

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)	
Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)	☐ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)	☐ Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)
Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)	□ Other

### **Planning Commission Motion No. 18933**

**HEARING DATE: AUGUST 1, 2013** 

 Date:
 July 25, 2013

 Case No.:
 2011.0730C

*Project Address:* **750 Phelps Street** 

Current Zoning: "P "(Public)

65-J Height and Bulk District

*Block/Lot:* 5280/001

Project Sponsor: Sprint, represented by Maria Miller

Modus, Inc.

115 Sansome Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

*Staff Contact:* Omar Masry – (415) 575-9116

Omar.Masry@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 303(c) AND 234.2 TO MODIFY A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FACILITY TO ALLOW THREE FACADE MOUNTED PANEL ANTENNAS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT LOCATED AT A THREE-STORY MUNICIPAL BUILDING AS PART OF SPRINT'S WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK WITHIN A P (PUBLIC) ZONING DISTRICT, AND 65-J HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICTS.

#### **PREAMBLE**

On July 14, 2011, Sprint (hereinafter "Project Sponsor"), submitted an application (hereinafter "Application"), for Conditional Use Authorization on the property at 750 Phelps Street, Lot 001 in Assessor's Block 5280, (hereinafter "Project Site") to modify a wireless telecommunications service facility (WTS). The modification proposes the removal of six roof-mounted existing antennas, and two of four equipment cabinets used to run the facility, which are located on the ground floor. The proposed configuration would feature three panel antennas and a microwave dish mounted at four locations to the uppermost portion of the building facade. The Project would provide enhanced 1900 Megahertz voice and data service, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network within a P (Public) Zoning District, and 65-J Height and Bulk Districts.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption (Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act). The Planning Commission has

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: **415.558.6377** 

reviewed and concurs with said determination. The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department"), as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, San Francisco.

On August 1, 2013, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use authorization.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the Applicant, Department Staff, and other interested parties.

**MOVED**, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use in Application No. 2011.0730C, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

#### **FINDINGS**

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. **Site Description and Present Use**. The Project Site is located on Assessor's Block 5280, Lot 001 at the Southeast Water Pollution Control Plant (hereinafter "plant"), and serves as one of two City wastewater treatment plants. The existing wireless facility is located on a three-story, 48-foot tall building along the western edge of the plant and abuts northbound Quint Street, south of Jerrold Avenue. This site is within a P (Public) Zoning, and 65-J Height and Bulk Districts.
- 3. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood**. The subject building is surrounded by P zoned properties, which primarily serve the plant, and site is surrounded by plant buildings on three sides and Quint Street to the west. The surrounding area, lies within the Bayview Neighborhood and includes a mix of light and heavy industrial and warehousing activities, as well as the Caltrain (Southern Pacific rail corridor) to the west.
- 4. **Project Description.** The Project proposes to modify the existing WTS facility through the removal of six roof-mounted existing antennas, and two of four equipment cabinets used to run the facility, which are located on the ground floor. The proposed configuration would feature three panel antennas and a microwave dish mounted at four locations to the uppermost portion of the building facade.

The proposed antennas would measure approximately 72" high by 12" wide by 6" thick, and the microwave dish would measure approximately 12 inches in diameter. The proposed antennas and microwave dish would be placed at four separate locations on the facade of the building (three facing west towards Phelps Street and one antenna facing east towards the Project site),

CASE NO. 2011.0730C Hearing Date: August 1, 2013 **750 Phelps Streetreet** 

with the top of each antenna flush with the top of the adjacent parapet, at approximately 51 feet above grade, and the dish mounted at 46 feet above grade.

**Motion No. 18933** 

The site features an existing Sprint macro WTS facility (Case No. 96.516C), which allowed up to nine roof-mounted panel antennas (six antennas ultimately installed), and additional existing macro WTS facilities (AT&T Mobility, MetroPCS, and T-Mobile).

