

**Citizens Advisory Committee of the
Eastern Neighborhoods Plan,
City and County of San Francisco
Meeting Minutes**

**1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor, Room 431
Monday, August 15, 2016**

**Monitoring Report Working Group
5:30 PM**

**Full Citizen Advisory Committee
6:15 PM**

Members Present: Walker Bass, Chris Block, Chirag Bhakta, Joe Boss, Don Bragg, Marcia Contreras, John Elberling, Bruce Kin Huie, Keith Goldstein, Toby Levy, Fernando Marti, Abbie Wertheim

Members Absent: Henry Karnilowitz, Dan Murphy, Kristian Ongoco,

Five-Year Monitoring Report Working Group – 5:30

1. Five-Year Monitoring Report – Open Discussion / Questions and Answers with the CAC and Community Members.

Full EN CAC – 6:15

1. Announcements and Review of Agenda.
2. Review and Approve Minutes from the July 18, 2016 CAC Meeting.

MOTION:	Goldstein	SECOND:	Huie
AYES:	Block, Bass, Bhakta, Boss, Bragg, Contreras, Elberling, Huie, Goldstein, Levy, Marti, Wertheim		
NOES:	[none]		
ABSENT:	Karnilowitz, Murphy, Ongoco		

3. Eastern Neighborhoods Five Year Monitoring Report (ENMR) and Executive Summary. Presentation by staff on the Executive Summary and Monitoring Reports, including changes that have been made since the last draft, followed by potential action.

MOTION: *To endorse the Eastern Neighborhood Five-Year Monitoring Reports and Executive Summary with the following changes:*

- *On p. 1 of the Executive Summary, after the sentence: “The Executive Summary shows that the pace of development since adoption of the Area Plans has been consistent with the Eastern Neighborhoods Environmental Impact report” include a note referring to Appendix D.*
- *Include footnotes in Appendix D-2 that describes time period for which the original EIR projections assumed the development would happen.*
- *Include a footnote on Appendix D-2 that clarifies that for the sake of comparing actual development with assumed development, actual development is being measured from 2009, when the EN Plans were adopted.*
- *On p. 2 , paragraph 2 regarding the statement “...exceeding affordable housing goal...”, refer to Affordable Housing Tables in the individual Area Plan Reports.*
- *On p. 3, provide reference for Executive Summary Table 1, “Commercial Development by Land Use” to individual Commercial Land Use Tables in each of the individual reports for more detail, including Actual Land Use.*
- *On p. 5, after the sentence, “In the 2011-2015 period, PDR jobs have increased from roughly 19,000 to more than 20,000, as shown on Figure 1.”, provide a statement that qualifies that PDR job gain / loss and types of PDRs vary from neighborhood to neighborhood and provide reference to individual Area Plan Reports regarding PDR jobs.*
- *On p. 5, remove the statement, “One concern from the Eastern Neighborhoods community, which we are not able to show using this jobs data...” Add this discussion to the letter with more comment on the cultural context in the shift of jobs.*
- *On p. 9, at the end of the first paragraph, provide reference to Appendix I, “Eastern Neighborhoods Matrix of Infrastructure and Service Needs” and amend the Appendix by providing data on how much open space, child care, and transit would have to have been created to meet the Nexus Study benchmarks for the development in the pipeline.*
- *On p. 10, revise Table 6, “Major Infrastructure Projects Funded to Date by Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fees”, to include status and timing of the projects.*

- On p. 11, Map 1, “Major and Community-Based Infrastructure Projects in the Eastern Neighborhoods” make sure all projects are referred to correctly.

MOTION: Goldstein SECOND: Huie
 AYES: Block, Bass, Boss, Bragg, Elberling, Huie, Goldstein, Levy, Marti, Wertheim
 NOES: [none]
 ABSTENTION: Bhakta, Contreras
 ABSENT: Karnilowitz, Murphy, Ongoco

4. CAC Monitoring Report Response Letter. Discussion among CAC members on the response letter to the Five-Year Monitoring Report, followed by potential action.

Item Heard. Members of the Response Letter Working Group discussed their previous meeting, heard comments from the CAC and general public on what to include and laid out next steps. They would provide a draft letter at the next CAC meeting (September 19) for the CAC’s endorsement, which would be provided to the Planning Commission at the September 22, 2016 Planning Commission hearing.

5. Fee Revenue Projections. Presentation by staff on initial impact fee revenue projections for fiscal years 2017 through 2027 (FY17 – FY27), followed by discussion, and potential action.

Item Heard. No official action taken. Staff would present the projected fee revenues and initial draft of suggested expenditures at the next CAC meeting for further discussion.

6. CAC Membership. Discussion lead by CAC Chair on the status of CAC Membership.

Item not heard.

7. Public Comment: