC. 1111. APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS TO ALTER, <u>PERMITS TO DEMOLISH</u>, AND PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

The Zoning Administrator may define categories of alterations which are deemed to be minor alterations and individual permits falling within those categories shall be reviewed and acted upon without referral to the Zoning Administrator for review pursuant to Sections 1111 through 1111.6. All other applications for permits to undertake any alteration of a building designated Significant or Contributory or a building in any Conservation District shall be referred to the Zoning Administrator by the Central Permit Bureau within five days of receipt. An applicant for a major alteration permit for a Category V Building in any of the Conservation Districts which provides for such eligibility may request on the application a determination that if the proposed alteration is completed as approved, the building will be deemed a Compatible Rehabilitation under Section 1109(c) so that the lot on which the building is located becomes eligible as a Preservation Lot for the transfer of TDR.

Upon receipt of any application for a building permit, demolition permit, site permit, alteration permit, or any other permit relating to a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a Conservation District, the Central Permit Bureau shall forward such application to the Planning Department for determination as to whether the application is subject to the provisions of this Article and, if so, for approval under this Article. An application for a Permit to Alter or Permit to Demolish or for new and replacement construction in any Conservation District shall be filed by the owner or authorized agent for the owner of the property for which the permit is sought with the Planning

	<u>Department.</u>	Each application shall be verified by at least one property owner or his or her authorized
	agent attestir	ng to the truth and correctness of all facts, statements and information presented.
	<u>(a)</u>	Content of Applications. The content of applications shall be in accordance with the
	policies, rule	es and regulations of the Department and the Historic Preservation Commission. All
	applications	shall be upon forms prescribed therefore and shall contain or be accompanied by all
	<u>information</u>	required to assure the presentation of all pertinent facts for proper consideration of the
	case and for	the permanent record. Applications shall include the following information:
	<u>(1)</u>	Plans, sections and elevations showing all existing and proposed work, including but not
	<u>limited to col</u>	lor, texture of materials, architectural design, profile, and detail;
	<u>(2)</u>	All demolition calculations and associated detail drawings showing all interior and
	<u>exterior alter</u>	rations associated with the proposed scope of work, including but not limited to any
	changes to th	ne exterior and internal structural framework, floor plates, removal of interior walls, or
	<u>changes to th</u>	ne foundation;
	<u>(3)</u>	Specifications describing the means and methods associated with the proposed scope of
]	work, includi	ing any technical specifications for all exterior restoration or cleaning work;
	<u>(4)</u>	Photographs showing the property and the context of its surroundings;
	<u>(5)</u>	Any other information that the Department determines may be necessary for the
	<u>particular sc</u>	ope of work proposed; and
	<u>(6)</u>	Information needed for the preparation and mailing of notices as specified in Section
	<u>1111.4.</u>	
	<u>(b)</u>	In addition to the contents specified for applications in (1) above, any application for a
		molish a Significant building or a Contributory building for which TDR have been
	transterred	shall also contain the following information:

	(1) An updated historic resource evaluation and conditions assessment report that includes
1	any pertinent information on the condition of the building and historical, architectural, and cultural
2	documentation about the building;
3	(2) The amount paid for the property;
4	(3) The date of purchase, the party from whom purchased, and a description of the business
5	or family relationship, if any, between the owner and the person from whom the property was
6	
7	purchased;
8	(4) The cost of any improvements since purchase by the applicant and date the
9	<u>improvements were made;</u>
10	(5) The assessed value of the land, and improvements thereon, according to the most recent
11	assessments;
	(6) Real estate taxes for the previous five years;
12	(7) Annual debt service, if any, for the previous five years;
13	(8) All appraisals obtained within the previous five years by the owner or applicant in
14	connection with his or her purchase, financing or ownership of the property;
15	(9) Any listing of the property for sale or rent, price asked and offers received, if any;
16	(10) Any consideration by the owner for profitable and adaptive uses for the property,
17	including renovation studies, plans, and bids, if any;
18	(11) If it is a Preservation Lot eligible to transfer TDR, the amount and value of such
19	untransferred TDR;
20	(12) Annual gross income from the property for the previous five years;
21	(13) Itemized operating and maintenance expenses for the previous five years;
22	(14) Annual cash flow for the previous four years; and
23	
24	(15) Building plans, elevations, sections, detail drawings, and any other information required
25	for the Replacement Building.

	(c) For Permits to Demolish buildings from which TDR have been transferred the
1	application shall contain the following information in addition to that set forth in (a) and (b)
2	above:
3	(1) (16) The Statement of Eligibility as set forth in Section 128;
4	(2) (17) An itemized list of the amount of TDR that has been transferred from the
5	property;
6	(3) A list of the amount of untransferred TDR rights remaining on the property;
7	(4) (18) The amount received for rights transferred;
8	(5) (19) The transferee(s); and
9	(6) (20) A copy of each document effecting a transfer of such rights.
10	(d) An application for a Permit to Demolish any building located in a Conservation District
11	or an application for new construction on vacant lots shall include plans, specifications and elevations
12	showing the proposed exterior appearance, including but not limited to color, texture of materials, and
13	architectural design and detail, for the replacement construction.
14	(e) Category V Buildings (Unrated). The owner or owner's representative of a Category V
15	building located in a Conservation District may apply for one of the following:
16	(1) Compatible Rehabilitation. An applicant for a Permit to Alter a Category V Building
17	(Unrated) may request on the application a determination by the Historic Preservation Commission
18	that if the proposed alteration is completed as approved, the building will be deemed a Compatible
19 20	Rehabilitation under Section 1109(c) so that the lot on which the building is located becomes eligible
21	as a Preservation Lot for the transfer of TDR.
22	(2) Compatible Replacement Building. An applicant for new construction in a Conservation
23	District on a lot where a Category V Building (Unrated) has been lawfully demolished may request on
24	the application a determination by the Historic Preservation Commission that if the proposed new
25	construction is completed as approved, the new building will be deemed a Compatible Replacement