1. Past History and Actions. The Planning Commission adopted the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Facilities Siting Guidelines ("Guidelines") for the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities in 1996. These Guidelines set forth the land use policies and practices that guide the installation and approval of wireless facilities throughout San Francisco. A large portion of the Guidelines was dedicated to establishing location preferences for these installations. The Board of Supervisors, in Resolution No. 635-96, provided input as to where wireless facilities should be located within San Francisco. The Guidelines were updated by the Commission in 2003 and again in 2012, requiring community outreach, notification, and detailed information about the facilities to be installed.

Section 8.1 of the Guidelines outlines Location Preferences for wireless facilities. There are five primary areas were the installation of wireless facilities should be located:

- 1. Publicly-used Structures: such facilities as fire stations, utility structures, community facilities, and other public structures;
- 2. Co-Location Site: encourages installation of facilities on buildings that already have wireless installations:
- 3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as warehouses, factories, garages, service stations;
- 4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as supermarkets, retail stores, banks;
- 5. Mixed Use Buildings in High Density Districts: buildings such as housing above commercial or other non-residential space.

Based on the location, a public structure (wastewater treatment plant), the antennas are proposed on a Location Preference 1 Site (Co-Location).

Section 8.1 of the WTS Siting Guidelines further stipulates that the Planning Commission will not approve WTS applications for Preference 5 or below Location Sites unless the application describes (a)what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more Preferred Locations, (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide networks.

Before the Planning Commission can review an application to install a wireless facility, the Project Sponsor must submit a five-year facilities plan, which must be updated biannually, an emissions report and approval by the Department of Public Health, Section 106 Declaration of

Intent, an independent evaluation verifying coverage and capacity, a submittal checklist and details about the facilities to be installed.

Under Section 704(B)(iv) of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act, local jurisdictions cannot deny wireless facilities based on Radio Frequency (RF) radiation emissions so long as such facilities comply with the FCC's regulations concerning such emissions.

On August 1, 2013, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the application for a Conditional Use authorization pursuant to Planning Code Sections 234.2 to modify a wireless telecommunications facility ultimately consisting of three facade-mounted antennas, plus one microwave dish, and related electronic equipment located on the third floor of the subject building.

- 2. Location Preference. The WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines identify different types of zoning districts and building uses for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities. Under the Guidelines, the Project is a Location Preference Number 1 Site as the Project Site is located in at a publicly used structure (wastewater treatment plant).
- 3. Radio Waves Range. The Project Sponsor has stated that the proposed wireless network is designed to address network congestion issues and will ease congestion by offloading data traffic from the voice network onto the Wi-Fi network. The network will offload data by radio waves operating in the 800 and 1900 Megahertz (MHZ) bands, which are regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and must comply with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
- 4. Radiofrequency (RF) Emissions: The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, Inc., a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines.
- 5. Department of Public Health Review and Approval. The proposed project was referred to the Department of Public Health (DPH) for emissions exposure analysis. Existing RF levels at ground level were less than 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. There are similar antennas operated by AT&T, MetroPCS, Sprint, and T-Mobile at this location. Sprint proposes to remove six antennas and install three new antennas and a microwave dish. The antennas will be mounted at a height of approximately 45 feet above the ground. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed Sprint transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.027 mW/sq. cm., which is 5.2% of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 15 feet into free space and does not reach any publicly accessible areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas and roof access points in English, Spanish, and Chinese. Workers should not have access to within five feet in front of the antenna while it is in operation.

4

**6. Coverage and Capacity Verification.** The maps, data, and conclusion provided by Sprint to demonstrate need for coverage and capacity have been determined by Hammett & Edison, and engineering consultant and independent third party to accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation conclusions.

- **7. Maintenance Schedule**. The proposed facility would operate without on-site staff but with a two-person maintenance crew visiting the property approximately once a month and on an asneeded basis to service and monitor the facility.
- **8. Community Outreach.** Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor held a community meeting at the Bayview Library Branch, at 15075 3rd Street, to discuss the project on July 18, 2013, and there were no attendees.
- 9. **Five-year plan:** Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor submitted an updated five-year plan, as required, in April 2013.
- 10. **Public Comment.** As of July 25, 2013, the Department has received no public comment on the proposed project.
- 11. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
  - A. **Use.** Per Planning Code Sections 234.2, a Conditional Use authorization is required for the installation of Commercial Wireless Transmitting, Receiving or Relay Facility.
- 12. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
  - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.
    - i. Desirable: San Francisco is a leader of the technological economy; it is important and desirable to the vitality of the City to have and maintain adequate telecommunications coverage and data capacity. This includes the installation and upgrading of systems to keep up with changing technology and increases in usage. It is desirable for the City to allow wireless facilities to be installed.

