Appendix C: Operations Analysis Phase I Report and Phase II Supplementary Presentation San Francisco Railyards Relocation and I-280 Boulevard Feasibility Study (RAB)

# **RAB Operations Sketch Planning Analysis**

Presented April 17, 2015 Revised November 4, 2015



optimising railways

### Agenda

Input Documents Alignments Operations Scenario Analysis Conclusions

### Input Documents

- Current DTX Progress drawings (2012) were used for the TTC track and signal layout.
- 2011 Blended Study
- The RAB Draft Conceptual Alternatives document was used to estimate how the tunnel variants would connect to the network.
- The RAB Draft Conceptual Alternatives document and associated alignment breakdown information was used for the track horizontal and vertical alignment information.
- Proposed station locations were estimated from the RAB Draft Conceptual Alternatives document by taking the midpoint of the proposed station location areas.

# **Alignment Options**

#### RAB is studying three alignment options:

- The current Caltrain alignment and approved DTX (the RAB no-build option)
- The Pennsylvania Alignment option
- The Mission Bay Alignment option



# **Alignment Options**

- RAB Study area is TTC to South San Francisco, only.
- Review of the alignment options, train sets, and speed profiles reveals that all three alignments operate similarly (within 1.8 minute runtime variation).



#### ALIGNMENTS

6

 Pennsylvania and Mission Bay alignments would connect to the existing network at CP Army. (25th and Pennsylvania Avenue)



### ALIGNMENTS | Alignment Options



# ALIGNMENTS Train Sets Used

#### Caltrain

- EMU double decker (Stadler KISS)

#### HSR

8

- Siemens Velaro





### ALIGNMENTS | Speed Profiles

#### *E<sub>a</sub>* Assumptions

- Two speed profiles were calculated using superelevations of:
  - 2 inches
  - 3 inches

9

 Speed profiles were simplified to multiples of 10 mph

(Conclusion: In the tunnel 30 & 40 mph were permissable)



Figure 6-7 Overbalance, Equilibrium and Underbalanced

\*from Caltrain's "Engineering Standards" – Chapter 2 – Track Design



Mission Bay Alignment

Pennsylvania and Current Approved (no-build) Alignments

# ALIGNMENTS Pre-Screening Results

	Runnin	Running Times								
	CP Army - TTC - CP Army									
Alignment	CALTRAIN	HSR								
Mission Bay	11.7	9								
Pennsylvania	13.3	10.8								
Current+DTX	12.7	10								

# ALIGNMENTS Calculated Runtimes

	Те	chnical R	nical Running Times			- 10% Reserve	
						Most	
		Caltrain	Caltrain	Max Run	Max Run	Conservative	
Stations	HSR	Express	Local	time	time	Case	
South San Francisco							South San Francisco
SSF	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3	SSF
CP Sierra	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	CP Sierra
CP Brisbane	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	CP Brisbane
CP Geneva	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	CP Geneva
Bayshore	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	Bayshore
CP Tunnel	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.9	CP Tunnel
CP Army	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.8	CP Army
CP 22nd South	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	CP 22nd South
Southern Street	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Southern Street
CP 22nd North	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	CP 22nd North
CP Townsend South	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	CP Townsend South
Townsend	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Townsend
CP Townsend North	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	CP Townsend North
CP Transit Center	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	CP Transit Center
Transbay Transit Center	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Transbay Transit Center
CP Transit Center	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	CP Transit Center
CP Townsend North	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	CP Townsend North
Townsend	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Townsend
CP Townsend South	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	CP Townsend South
CP 22nd North	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.9	CP 22nd North
Southern Street	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Southern Street
CP 22nd South	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	CP 22nd South
CP Army	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	CP Army
CP Tunnel	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	CP Tunnel
Bayshore	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	Bayshore
CP Geneva	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	CP Geneva
CP Brisbane	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	CP Brisbane
CP Sierra	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	CP Sierra
SSF	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	SSF
South San Francisco	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	South San Francisco

#### **OPERATIONS**

13

RAB study considered how key operations planning parameters could be managed to best achieve planning goals:

- Track Layout
- Tunnel Headways
- Separation Times
- Schedule (Service Concepts)
- Stop Pattern
- Platform Occupation Times





14

Current track layout was accepted as the starting point for initial analysis.