	Residential projects where 80% or more of the units are designated for households
with an	income of 150% or less than the area median income shall be exempt from the
<u>require</u>	ements of Section 1111.
	SEC. 1111.1. DETERMINATION OF <u>MINOR AND</u> MAJOR ALTERATION <u>S</u> .
	Within 10 days after referral by the Central Permit Bureau, the Zoning Administrator shall
determi	ne in writing if the proposed alteration is a Major Alteration or a Minor Alteration.
	(a) An alteration is considered Major if any of the following apply:
	(1) The alteration will substantially change, obscure or destroy exterior character-defining
spaces,	materials, features or finishes; or
	(2) The alteration would affect all or any substantial part of a building's structural
element	ts, exterior walls or exterior ornamentation; or
	(3) The alteration occurs by virtue of construction which results in a substantial addition of
height e	above the height of the building.
	(b) An alteration is considered minor if:
	(1) The criteria set forth in Subsection (a) do not apply; or
	(2) It is an alteration of the ground-floor display areas within the architectural frame (piers
	tels) of the building to meet the needs of first-floor commercial uses; or
	(a) The Historic Preservation Commission shall determine if a proposed alteration is a
	Alteration or a Minor Alterations and may delegate approval of Minor Alteration to Departmen hose decisions may be appealed to the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to
	ion 1111.1(b). All work not determined to be a Minor Alteration shall be a Major Alteration
	eject to Historic Preservation Commission approval. If so delegated to Department staff, the
categor	ies of Minor Alteration shall include but are not limited to the following:

1	$\frac{(3)}{(1)}$ The <u>Alterations whose</u> sole purpose and effect of the alteration is to comply with the
1	UMB Seismic Retrofit Ordinances and the Zoning Administrator determines that the proposed work
2	that comply complies with the UMB Retrofit Architectural Design Guidelines, which guidelines
3	shall be adopted by the <i>Planning Historic Preservation</i> Commission. ; or
4	(2) Any other work so delegated to the Department by the Historic Preservation
5	Commission.
6	(b) Minor Alterations delegated to Department staff shall be approved, approved with
7	modifications, or disapproved as a Permit for Minor Alteration by the Department without a hearing
8	before the Historic Preservation Commission. The Department shall mail its written decision
9	approving a Permit for Minor Alteration to the applicant and any individuals or organizations who
10	have so requested in writing to the Department. The Department's decision may be appealed to the
11	Historic Preservation Commission within 15 days of the date of the written decision. The Historic
12	Preservation Commission may also review the decisions of the Department by its own motion if such
13	motion is made within 20 days of the date of the written decision.
14	(c) All applications for a Permit to Alter that are not Minor Alterations delegated to
15	Department staff shall be approved, approved with modifications, or disapproved by the Historic
16	Preservation Commission pursuant to the procedures in Section 1111.4 and 1111.5 below.
17	(c) The Zoning Administrator shall mail to the applicant and any individuals or
18	organizations who so request the written determination as to the category of the proposed alteration.
19	Decisions of the Zoning Administrator may be appealed to the Board of Permit Appeals within 10 days
20	of the written determination in the manner provided in Section 308.2.
21	·
22	
23	determination noted, to the Central Permit Bureau for further processing; provided, however, that the
24	Zoning Administrator may take any action with respect to the application otherwise authorized.

	SEC. 1111.2. REFERRAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR MAJOR ALTERATIONS TO
1	LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD: REVIEW BY THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY
2	PLANNING.
3	(a) Upon determination that the proposed alteration is a major alteration, the Director of
4	Planning shall refer applications for permits to alter Significant and Contributory Buildings to the
5	Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board for its report and recommendation, which shall be rendered
6	within 30 days. Said time limit for the Board to render its report may be extended by the Department of
7	City Planning for an additional 30 days to render its report in the case of complex alterations, multiple
8	hearings, or upon request of the applicant. If the Board fails to submit a report and recommendation
9	within the time allowed, the matter may be considered without reference to such report and
10	recommendation.
11	(b) Simultaneously with the proceedings before the Landmarks Board, the application shall
12	be reviewed by the Department of City Planning.
13	(c) Applications for permits to alter any Category V building in a Conservation District
14	which alteration is determined to be major shall be governed by the standards of Section 1111.6(c) and
15	the procedures set forth in Section 309. SIGN PERMITS.
16	(a) New general advertising signs are prohibited in any Conservation District or on any
17	historic property regulated by this Article 11.
18	(b) If a permit for a sign is required pursuant to Article 6 of this Code, the requirements of
19	this Section shall apply to such permit in addition to those of Article 6.
20	(c) Apart from and in addition to the requirements of Article 6, an application for a
21	business sign, general advertising sign, identifying sign, or nameplate to be located on a Significant or
22	Contributory Building or any building in a Conservation District shall be subject to review by the
23	Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to the provisions of this Article. The Historic Preservation
24	Commission shall disapprove the application or approve it with modifications if the proposed location

materials, typeset, size of lettering, means of illumination, method of replacement, or the attachment would adversely affect the special architectural, historical or aesthetic significance of the subject building or the Conservation District. No application shall be denied on the basis of the content of the sign.

SEC. 1111.3. RECOMMENDATION BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.

Advisory Board, the Director of Planning shall make a determination on the application and shall submit a written recommendation containing findings to the Planning Commission. The recommendation may be to approve, to approve with conditions, or disapprove the application for alteration, and, where applicable, the application for a determination that the building is a Compatible Rehabilitation. The Commission, the applicant and any other person who so requests shall be supplied with a copy of reports and recommendations of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and the findings and recommendations of the Director of Planning. REVIEW BY THE PLANNING

The Department shall review all applications and shall determine within 30 days after the application is filed whether the application is complete. Applications for Minor Alterations that have been delegated to Department staff may be approved by the Department pursuant to Section 1111.1 without a hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission. Upon acceptance as complete of any other application under this Article or upon appeal to or a request by the Historic Preservation

Commission to exercise its review powers over a Minor Permit to Alter as set forth in 1111.1, the Historic Preservation Commission shall hold a hearing and approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the application in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 1111.