The proposed project at 750 Phelps Street is generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the Project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be designed to be compatible with the surrounding nature of the vicinity. While the placement of antennas will be visible from adjacent public rights-of-way, they are so located, designed, and treated architecturally to minimize their visibility from public places, avoid intrusion into public vistas, avoid disruption of the architectural design integrity of buildings, and insure harmony with the existing neighborhood character and public safety. The Project has

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013

been reviewed and determined to not cause the removal or alteration of any significant architectural features of the subject building.

ii. Necessary: In the case of wireless installations, there are two criteria that the Commission reviews: coverage and capacity.

Coverage: San Francisco does have sufficient overall wireless coverage (note that this is separate from carrier capacity). San Francisco's unique coverage issues are due to topography and building heights. The hills and buildings disrupt lines of site between WTS base stations. Thus, telecommunication carriers continue to install additional installations to make sure coverage is sufficient.

Capacity: While a carrier may have adequate coverage in a certain area, the capacity may not be sufficient. With the continuous innovations in wireless data technology and demand placed on existing infrastructure, individual telecommunications carriers must upgrade and in some instances expand their facilities network to provide proper data and voice capacity. It is necessary for San Francisco, as a leader in technology, to have adequate capacity.

The proposed project at 750 Phelps Street is necessary in order to enhance voice and data capacity at an existing Sprint facility.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
  - i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project must comply with all applicable Federal and State regulations to safeguard the health, safety and to ensure that persons residing or working in the vicinity will not be affected, and prevent harm to other personal property.

The Department of Public Health conducted an evaluation of potential health effects from Radio Frequency radiation, and has concluded that the proposed wireless transmission facilities will have no adverse health effects if operated in compliance with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards.

ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

No increase in traffic volume is anticipated with the facilities operating unmanned, with a maintenance crew visiting the site once a month or on an as-needed basis.

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, noise or noxious emissions from continued use are not likely to be significantly greater than ambient conditions due to the operation of the wireless communication network.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The antennas are visible from public rights-of-way; however their visual impact will be muted by painting the antennas to match the existing color band along the uppermost portion of the roof facade. Furthermore, the relatively narrow three-inch depth of the new antennas, and 22 inches in height, on a four story building, will ensure such antennas are not out of scale with the building.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District.

The Project is consistent with the purpose of Public districts in that the intended use is located on an existing building, with existing antenna sites and the proposed antennas will not detract from the District's character.

13. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan

#### **HOUSING ELEMENT**

BALANCE HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE 12 – BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

**POLICY 12.2** – Consider the proximity of quality of life elements, such as open space, child care, and neighborhood services, when developing new housing units.

**POLICY 12.3** – Ensure new housing is sustainable supported by the City's public infrastructure systems.

The Project will improve Sprint's coverage and capacity in the surrounding Bayview neighborhood, including existing and potential residential areas.

#### **URBAN DESIGN**

#### **HUMAN NEEDS**

OBJECTIVE 4 - IMPROVEMENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENT TO INCREASE PERSONAL SAFETY, COMFORT, PRIDE AND OPPORTUNITY.

POLICY 4.14 - Remove and obscure distracting and cluttering elements.

The Project design and location would be situated in a manner as to not appear cluttered or distracting. The panels will be painted to match the façade and flush mounted to the wall while remaining flush with the top of the parapet. The Project will also involve the removal of existing roof-mounted antennas, thereby reducing visibility of the facility from off-site view.

#### COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1:

Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

#### Policy 2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Project would enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the Project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2:**

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

#### Policy 1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

#### Policy 3:

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The site is an integral part of an enhanced wireless communications network that will enhance the City's diverse economic base.