#### ALTERNATIVE 2A



BAYSHORE



# Headways in the Tunnel

- Based on the LTK Conceptual Signal layout drawings, it was assumed that ventilation shafts would be located over CP points
- Headways were estimated using the technical running times for trains between CPs
- It was assumed that 1 train can travel per section per track between ventilation shafts
- Headway estimates indicated that the segment between 4<sup>th</sup> & King and 22<sup>nd</sup>
  Street is the most constraining with at least 4 minutes required between following trains.

# OPERATIONS | Separation Times



# OPERATIONS | Separation Times



### Schedule

Given the planning goal to increase capacity of the TTC, the RAB study considered how to optimize the schedule.



#### OPERATIONS Schedule Structured Service Pattern



# Stop Pattern

- Adjusting the stop pattern of service is another way to manage conflicts to achieve a planning goal.
- Caltrain Local Service makes all stops (TTC, 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend, 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, Bayshore, and SSF)
- Caltrain *Express Service* was identified in this analysis for potential adjustment.

#### Normal Express Service

 Caltrain *Express Service* skips 22<sup>nd</sup> Street stop

#### **Express Alternatives**

- Add 22<sup>nd</sup> Street Express makes al SF stops including 22<sup>nd</sup> Street
- No 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend stop Express skips both 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend and 22<sup>nd</sup> Street

)		Те	chnical R	unnina Tii	mes	Runtime -	+ 10% Reserve	]
to				0			Most	
.0			Caltrain	Caltrain	Max Run	Max Run	Conservative	
Sta	tions	HSR	Express	Local	time	time	Case	
	South San Francisco							South San Francisco
	SSF	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3	SSF
	CP Sierra	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	CP Sierra
	CP Brisbane	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	CP Brisbane
	CP Geneva	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	CP Geneva
	Bayshore	0.3	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	Bayshore
	CP Tunnel	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.9	CP Tunnel
	CP Army	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.8	CP Army
	CP 22nd South	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	CP 22nd South
	Southern Street	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Southern Street
	CP 22nd North	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	CP 22nd North
	CP Townsend South	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	CP Townsend South
	Townsend	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Townsend
	CP Townsend North	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	CP Townsend North
	CP Transit Center	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	CP Transit Center
Т	ansbay Transit Center	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Transbay Transit Center
	CP Transit Center	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	CP Transit Center
	CP Townsend North	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	CP Townsend North
	Townsend	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Townsend
	CP Townsend South	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	CP Townsend South
	CP 22nd North	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.9	CP 22nd North
п	Southern Street	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	Southern Street
П	CP 22nd South	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	CP 22nd South
	CP Army	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	CP Army
	CP Tunnel	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	CP Tunnel
	Bayshore	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	Bayshore
	CP Geneva	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.1	CP Geneva
	CP Brisbane	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	CP Brisbane
	CP Sierra	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	CP Sierra
	SSF	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	SSF
	South San Francisco	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	South San Francisco

# **Platform Occupation Times**

#### **Base Assumption**

 Platform track 6 to serve Caltrain only

#### **Resulting Constraint**

- Under these assumptions, theoretically HSR trains would need to utilize 4 platform tracks requiring a minimum of 196 occupation minutes per hour.
- HSR trains could fit onto 3 platform tracks but only if turnaround times included reoccupation times, or if the requested track occupation (turn time) could be reduced to 41 minutes.

	the theoretically available
With X numbers of	platform occupation
Platform Edges	minutes per hour are:
6	360
5	300
4	240
3	180
2	120