SEC. 1111.4. CONSIDERATION AND DECISION BY THE CITY PLANNING 1 COMMISSION. 2 (a) The recommendation of the Director of Planning shall be placed on the consent 3 calendar of the City Planning Commission; provided, however, that upon the request of the applicant 4 or of any person prior to the City Planning Commission meeting or by a member of the Commission at 5 the meeting, the matter may be removed from the consent calendar and calendared for a public hearing 6 before the Planning Commission at a later meeting, which shall be the next regular meeting of the 7 Commission unless the applicant otherwise consents. 8 Notice of the time, place and purpose of the hearing before the City Planning 9 Commission shall begin given as follows: 10 (1) By mail to the applicant; 11 (2) When the application is for alteration of a building located in a Conservation District, 12 by mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the owners of all real property within 13 300 feet of property that is the subject of the application. SCHEDULING AND NOTICE OF HISTORIC 14 PRESERVATION COMMISSION HEARINGS 15 If a public hearing before the Historic Preservation Commission is required under this Section 16 1111, the Department shall set a time and place for the hearing within a reasonable period. Notice of 17 the time, place, and purpose of the hearing shall be given by the Department not less than 20 days prior 18 to the date of the hearing as follows: 19 (a) By mail to the owner of the subject property; 20 By mail to the applicant; (b) 21 By mail to any interested parties who make a request in writing to the Department; 22 For applications for a building located in a Conservation District, by mail to the owners 23 of all real property within 300 feet of the subject property;

24

	(e) For applications for a building not located in a Conservation District, by mail to the
1	owners of all real property within 150 feet of the subject property;
2	(f) By posting notice on the site; and
3	(g) By any other means as the Department deems appropriate.
4	Notice for Historic Preservation Commission review of Minor Permits to Alter. A hearing for
5	the Historic Preservation Commission to exercise its review powers over a Minor Permit to Alter shall
6	be noticed:
7	(a) By mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the applicant, all owners
8	within 150 feet of the subject property, as well as to any other interested parties who so request in
9	writing to the Department; and
10	(b) By posted notice on the site not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing.
11	
12	SEC. 1111.5. DECISION BY THE CITY PLANNING HISTORIC PRESERVATION
13	COMMISSION.
14	(a) The Planning Historic Preservation Commission may approve, disapprove, or
15	approve with conditions an application for an alteration permit a Permit to Alter or a Permit to
16	<u>Demolish</u> and, where applicable <u>for new or replacement construction</u> , for a determination that the
17	building is a Compatible Rehabilitation <u>under Section 1113 or a Compatible Replacement Building</u>
18	under Section 1109(c), and shall make findings in support of its decision. If the Planning
19	Commission approves the recommendation of the Director of Planning, it may adopt or modify the
20	findings of the Director of Planning as appropriate. Where the Planning Commission disapproves the
21	recommendations of the Director of Planning, it shall make findings supporting its decision. If the
22	Commission disapproves the application for a permit to alter, it shall recommend disapproval to the
23	Central Permit Bureau which shall deny the application. The Planning Commission's determination
24	that a building qualifies or fails to qualify as a Compatible Rehabilitation is a final administrative
25	

4	decision. Any decision of the Planning Commission rendered pursuant to this Section shall be rendered
1	within 30 days from the date of conclusion of the hearing.
2	(b) For applications for a Permit to Demolish, the applicant has the burden of establishing
3	that the criteria governing the approval of applications set forth in Section 1111.7 have been met.
4	(c) The decisions of the Historic Preservation Commission shall be final except upon
5	modification by the Planning Commission as provided in Section 1114 or upon the filing of a timely
6	appeal to the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors as provided in Section 1115.
7	
8	SEC. 1111.6. STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR REVIEW OF
9	APPLICATIONS FOR ALTERATIONS.
10	The <u>Historic Preservation Commission, the</u> Board of <u>Permit</u> Appeals, <u>the Board of</u>
11	<u>Supervisors</u> , the <u>City</u> Planning Commission <u>and the Department</u> , the <u>Director of Planning</u> , and the
12	Landmarks Board shall be governed by the following standards in the review of applications for
13	major alteration permits Permits to Alter. In the case of conflict with other requirements, including the
14	requirements of Article 10, the more restrictive standards shall apply.
15	(a) The proposed alteration shall be consistent with and appropriate for the
16	effectuation of the purposes of this Article 11.
17	(b) The proposed work shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards
18	for the Treatment of Historic Properties, including any guidelines, interpretations, bulletins, or
19	other materials that the Historic Preservation Commission has adopted. The proposed
20	work's compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for specific application in San
21	Francisco pursuant to Guidelines, Interpretations, Bulletins, or other policies (collectivelly,
22	"San Francisco Interpretation of the Secretary's Standards") shall be considered.
23	Development of the San Francisco Interpretation of the Secretary's Standards shall be led by
24	the Planning Department, through a public participation process, determination of
25	

conformance with the General Plan and Planning Code by the Planning Commission, and adoption by both the HPC and the Planning Commission.

- (b)(c) For Significant Buildings Categories I and II, and for Contributory Buildings Categories III and IV, proposed alterations of structural elements and exterior features shall be consistent with the architectural character of the building, and shall comply with the following specific requirements:
- (1) The distinguishing original qualities or character of the building may not be damaged or destroyed. Any distinctive architectural feature which affects the overall appearance of the building shall not be removed or altered unless it is the only feasible means to protect the public safety.
- (2) The integrity of distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship that characterize a building shall be preserved.
- (3) Distinctive architectural features which are to be retained pursuant to Paragraph (1) but which are deteriorated shall be repaired rather than replaced, whenever possible. In the event replacement is necessary, the new material shall match the material being replaced in composition, design, color, texture and other visual qualities. Repair or replacement of missing architectural features shall be based on accurate duplication of features, substantiated by historic, physical or pictorial evidence, if available, rather than on conjectural designs or the availability of different architectural elements from other buildings or structures. Replacement of nonvisible structural elements need not match or duplicate the material being replaced.
- (4) Contemporary design of alterations is permitted, provided that such alterations do not destroy significant exterior architectural material and that such design is compatible with the size, scale, color, material and character of the building and its surroundings.