#### **OBJECTIVE 4:**

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

#### Policy 1:

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

#### Policy 2:

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The Project would benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

#### **VISITOR TRADE**

## OBJECTIVE 8 - ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

**POLICY 8.3** - Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project will ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of Sprint telecommunications.

#### **COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT**

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 3:**

ENSURE THE PROTECTION OF LIFE AND PROPERTY FROM THE EFFECTS OF FIRE OR NATURAL DISASTER THROUGH ADEQUATE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PREPARATION.

#### Policy 1:

Maintain a local agency for the provision of emergency services to meet the needs of San Francisco.

#### Policy 2:

Develop and maintain viable, up-to-date in-house emergency operations plans, with necessary equipment, for operational capability of all emergency service agencies and departments.

#### Policy 3:

Maintain and expand agreements for emergency assistance from other jurisdictions to ensure adequate aid in time of need.

#### Policy 4:

Establish and maintain an adequate Emergency Operations Center.

#### Policy 5:

Maintain and expand the city's fire prevention and fire-fighting capability.

#### Policy 6:

Establish a system of emergency access routes for both emergency operations and evacuation.

The Project would enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services.

- 14. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:
  - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.
    - No neighborhood-serving retail use would be displaced and the wireless communications network will enhance personal communication services.
  - B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.
    - No residential uses would be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this authorization.
  - C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.
    - The Project would have no adverse impact on housing in the vicinity.
  - D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.
    - Due to the nature of the Project and minimal maintenance or repair, municipal transit service would not be significantly impeded and neighborhood parking would not be overburdened.
  - E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013

The Project would cause no displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Compliance with applicable structural safety and seismic safety requirements would be considered during the building permit application review process.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The subject site is not a landmark building and is not considered a potential historic resource.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project will have no adverse impact on parks or open space, or their access to sunlight or vistas.

- 15. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 16. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Determination of Compliance authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

#### **DECISION**

The Commission, after carefully balancing the competing public and private interests, and based upon the Recitals and Findings set forth above, in accordance with the standards specified in the Code, hereby approves the Conditional Use authorization under Planning Code Sections 234.2 and 303 to modify an existing WTS facility to ultimately feature up to three façade-mounted panel antennas, one façademounted 12-inch diameter microwave dish, and associated equipment cabinets at the Project Site, as part of a wireless transmission network operated by Sprint on a Location Preference 1 (Preferred Location – Publicly Used Structure) according to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Siting Guidelines, within a P (Public) Zoning, and 65-J Height and Bulk Districts, and subject to the conditions of approval attached hereto as Exhibit A.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this conditional use authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. 18933. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (after the 30day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was adopted by the Planning Commission on August 1, 2013.

JONAS P. IONIN **Acting Commission Secretary** 

AYES: Commissioners Borden, Sugava, Fong, Antonini, Moore, and Wu

NAYES: None

ABSENT: Commissioner Hillis

ADOPTED: August 1, 2013

12

### **EXHIBIT A**

#### **AUTHORIZATION**

This authorization is for a Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 234.2 and 303, to modify a wireless telecommunications services facility ultimately consisting of up to three façade-mounted panel antennas, one façade mounted 12-inch diameter microwave dish, with related equipment in a third floor room, at a Location Preference 1 (Publicly Used Structure) according to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Siting Guidelines, as part of Sprint's wireless telecommunications network within an P (Public) Zoning District, and a 65-J Height and Bulk District. This authorization requires the removal of existing roof-mounted Sprint antennas prior to final of building permits to operate the ultimate facility configuration.

#### RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the Project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **August 1, 2013** under Motion No. 18933.

#### PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. 18933 shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

#### **SEVERABILITY**

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

#### **CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS**

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013 CASE NO. 2011.0730C 750 Phelps Streetreet

# Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

1. Validity and Expiration. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three years from the effective date of the Motion. A building permit from the Department of Building Inspection to construct the project and/or commence the approved use must be issued as this Conditional Use authorization is only an approval of the proposed project and conveys no independent right to construct the Project or to commence the approved use. The Planning Commission may, in a public hearing, consider the revocation of the approvals granted if a site or building permit has not been obtained within three (3) years of the date of the Motion approving the Project. Once a site or building permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. The Commission may also consider revoking the approvals if a permit for the Project has been issued but is allowed to expire and more than three (3) years have passed since the Motion was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

2. **Extension.** This authorization may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator only where failure to issue a permit by the Department of Building Inspection to perform said tenant improvements is caused by a delay by a local, State or Federal agency or by any appeal of the issuance of such permit(s).