	HSR	Caltrain
With the requested Track Occupation time of	45	20
and a track reoccupation time between trains of (2 mins out and 2 mins in)	4	4
and X trains per hour	4	6
the theoretically required occupation minutes per hour are:	196	144

#### Scenarios

- Developed platform track scenarios based on the number of tracks used by HSR in the TTC.
- Started with assumption of fully blended operation (HSR using 5 platform tracks, platform track 6 available to Caltrain only due to infrastructure constraints).
- Alternative platform scenarios looked at HSR using only 4, then 3 platform edges.
- An additional "Max Line Capacity" scenario was also considered.

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform			Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel Platform		Requires Higher	New Track	Caltrain
tracks used	Schedule	Dedicated			tracks	Capacity	Capacity	and Signal	Express
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5									
4									
3									
Max Capacity (5)									

#### **Scenarios**

**Operations Sketch Planning Methodology** 

# For each platform track scenario, operations sketch planning proceeded through two separate approaches:

- 1. Theoretical operation plans were developed to optimize TTC platform occupation first, and then impact on the line was observed and analyzed.
- 2. Theoretical operation plans were developed to optimize line throughput first, and then impact on the TTC was observed and analyzed.

#### **Assumptions:**

- Currently proposed DTX track and signal layout (used as initial starting point for infrastructure)
- Station at 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend with side platforms
- 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend Station the tracks should be right-hand running for trains stopping – dedicated direction platforms.

# 5 Track Scenario

- 5 non-dedicated HSR platform tracks
- 15 minute schedule interval
- 45 and 20 minute turn times Maintain target turnaround times

Platform Scenario		Base A	Base Assumptions						
No. of Platform			Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Requires Higher	New Track	Caltrain
tracks used	Schedule	Dedicated			tracks	Capacity	Capacity	and Signal	Express
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min		45	20					
4									
3									
Max Capacity (5)									

### 5 Track Scenario Optimizing Platform Track Occupation



#### 5 Track Scenario Resulting Operations on the Line



#### 5 Track Scenario Conflicts at TTC Platforms Identified

 Caltrain Express service (blue) causes conflicts with the other services
 Simultaneous arrivals/ 2 departures on other platforms are not problematic



#### 5 Track Scenario Conflicts at CP TTC Identified



#### 5 Track Scenario Conflicts at CP TTC Identified



# 5 Track Scenario

**Initial Observations** 

- Platform capacity is not primary constraint of the network.
- Existing track and signal layout (conflicting paths at CP TTC) causes conflicts to occur.
- Separation times are critical for TTC entry and exit slots; a higher capacity CP TTC is required.

# **5 Track Scenario**

Consider a Revised Track and Signal Layout

- Current layout: tracks 1 and 3 are unidirectional.
- Suggested layout allows bidirectional use of all 3 tracks and allows trains to switch to any track at each CP
- 2 fewer switches are required



#### 5 Track Scenario Revised Layout Simplifies Track Usage

- Regular operations fit on two tracks
- The middle track could be dedicated HSR
- Non-stopping trains would bypass platforms at 4<sup>th</sup> and Townsend



### 5 Track Scenario Revised Layout Simplifies Track Usage



### 5 Track Scenario Revised Layout Simplifies Track Usage



6 switches could be removed.
 Though some may be useful for operational flexibility.



# 5 Track Scenario Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 10 trains or more to the TTC may be possible with higher capacity CP TTC and other modifications.
- All scenarios would require a higher capacity CP TTC to achieve planning goal of 10 trains or more to the TTC.
- Scenario requires revised track and signal layout to maintain assumed turn times.
- Revised layout and optimized schedule could simplify track usage and potentially support a dedicated track, either for HSR services or for Caltrain Express services to platform 6.