- (5) The degree to which distinctive features need be retained may be less when the alteration is to exterior elements not constituting a part of a principal facade or when it is an alteration of the ground-floor frontage in order to adapt the space for ground-floor uses.
- (6) In the case of Significant Buildings Category I, any additions to height of the building (including addition of mechanical equipment) shall be limited to one story above the height of the existing roof, shall be compatible with the scale and character of the building, and shall in no event cover more than 75 percent of the roof area.
- (7) In the case of Significant Buildings Category II, a new structure or addition, including one of greater height than the existing building, may be permitted on that portion of the lot not restricted in Appendix B even if such structure or addition will be visible when viewing the principal facades at ground level, provided that the structure or addition does not affect the appearance of the retained portion as a separate structure when so viewing the principal facades and is compatible in form and design with the retained portion. Alteration of the retained portion of the building is permitted as provided in Paragraphs (1) through (6) of this Subsection (b)(c).
- (e)(d) Within Conservation Districts, all major exterior alterations, of Category V Buildings, shall be compatible in scale and design with the District as set forth in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix which describes the District.
- (e) If TDR have been transferred from any Contributory Building, the building shall be subject to the same restrictions on alterations as a Significant Building. These restrictions may not be removed by the transfer of TDR back to the building.

SEC. 1111.7. PERMITS FOR SIGNS.

(a) Installation of a new general advertising sign is prohibited in any Historic District or Conservation District or on any historic property regulated by this Article 11.

1	(b) Wherever a perma jor a sign is required pursuant to fittee 6 of this code, an
2	application for such permit shall be governed by the provisions of this Section in addition to those of
3	Article 6.
	(c) Apart from and in addition to any grounds for approval or disapproval of the
4	application under Article 6, an application involving a permit for a business sign, or general
5	advertising sign, identifying sign, or nameplate to be located on a Significant or Contributory Building
6	or any building in a Conservation District may be disapproved, or approved subject to conditions if the
7	proposed location, materials, means of illumination or method or replacement of attachment would
8	adversely affect the special architectural, historical or aesthetic significance of the building or the
9	Conservation District. No application shall be denied on the basis of the content of the sign.
10	(d) The Director of Planning shall make the determination required pursuant to Subsection
11	(b). Any permit applicant may appeal the determination of the Director of Planning to the City
12	Planning Commission by filing a notice of appeal with the Secretary of the Commission within 10 days
13	of the determination. The City Planning Commission shall hear the appeal and make its determination
14	within 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal. STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR
15	REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS FOR DEMOLITION.
16	
17	(a) The Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Board of Appeals, and
18	the Board of Supervisors (each referred to as a "Decisionmaker" for the purposes of this Section) shall
19	apply the following standards in their review of applications for a Permit to Demolish a Significant or
20	Contributory Building or building within a Conservation District. No demolition permit may be
21	approved unless:
22	(1) For Significant Buildings (Category I and II); Contributory Buildings (Category III);
23	and Contributory Buildings in a Conservation District (Category III and IV) from which TDR have
24	been transferred:

4	(A) The Decisionmaker determines and makes written findings based on substantial
1	evidence in the record that the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable
2	use, taking into account the value of any TDR that have been transferred or which may be available to
3	transfer from the property and the cost of rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code
5	or City, State and federal laws. Costs necessitated by alterations or demolition made in violation of
6	Article 10 or 11, or by failure to maintain the property in violation of Section 1119, may not be
7	included in the calculation of rehabilitation costs; or
8	(B) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fir
9	Prevention and Public Safety determines after consultation, to the extent feasible with the Historic
	Preservation Commission and the Planning Department, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that
10	demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety.
11	(2) For Contributory Buildings in a Conservation District (Category IV) from which no
12	TDR has been transferred:
13	(A) The Decisionmaker determines and makes written findings based on substantial
14	evidence in the record that the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable
15	use, taking into account the value of any TDR that may be available to transfer from the property and
16	costs of rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code or City, State and federal laws.
17	Costs necessitated by alterations or demolition made in violation of Article 10 or 11, or by failure to
18	maintain the property in violation of Section 1119, may not be included in the calculation of
19	rehabilitation costs;
20	(B) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fir
21	Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation to the extent feasible with the Historic
22	Preservation Commission and the Planning Department, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that
23	demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety; or
24	

The Decisionmaker determines based on substantial evidence in the record that:

	$\underline{(i)}$ Because of physical conditions specific to the Contributory Building or site, $\underline{t}\underline{T}\underline{h}\underline{e}$
1	rehabilitation and reuse of the building will not meet most of the goals and objectives of the proposed
3	replacement project;
4	(ii) The proposed replacement project is compatible with the Conservation District in which
5	the property is located; and
6	(iii) Specific economic, social, or other benefits of the proposed replacement project
7	significantly outweigh the benefit conferred from the historic preservation of the particular structure or
8	feature.
9	(3) For Category V Buildings (Not Rated) in Conservation Districts: The Decisionmaker
10	determines that: (A) the building has not gained additional historical or architectural significance that
11	may make it eligible for classification as a Category I, II, or IV building; and (B) the proposed
12	Replacement Building is compatible with the Conservation District in which the property is located. If
13	the Decisionmaker determines based on new documentation presented that a Category V building has
14	gained significance such that it is eligible for classification as a Category I, II, or IV building and
15	reclassification of the Category V building is initiated as provided in Section 1106, the Permit
16	to Demolish shall be reviewed under Subsection (a)(1) or (a)(2) above, and not under this Subsection
17	(a)(3). Additionally, if the building has completed a Compatible Rehabilitation pursuant to Section
18	1109(c), and has transferred development rights from the property, then the building shall be treated as
19	a Significant Building (Category I or II). Any determination that a Category V building may be
20	eligible for reclassification shall be void if, within 180 days of such determination, the Board of
21	Supervisors has not re-designated the building to a Category I, II, or IV building.
22	(b) The cumulative effects on the integrity of the Conservation District associated with
23	demolition of a Contributory Building shall be considered and may be grounds for denial of the Permit
24	to Demolish, if it is found that the demolition would substantially diminish the integrity of the
25	Conservation District.
	Diamaian Danartmant