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u> .

#### **DESIGN – COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE**

- 3. **Plan Drawings WTS**. Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:
  - a. Structure and Siting. Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
  - b. For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities. Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
  - c. Emissions. Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org.

4. **Screening - WTS.** To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:

- a. Modify the placement of the facilities;
- b. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
- c. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2 1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions;
- d. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
- e. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
- f. Antennas and back up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual effects;
- g. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back up facilities are not viewed from the street;
- h. Antennas attached to building facades shall be so placed, screened or otherwise treated to minimize any negative visual impact; and
- i. Although co location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case by case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-575-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

#### **MONITORING - AFTER ENTITLEMENT**

- 5. Enforcement. Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 6. **Monitoring.** The Project requires monitoring of the conditions of approval in this Motion. The Project Sponsor or the subsequent responsible parties for the Project shall pay fees as established under Planning Code Section 351(e) (1) and work with the Planning Department for information about compliance.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>
- 7. **Revocation due to Violation of Conditions.** Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific Conditions of Approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning

Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

#### 8. Implementation Costs - WTS.

- a. The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.
- b. The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with implementation of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Technology, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency. The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.
- c. The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- 9. **Implementation and Monitoring WTS**. In the event that the Project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- 10. **Project Implementation Report WTS**. The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:
  - a. Identify the three dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
  - b. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
  - c. The Project Implementation Report shall compare test results for each test point with applicable FCC standards. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a nonholiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power.
  - d. Testing, Monitoring, and Preparation. The Project Implementation Report shall be prepared by a certified professional engineer or other technical expert approved by the Department. At the sole option of the Department, the Department (or its agents) may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the Project Sponsor pursuant to the condition related to the payment of the City's reasonable costs.

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013

- i. Notification and Testing. The Project Implementation Report shall set forth the testing and measurements undertaken pursuant to Conditions 2 and 4.
- ii. Approval. The Zoning Administrator shall request that the Certification of Final Completion for operation of the facility not be issued by the Department of Building Inspection until such time that the Project Implementation Report is approved by the Department for compliance with these conditions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <a href="https://www.sfdph.org">www.sfdph.org</a>.

- 11. **Notification prior to Project Implementation Report WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall undertake to inform and perform appropriate tests for residents of any dwelling units located within 25 feet of the transmitting antenna at the time of testing for the Project Implementation Report.
  - a. At least twenty calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report, the Project Sponsor shall mail notice to the Department, as well as to the resident of any legal dwelling unit within 25 feet of a transmitting antenna of the date on which testing will be conducted. The Applicant will submit a written affidavit attesting to this mail notice along with the mailing list.
  - b. When requested in advance by a resident notified of testing pursuant to subsection (a), the Project Sponsor shall conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within the residence of that resident on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Project Implementation Report.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

12. **Installation - WTS.** Within 10 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall confirm in writing to the Zoning Administrator that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC emissions standards.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

13. **Periodic Safety Monitoring - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

#### **OPERATION**

14. **Community Liaison.** Prior to issuance of a building permit application to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator

Motion No. 18933 Hearing Date: August 1, 2013

shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

15. **Out of Service – WTS**. The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennas and equipment that has been out of service or otherwise abandoned for a continuous period of six months.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

16. **Emissions Conditions – WTS**. It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

17. **Noise and Heat – WTS**. The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

- 18. **Transfer of Operation WTS**. Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

  For information about compliance contact Code Enforcement Planning Department at 415-575-6863, pages \$6.00.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>
- 19. **Compatibility with City Emergency Services WTS**. The facility shall not be operated or caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Technology, 415-581-4000, <a href="http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421">http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421</a>