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	l avout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
							Yes		
4							Yes		
							Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 5 Track Scenario, alternative

- 5 non-dedicated HSR platform tracks
- Higher capacity CP TTC
- 15 minute schedule interval
- <u>No new track and signal layout</u> Require trains to operate in current infrastructure

Platform Scenario		Base A	Base Assumptions						
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel Platform		Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	Lavout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min						Yes	No	
4							Yes		
							Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

### 5 Track Scenario, alternative Optimizing Line Throughput

- Trains arranged to create conflict free entry and exit slots at the TTC
- Express services shifted to avoid conflicts at CP TTC
- Caltrain Express 4<sup>th</sup> and Townsend stop eliminated to mitigate headway limitations



#### 5 Track Scenario, alternative Impact of Line Optimization on Platform Occupation



# 5 Track Scenario, alternative

Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 10 trains or more to the TTC may be possible with higher capacity CP TTC and other modifications.
- Scenario requires significantly reduced turnaround times:
  45 minutes for HSR, 14/21 minutes for Caltrain Local/Express.
- Scenario requires change in Caltrain Express stop pattern No stop at 4<sup>th</sup>/Townsend.

Platform Scenario		Base A	Base Assumptions						
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
bv HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	and Signal Lavout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4							Yes		
							Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 4 Track Scenario

- 4 non-dedicated HSR platform tracks
- Higher capacity CP TTC
- 15 minute schedule interval

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel Platform		Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min						Yes		
							Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

### 4 Track Scenario Optimizing Platform Track Occupation



#### 4 Track Scenario Resulting Operations on the Line



### 4 Track Scenario Resulting Operations on the Line

- Conflict avoided with 2 minute separation time
- Separating the CP TTC into two sections allows trains to have non-conflicting paths.



# 4 Track Scenario Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 10 trains or more to the TTC may be possible with higher capacity CP TTC and other modifications.
- Scenario requires Caltrain turn times of 7/13 minutes, likely too tight for Caltrain.
- Scenario requires change in Caltrain Express stop pattern schedule requires ALL stops between TTC and South SF, including 22<sup>nd</sup> Street.

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform tracks used	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel tracks	Platform Capacity	Higher Capacity	New Track and Signal	Caltrain Express
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
							Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 4 Track Scenario, alternative

- 4 non-dedicated HSR platform tracks
- Higher capacity CP TTC
- <u>10 minute</u> schedule interval Can we push the schedule to increase turn times?

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	Lavout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min						Yes		
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 4 Track Scenario, alternative

**Optimizing Platform Occupation** 



#### 4 Track Scenario, alternative Resulting Operations on the Line



# 4 Track Scenario, alternative Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 10 trains or more to the TTC may be possible with higher capacity CP TTC and other modifications.
- Scenario requires turnaround times of 15 minutes for Caltrain.
- Scenario requires change in Caltrain Express stop pattern schedule requires ALL stops between TTC and South SF, including 22<sup>nd</sup> Street

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform tracks used	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel tracks	Platform Capacity	Higher Capacity	New Track and Signal	Caltrain Express
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3							Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 3 Track Scenario

- 3 non-dedicated HSR platform tracks
- Higher capacity CP TTC
- 15 minute schedule interval

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used		and Signal	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3	15 min						Yes		
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# 3 Track Scenario Optimizing Platform Occupation

- HSR has 41 minute turnaround times
- Caltrain has 17/20 minute turnaround times
- Track 6 allows 20 minute Caltrain turnaround times



#### 3 Track Scenario Resulting Operations on the Line



### 3 Track Scenario Optimizing Line Throughput



#### 3 Track Scenario Impact of Line Optimization on Platform Occupation

- HSR turnaround times shortened to 30 minutes
- Caltrain services
  have 14/21 minute
  turnaround times



# 3 Track Scenario Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 10 trains or more to the TTC may be possible with higher capacity CP TTC and other modifications.
- Scenario requires shortened turnaround times for both HSR and Caltrain.