	(c) In addition to the above requirements, no demolition permit shall be issued by the
1	Department of Building Inspection or any other agency for any building located in a Conservation
2	District until an application for the new or replacement building has been approved in accordance with
3	the standards for new construction in a Conservation Districts as provided in this Article, and the
4	building or site permit conforming to such approval has been lawfully issued.
5	generally or site permit conforming to sites upprovince must be constant, issued
6	SEC. 1112. <u>INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK. DEMOLITION OF SIGNIFICANT AND</u>
7	CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS AND BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.
8	
9	No person shall demolish or cause to be demolished all or any part of a Significant or
10	Contributory Building or any building in a Conservation District without obtaining a demolition or
11	alteration permit pursuant to the provisions of this Article. Applications for permits to demolish
12	Category V Buildings located outside a Conservation District may be processed without reference to
13	this Article.
14	
15	SEC. 1112.1. APPLICATIONS FOR A PERMIT TO DEMOLISH.
16	Applications for a permit to demolish any Significant or Contributory Building or any building
	in a Conservation District shall comply with the provisions of Section 1006.1 of Article 10 of this Code.
17	In addition to the contents specified for applications in Section 1006.1 of Article 10, any
18	application for a permit to demolish a Significant Building, or a Contributory Building from which
19	TDR have been transferred, on the grounds stated in Section 1112.7(a)(1), shall contain the following
20	information:
21	(a) For all property:
22	(1) The amount paid for the property;
23	
24	

(2)	The dute of purchase, the party from whom purchased, that a description of the business.
or family rel	ationship, if any, between the owner and the person from whom the property was
purchased;	
(3)	The cost of any improvements since purchase by the applicant and date incurred;
(4)	The assessed value of the land, and improvements thereon, according to the most recent
assessments;	
(5)	Real estate taxes for the previous two years;
(6)	Annual debt service, if any, for the previous two years;
(7)	All appraisals obtained within the previous five years by the owner or applicant in
, ,	with his or her purchase, financing or ownership of the property;
(8)	Any listing of the property for sale or rent, price asked and offers received, if any;
(9)	Any consideration by the owner for profitable and adaptive uses for the property,
,	novation studies, plans, and bids, if any; and
(b)	
	For income-producing property:
(1)	Annual gross income from the property for the previous four years;
(2)	Itemized operating and maintenance expenses for the previous four years;
(3)	Annual cash flow for the previous four years.
Appli	ications for the demolition of any Significant or Contributory Building shall also contain a
description c	of any Transferable Development Rights or the right to such rights which have been
transferred f	rom the property, a statement of the quantity of such rights and untransferred rights
remaining, ti	he amount received for rights transferred, the transferee, and a copy of each document
effecting a tr	ansfer of such rights.
SEC.	1112.2. DISPOSITION OF APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH CONTRIBUTORY
DIJII DINGS	'AND UNDATED BUILDINGS IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS

(a) The Zoning Administrator shall determine, within five days of acceptance of a complete application, the designation of the building and, with respect to Contributory Buildings, whether any TDR have been transferred from the lots of such buildings.

- (b) If the Zoning Administrator determines that TDR have been transferred from the lot of a Contributory Building, the application for demolition of that building shall be reviewed and acted upon as if it applied to a Significant Building.
- (c) The Zoning Administrator shall approve any application for demolition of a

 Contributory Building in a Conservation District from which no TDR have been transferred, or an

 Unrated Building located in a Conservation District, if a building or site permit has been lawfully

 issued for a replacement structure on the site, in compliance with Section 1113. The Zoning

 Administrator shall approve an application for demolition of a Significant Building Category II if a

 building or site permit has been lawfully issued for an alteration or replacement structure on the

 portion of the site which would be affected by the demolition, in compliance with Section 1111.6(b)(7).

The Zoning Administrator shall disapprove any application for a demolition permit where the foregoing requirement has not been met; provided, however, that the Zoning Administrator shall approve any otherwise satisfactory application for such a permit notwithstanding the fact that no permit has been obtained for a replacement structure if the standards of Section 1112.7 for allowing demolition of a Significant Building are met.

(d) The Zoning Administrator shall approve applications to permit demolition of a

Contributory Building - Category III from which no TDR have been transferred only if a building or

site permit for a replacement building on the same site has been approved, and it has been found,

pursuant to review under the procedural provisions of Section 309, that the proposed replacement will

not adversely affect the character, scale or design qualities of the general area in which it is located,

either by reason of the quality of the proposed design or by virtue of the relation of the replacement

structure or structures to their setting. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the Zoning

4	Administrator shall approve any such demolition permit application if the standards of Section 1112.7			
1	for allowing demolition of a Significant Building are met.			
2 3 4	SEC. 1112.3. APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH SIGNIFICANT BUILDINGS OR			
5	CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS FROM WHICH TDR HAVE BEEN TRANSFERRED; ACCEPTANCE			
6	AND NOTICE.			
7	Upon acceptance as complete of applications for a permit to demolish any Significant Building			
-	or to demolish any Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred, the application sha			
8 9	be placed on the agenda of the Planning Commission for hearing.			
10	SEC. 1112.4. REFERRAL TO THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD			
11	PRIOR TO HEARING; REVIEW BY THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.			
12	The application for a permit to demolish a building covered by Section 1112.3 shall be referred			
13	to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and considered by said Board pursuant to the			
14	provisions of Section 1006.4 of this Code. The Director of Planning shall prepare a report and			
15	recommendation for the Planning Commission. If the Landmarks Board does not act within 30 days of			
16	referral to it, the Planning Commission may proceed without a report and recommendation from the			
17 18	Landmarks Board.			
19				
20	SEC. 1112.5. PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING AND DECISION.			
21	The application shall be heard by the Planning Commission. Notice of the hearing shall be			
22	given in the manner set forth in Section 309(c). In such proceedings, the applicant has the burden of			
23	establishing that the criteria governing the approval of applications set forth in Section 1112.7 have			
20	been met.			

SEC. 1112.6. DECISION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

The Planning Commission may approve, disapprove or approve with conditions, the application, and shall make findings relating its decision to the standards set forth in Section 1112.7. The decision of the Planning Commission shall be rendered within 30 days from the date of conclusion of the hearing.

SEC. 1112.7. STANDARDS AND REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS TO DEMOLISH.

The Board of Permit Appeals, the City Planning Commission, the Director of Planning, and the Landmarks Board shall follow the standards in this Section in their review of applications for a permit to demolish any Significant or Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred.

No demolition permit may be approved unless: (1) it is determined that under the designation, taking into account the value of Transferable Development Rights and costs of rehabilitation to meet the requirements of the Building Code or other City, State or federal laws, the property retains no substantial remaining market value or reasonable use; or (2) the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines, after consultation, to the extent feasible, with the Department of City Planning, that an imminent safety hazard exists and that demolition of the structure is the only feasible means to secure the public safety. Costs of rehabilitation necessitated by alterations made in violation of Section 1110, by demolition in violation of Section 1112, or by failure to maintain the property in violation of Section 1117, may not be included in the calculation of rehabilitation costs under Subsection (1).

SEC. 1113. <u>STANDARDS OF REVIEW FOR NEW AND REPLACEMENT</u> CONSTRUCTION IN CONSERVATION DISTRICTS.

(a) The Historic Preservation Commission, Planning Commission, Board of Appeals, and Board of Supervisors shall find in their review of applications for No person shall construct or cause to

Planning Department
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

	be constructed any new of replacement structure of for an addition to any existing structure in a
l (<u>2</u>	Conservation District <i>unless it is found</i> that such construction is compatible in scale and design
١	with the District as set forth in Sections 6 and 7 of the Appendix which that describes the
[District.
	(b) Applications for a building or site permit to construct or add to a structure in any
(Conservation District shall be reviewed and approved, approved with modifications, or disapproved
<u>l</u>	by the Historic Preservation Commission before any other Planning approval action that may be
<u>r</u>	required, including review by the Planning Commission pursuant to the procedures set forth in
3	Section 309 and shall only be approved pursuant to Section 309 if they meet the standards
5	set forth herein. For projects that require Section 309 review, the Planning Commission may modify
<u>t</u>	he decision of the Historic Preservation Commission pursuant to Section 1114, provided that the
Ľ	project does not concern a designated Significant (Categories I and II) or a Contributory (Category III)
<u>Ł</u>	puilding.
	(c)
<u>c</u>	add to a structure in any Conservation District is approved by the Historic Preservation Commission
ŗ	pursuant to this Section Article without modification by the Planning Commission and if the building
į	s constructed in accordance with such approval, and if the building s - \underline{is} located in a
(Conservation District for which, pursuant to Section 8 of the Appendix establishing that
C	district, such a transfer is permitted, the building shall be deemed a Compatible Replacement
E	Building, and the lot on which such building is located shall be eligible as a Preservation Lot
f	or the transfer of TDR.
	SEC. 1114. MODIFICATION OF A DECISION OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION
(COMMISSION.

Conservation District in violation of the provisions of this Article shall eliminate the eligibility of

23

24

25

1

the building's lot as a Preservation Lot._, and such Such a lot, if it is the site of an unlawfully demolished Significant Building, or Contributory Building from which TDR have been transferred, may not be developed in excess of the floor area ratio of the demolished building for a period of 20 years from the unlawful demolition, if it is the site of an unlawfully demolished Significant Building (Category I or II), or Contributory Building (Category III) or the site of an unlawfully demolished Contributory Building (Category IV) from which TDR have been transferred. No department shall approve or issue a permit that would authorize construction of a structure contrary to the provisions of this Section.

(b) A property owner may be relieved of the penalties provided in Subsection (a) if: (1) as to an unlawful alteration or demolition, the owner can demonstrate to the Zoning Administrator Historic Preservation Commission that the violation would have constituted a Minor Alteration and has applied for a Permit for Minor Alteration to legalize the violation did not constitute a major alteration as defined in Section 1111.1; or (2) as to an unlawful alteration, the owner restores the original distinguishing qualities and character of the building destroyed or altered, including exterior character-defining spaces, materials, features, finishes, exterior walls and exterior ornamentation. A property owner who wishes to effect a restoration pursuant to Subsection (b)(2) shall, in connection with the filing of a building or site permit application, seek approval of the proposed restoration by reference to the provisions of this Section. If the Historic Preservation Commission approves the application is approved and it is determined determines that the proposed work will effect adequate restoration, the City Planning-Historic *Preservation* Commission shall so find. Upon such approval, and the completion of such work, the lot shall again become an eligible Preservation Lot and the limitation on floor area ratio set forth in Subsection (a) shall not thereafter apply. The City Planning Historic Preservation Commission may not approve the restoration unless it first finds that the restoration can be

done with a substantial degree of success. The determination under this Subsection (b)(2) is a final administrative decision.

SEC. 11151117. CONFORMITY WITH OTHER CITY PERMIT PROCESSES.

Except where explicitly so stated, nothing in this Article shall be construed as relieving any person from other applicable permit requirements. The following requirements are intended to insure conformity between existing City permit processes and the provisions of this Article:

- (a) Upon the designation of a building as a Significant or Contributory Building, or upon the designation of the Conservation District, the *Zoning Administrator Planning Department* shall inform the Central Permit Bureau of said designation or, in the case of a Conservation District, of the boundaries of said District and a complete list of all the buildings within said District and their designations. The Central Permit Bureau shall maintain a current record of such Buildings and Conservation Districts.
- (b) Upon receipt of any application for a building permit, demolition permit, site permit, alteration permit, or any other permit relating to a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a designated Conservation District, the Central Permit Bureau shall forward such application to the <u>Planning Department of City Planning, except as provided in Section 1111</u>. If the <u>Zoning Administrator Planning Department</u> determines that the application is subject to provisions of this Article, processing shall proceed under the provisions of this Article. The Central Permit Bureau shall not issue any permit for construction, alteration, removal or demolition of any structure, or for any work involving a Significant or Contributory Building or a building within a Conservation District unless either the <u>Zoning</u>

 <u>Administrator Planning Department</u> has determined that such application is exempt from the provisions of this Article, or processing under this Article is complete and necessary approvals

under this Article have been obtained. The issuance of any permit by a City department or agency that is inconsistent with any provision of this Article may be revoked by the

Superintendent of the BureauDirector of the Department of Building Inspection pursuant to Section
303(e)the provisions of the San Francisco Building Code.

(c) No abatement proceedings or enforcement proceedings shall be undertaken by any department of the City for a Significant or Contributory building or a building within a Conservation District without, to the extent feasible, prior notification of the *Department of City* Planning *Department and the Historic Preservation Commission*. Such proceedings shall comply with the provisions of this Article where feasible.

SEC. 11161118. UNSAFE OR DANGEROUS CONDITIONS.