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schodulo	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
hy HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used		and Signai	Express Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3	15 min	Yes	30	14/21	2	83-84%	Yes	No	Normal
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# Max Line Scenario

- Maximize Line Capacity Fit as many trains on line as possible (15 trains) and see what effect this would have on platform occupancy and required turnaround times
- Higher capacity CP TTC

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel tracks	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain Express
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	CP TTC	Layout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3	15 min	Yes	30	14/21	2	83-84%	Yes	No	Normal
Max Capacity (5)							Yes		

# Max Line Scenario

**Initial Observations** 

- Theoretically, 15 trains per hour can run through the tunnel on 2 tracks at 4 minute headways, but known limitation is platform availability.
- Expanding the 4/6 blended service pattern up to a 6 HSR / 9 Caltrain pattern was attempted as a potentially useful "Max Line" scenario of 15 trains.

#### Max Line Scenario Optimizing Line Throughput



#### Max Line Scenario Impact of Line Optimization on Platform Occupation

- HSR has 20/24 minute turnaround times
- Caltrain services have 12 minute turnaround times
- Pedestrian flows at stations are not considered in this analysis



### Max Line Scenario Conclusions

- Increased throughput to 15 trains to the TTC may be possible.
- Scenario requires significantly shortened turnaround times for both HSR and Caltrain, on par with turnaround times achieved by foreign railways.
- Max Line scenario is incompatible with 45 min / 20 min turnaround times at the TTC (dwell times > 360 min).
- System capacity is a function of turnaround time reductions in turnaround time increase platform capacity and allow for more train throughput.

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
by HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used	Capacity CP TTC	Lavout	Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3	15 min	Yes	30	14/21	2	83-84%	Yes	No	Normal
Max Capacity (5)	Variable	No	20/24	12	2	83%	Yes	No	Normal

# **Overview of Scenarios**

Platform Scenario		Base Assumptions							
No. of Platform	Schedule	Dedicated	Resulting Turn Times		Tunnel	Platform	Higher	New Track	Caltrain
hv HSR	Interval	Platforms	HSR	Caltrain	required	used		anu Signai Lavout	Express Stop Pattern
5	15 min	No	45	20	3	95%	Yes	Yes	Normal
	15 min	No	45	14/21	2	91%	Yes	No	No 4th/Town
4	15 min	Yes	45	7/13	3	79%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
	10 min	Yes	45	15	2	86%	Yes	No	Add 22nd St.
3	15 min	Yes	30	14/21	2	83-84%	Yes	No	Normal
Max Capacity (5)	Variable	No	20/24	12	2	83%	Yes	No	Normal

# Platform Occupation and Dwell Times

- Multiple scenarios may support 10 trains or more (6/4 blended service) between South San Francisco and the TTC, with a higher capacity CP TTC.
- 45/20 minute turnaround around times can only be achieved using 5 nondedicated platforms.
- Dedicated platform scenarios require Caltrain or HSR services to either have shorter turnaround times, or reduced capacity into the TTC.
- All scenarios have high platform occupation rates. (Note: this study is unable to comment on the robustness of the system without more detailed analysis of how turnaround times are built-up including pad and recovery.)
- Reduced turnaround times are key to unlocking greater TTC capacity.

# Track and Signal Layout Observations

- Separation times at the TTC are critical to the system's capacity: 2 minute separation times should be made a design requirement.
- In scenarios that rely primarily on only 2 track operation in the tunnel, the third track could be used primarily as a dedicated HSR services or as a dedicated track for platfrom 6.
- Only one of the scenarios reviewed in this presentation relies on a revised track and signal layout to achieve reduced separation times; however, an alternative layout could offer long-term benefits to the system and make many scenarios more flexible.
- Engineering analysis is required to determine whether or not the track and signal layout suggested earlier in this presentation is feasible and whether it requires additional venting.
- Improving track and signal layout at the control points CP TTC and CP Townsend North would enable more flexible and efficient operation – tracks could be used bidirectionally more easily.

Thank you for your attention!

# For more information visit www.sma-partner.ch



optimising railways