Where the <u>Superintendent of the Bureau Director of the Department</u> of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety determines that a condition on or within a Significant or Contributory Building is unsafe or dangerous and determines further that repair or other work rather than demolition will not threaten the public safety, said official shall, after consulting with the <u>Planning Department of City Planning and the Historic Preservation Commission</u>, to the extent feasible, determine the measures of repair or other work necessary to correct the condition in a manner which, insofar as it does not conflict with State or local requirements, is consistent with the purposes and standards set forth in this Article.

SEC. <u>1117</u>1119. MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS AND ENFORCEMENT THEREOF.

(a) Maintenance. The owner, lessee, or other person in actual charge of a Significant or Contributory Building shall comply with all applicable codes, laws and regulations governing the maintenance of property. It is the intent of this Section to preserve

SEC. 11201121. RELATIONSHIP TO ARTICLE 10.

prevent deterioration and decay of the exterior. All such buildings shall be preserved against such decay and deterioration and free from structural defects through prompt corrections of Facades which may fall and injure members of the public or property; Deteriorated or inadequate foundation, defective or deteriorated flooring or floor Members of ceilings, roofs, ceiling and roof supports or other horizontal members which sag, split or buckle due to defective material or deterioration; Deteriorated or ineffective waterproofing of exterior walls, roofs, foundations or Defective or insufficient weather protection for exterior wall covering, including lack of paint or weathering due to lack of paint or other protective covering; Any fault or defect in the building which renders it not properly watertight or Enforcement Procedures. The procedures set forth in Building Code Sections 203114 through 116 governing unsafe buildings or property shall be applicable to any violations Enforcement and Penalties shall be as provided in Sections 176 and 176.1 of this

from deliberate or inadvertent neglect the exterior features of buildings designated Significant

1	
2	Article 10 and Article 11 shall be regulated pursuant to the procedures of both Articles. In case
	of conflict, the more restrictive provision shall control.
3	Notwithstanding the rating of a building in a C-3 District pursuant to the provisions of
4	Article 11, buildings may be designated as landmarks according to the provisions of Article 10.
5	Where an appeal is taken from a decision regarding alteration of a building which is both a
6	landmark under Article 10 and a Significant or Contributory Building under Article 11, the appeal
7	shall be taken to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Article 10.
8	
9	SEC. <u>11211122</u> . NOTICE OF AMENDMENT.
10	Notice of <i>anythe</i> hearing before the <i>City Planning Historic Preservation</i> Commission, <i>or, if</i>
11	no hearing, notice of and the first hearing before the Board of Supervisors, of a proposed
12	
13	amendment to this Article which materially alters the limitations and requirements applicable
14	to any building or class of buildings shall be given to the owners of such buildings by mail.
15	
16	SEC. 1122 1123. NOTICE PROCEDURE.
17	When any provision of this Article requires notice by mail to a property owner, the
18	officer or body providing the notice shall use for this purpose the names and addresses as
	shown on the latest citywide Assessment Roll in the Assessor's Office.
19	
20	SEC. 11231124. TIME PROVISIONS.
21	Unless otherwise indicated, all time provisions governing the taking of action by City
22	officials are directory and not mandatory.
23	
24	SEC. <u>11241125</u> . SEVERABILITY.

Buildings or areas within the C-3 District designated pursuant to the provisions of both

 If any part of this Article 11 is held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Article 11 or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed all portions of this Article <u>and any amendments thereto</u> irrespective of the fact that any one or more portions be declared unconstitutional or invalid.

Section 3. The Appendices to Article 10 are not amended by this ordinance and thus have not been included here for brevity.

Section 4. In enacting this Ordinance, the Board intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation, charts, diagrams or any other constituent part of the Planning Code that are explicitly shown in this legislation as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the legislation. This Ordinance shall not be construed to effectuate any unintended amendments. Any additions or deletions not explicitly shown as described above, omissions, or other technical and non-substantive differences between this Ordinance and the Planning Code that are contained in this legislation are purely accidental and shall not effectuate an amendment to the Planning Code. The Board hereby authorizes the City Attorney, in consultation with affected City departments, to make those necessary adjustments to the published Planning Code, including non-substantive changes such as renumbering or relettering, to ensure that the published version of the Planning Code is consistent with the laws that this Board enacts.

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the date of passage.

1			
2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
3	DENI	NIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney	
4	Ву:	Andrea Duiz Ferrida	
5		Andrea Ruiz-Esquide Deputy City Attorney	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Draft Planning Commission Resolution

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 10

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 2, 2012

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning

Information:

415.558.6377

415.558.6378

Reception:

1650 Mission St. Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Proposed Amendments to Article 10

Case Number: 2011.0167T

Project Name:

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Acting Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 10 Amendments with Modifications

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 10 – PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURAL AND AESTHETIC LANDMARKS; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

Whereas, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

Whereas, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010 and October 27, 2011; and

Draft Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, October 6th and 15th, November 3rd and 17th, and December 1 2010 and August 17, 2011 and September 7, 2011, September 21st, 2011, October 5th, October 19, 2011, November 2, November 16th 2011, and January 18, 2012;

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission has transmitted its recommendation and the draft Ordinance to the Board of Supervisor's for its review; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve with modifications the proposed Ordinance for Article 10 detailed in the draft dated January 26, 2011.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- 2. Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.
- 4. Therefore, the Planning Commission recommends approval of Article 10 of the proposed Ordinance, which includes edits recommended by the City Attorney in order to approve the proposed Ordinance as-to-form, as well as modifications made by Supervisor Wiener with additional modifications recommended by Department Staff.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT 2

5. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Draft Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to correct typographical and clerical errors to the Planning Code, as well as to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 6. The proposed Ordinance is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.
 - E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Draft Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 10 Amendments

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission on February 2, 2012.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: February 2, 2012

Exhibit A: Draft Ordinance with amendments to Article 10

Draft Planning Commission Resolution

Planning Code Text Changes: Article 11

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 2, 2012

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name: Proposed Amendments to Article 11

Case Number: 2011.0167T

Staff Contact: Sophie Hayward, Legislative Affairs

sophie.hayward@sfgov.org, 415-558-6257

Reviewed by: Tim Frye, Preservation Coordinator

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

Recommendation: Approve Article 11 Amendments with Modifications

RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <u>ADOPT WITH MODIFICATIONS</u> AN ORDINANCE INITIATED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE ARTICLE 11 – PRESERVATION OF BUILDINGS AND DISTRICTS OF ARCHITECTURAL, HISTORIC, AND AESTHETIC IMPORTANCE IN C-3 DISTRICTS PER HPC DRAFT DATED OCTOBER 19, 2011; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 FINDINGS.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, on February 3, 2010, the Planning Director requested that amendments be made to the Planning Code under Case Number 2010.0080T; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Planning Code text changes would amend several sections of the Code and in particular, to Articles 10 and 11; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing to consider the initiation of the proposed Ordinance on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 18133 initiating amendments to the Planning Code on July 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed Ordinance on August 5, 2010 and October 27, 2011; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, any proposed ordinance concerning historic preservation issues must be submitted to the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") for review and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; and

DRAFT Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearings to consider the proposed amendments to Articles 10 & 11 on July 21st, August 4th, 18th, September 1st, 15th, 29th, October 6th, 15th November 3rd and 17th and December 1st 2010 and August 17, 2011, September 7, 2011, September 21, 2011, October 5, 2011, October 19, 2011, November 2, 2011, and November 16, 2011 and January 18, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission has transmitted its recommendations and two draft Ordinances to the Board of Supervisors for its review; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Board of Supervisors *approve* the proposed ordinance for Article 11 as detailed in the draft dated December 1, 2011.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. This Historic Preservation Commission was created in the fall of 2008 when the voters passed amendments to the San Francisco Charter establishing Section 4.135.
- Article 10 (Preservation of Historical and Architectural and Aesthetic Landmarks) and Article 11 (Preservation of Buildings and Districts of Architectural, Historical, and Aesthetic Importance in the C-3 Districts) are the Planning Code chapters that outline the designation and permit review processes for historic buildings.
- 3. These Articles have not been updated and do not conform to Charter Section 4.135. The proposed revisions will simply make them consistent with Charter Section 4.135.
- 4. The additional changes proposed to Articles 10 and 11 will update preservation practices and policies and help streamline the designation and permit review processes.
- 5. Therefore, the Planning Commission recommends approval of Article 11 in the draft dated December 1, 2011 of the proposed Ordinance, which include edits recommended by the City Attorney in order to

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

approve the proposed Ordinance as-to-form, as well as modifications made by Supervisor Wiener and further recommendations made by Department Staff.

6. **General Plan Compliance.** The proposed Ordinance is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

I. COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT

THE COMMERCE & INDUSTRY ELEMENT SETS FORTH OBJECTIVES AND POLICES THAT ADDRESS THE BROAD RANGE OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES, FACILITIES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT CONSTITUTE SAN FRANCISCO'S EMPLOYMENT AND SERVICE BASE. THE PLAN SERVES AS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR BOTH THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS WHEN MAKING DECISIONS RELATED TO ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE.

GOALS

The objectives and policies are based on the premise that economic development activities in San Francisco must be designed to achieve three overall goals: 1) Economic Vitality - the first goal is to maintain and expand a healthy, vital and diverse economy which will provide jobs essential to personal well-being and revenues to pay for the services essential to the quality of life in the city; 2) Social Equity - the second goal is to assure that all segments of the San Francisco labor force benefit from economic growth. This will require that particular attention be given to reducing the level of unemployment, particularly among the chronically unemployed and those excluded from full participation by race, language or lack of formal occupational training; and 3) Environmental Quality - the third goal is to maintain and enhance the environment. San Francisco's unique and attractive environment is one of the principal reasons San Francisco is a desirable place for residents to live, businesses to locate, and tourists to visit. The pursuit of employment opportunities and economic expansion must not be at the expense of the environment appreciated by all.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

POLICY 1.3

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

POLICY 6.1

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

POLICY 6.3

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

Preserve and promote the mixed commercial-residential character in neighborhood commercial districts. Strike a balance between the preservation of existing affordable housing and needed expansion of commercial activity.

POLICY 6.8

Preserve historically and/or architecturally important buildings or groups of buildings in neighborhood commercial districts.

II. URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

GOALS

The Urban Design Element is concerned both with development and with preservation. It is a concerted effort to recognize the positive attributes of the city, to enhance and conserve those attributes, and to improve the living environment where it is less than satisfactory. The Plan is a definition of quality, a definition based upon human needs.

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

POLICY 1.3

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

POLICY 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

POLICY 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

POLICY 2.7

Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco's visual form and character.

III. DOWNTOWN ELEMENT

THE DOWNTOWN PLAN GROWS OUT OF AN AWARENESS OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN IN RECENT YEARS OVER THE DEGREE OF CHANGE OCCURRING DOWNTOWN — AND OF THE OFTEN CONFLICTING CIVIC OBJECTIVES BETWEEN FOSTERING A VITAL ECONOMY

DRAFT Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

AND RETAINING THE URBAN PATTERNS AND STRUCTURES WHICH COLLECTIVELY FOR THE PHYSICAL ESSENCE OF SAN FRANCISCO.

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

OBJECTIVE 12

CONSERVE RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE CONTINUITY WITH SAN FRANCISCO'S PAST.

Policy 12.1

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural, or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The goal of the proposed Ordinance is to update Articles 10 and 11 to make it conform to Charter Section 4.135.

- 7. The proposed Ordinance is generally consistent with the eight General Plan priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 in that:
 - A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance would not significantly impact existing neighborhood-serving retail uses or opportunities for employment in or ownership of such businesses.
 - B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact existing housing and neighborhood character.
 - C) The City's supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not impact the supply of affordable housing.
 - D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:
 - The proposed Ordinance will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

DRAFT Planning Commission Resolution Hearing Date: February 2, 2012

CASE NO. 2011.0167T Article 11 Amendments

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future

opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

The proposed Ordinance would not adversely affect the industrial or service sectors or future

opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors.

F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss

of life in an earthquake.

Preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake is unaffected by the proposed

amendments.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

The proposed Ordinance will update the Planning Code to reflect Charter Section 4.135 to

incorporate the Historic Preservation Commission.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from

development:

The proposed Ordinance will not impact the City's parks and open space.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Historic Preservation

Commission on February 2, 2012.

Linda D. Avery Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED:

February 2, 2012

Exhibit A:

Draft Ordinance with amendments to Article 11